THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLEDGE

Leadership (Transformational) and Development in Nigeria

Dr. Abdullahi Dan'asabe Jibrin

Lecturer, Federal University, Birnin Kebbi, Nigeria

Abstract:

Nigeria has been grappling with development challenges since independence in spite of abundant human and material resources. These challenges, some scholars argue, are results of poor leadership. The paper attempts to identify the quality of leadership that is required to bring about the needed development. In doing so, the paper surveys the various leadership styles and the impacts they have had on development as conceived by both liberal and Neo Marxist scholarship. The paper concludes that a transformational leadership is the one that is capable of bringing development to Nigeria.

Key words: Leadership, transformational, development

1. Introduction

A close relationship exists between the nature and quality of leadership in a society and the speed and dimensions of the transformations that society experiences. If the leadership is unfocussed, visionless, and lackluster, the state stagnates, or like a ship without a captain, begins sooner than later, to drift. Historically the level and pace of transformations, modern nation states, especially those in the Third World, have experienced have been a corollary of the vision and dynamism their individual leaders or governing elites have shown in the management of the affairs and public policies of such states.

A number of analysts (Legum and Zartman 1979. Kukah 2003, Nnoli 2004, Edoh and Wuam, 2009), have argued that the stunted and highly dwarfed pace of socioeconomic transformations witnessed in most Africa states in the last five or so decades can be attributed, at least in part, to the failure and incompetence of leaderships in these states.

This paper explores the interface between effective, focused and purposeful leadership and the engineering of fundamental alterations and positive changes in the lives of citizens of nations that have these kinds of leadership and the Nigeria situation. Nigeria is blessed with abundant human and natural resources yet development has continued to elude her. What could be responsible? Is Nigeria a case of leadership failure or is it the citizens that have failed themselves? The paper attempts to seek answers to these questions by analyzing the concept of leadership and its appraisal in the context of development issues in Nigeria.

2. Leadership Conceptual Clarifications

The term "Leadership" (transformational or otherwise) has in recent times been bandied around rather ubiquitously. Paraded as both a curse and a cure for the development debacle of third word societies, it has become something of a buzz word that dominates intellectual discourses, policy analyses, conferences as well as the information super highway – the internet. A galaxy of its definitions, attributes, typologies and qualities, among others, congest text books, mimeographs, diplomatic notes and, of course, the now omnipresent web. But as is typical of most buzz words, there is an agonizing absence of coincidence between popularity and precision in definitional parameters. Not unexpectedly therefore, the term "Leadership" has been defined by different people to mean different things in different ideological climate.

What then is Leadership? The concept of leadership has been of interest since the Greco-Roman era where philosophers like Plato in his classic work "The Republic" specified the qualities of leadership via education. And Aristotle and Nicolo Machiavelli in their respective works "politics" and "The Prince" outlined the essence of which the leader, requires to qualify to lead (Bolden, 2004). Leadership has become a topical issue in recent years as our country and indeed the world cries out for better and more purposeful and effective leadership, in business, in government and in public life. (Ajumogobia, 2011).

According to Elaigwu, (2010), citing Eisenhower, it is the ability to decide what is to be done and then to get others to want to do it. In the words of Fayemi, (2009:105), "Leadership is the ability to take an initiative, motivate, to influence, to direct and control the thoughts, opinions and actions of the followers in any given society towards the achievements of purposeful desired ends." Elsewhere (Edoh 2009) has defined a leader as an individual imbued or empowered with authority, ability and or drive to take decisions on, and supervise, what needs to be done to realize goals he or the society has set.

A common dominator that runs through these definitions and the legion of others in the voluminous literature the phenomenon has generated, is the view that leadership presupposes the gift of ability to harness one's own potentials and as well as those of the organization or society towards the achievement of certain goals set either by the individual, the organization or the society.

This wise Leadership exists in all societies. Indeed, the very idea of a society, ab initio, connotes the inevitability of leadership. In all human societies from the simplest hunting and gathering to the most complex industrial ones somebody or group of people must take

charge to provide and or implement the rules that prevent the society from degenerating into a state of suicidal anarchy where, as argued by John Locke and Jean Jaque Rosseau, in their contract theory "Might is Right".

In general, and popular perception, Leadership is therefore associated with an individual being at the helm of political power directing and taking full charge and responsibility for management of its affairs. While it is appreciated that the concept does not necessarily assume a meaning only in this regards, it is the context in which the term is applied in this paper.

The second concept of interest and relevance to the task in this paper is "Transformational". This term is crafted from the verb "Transform" which according to the Oxford Advanced Learners Dictionary means "to completely change the appearance or character of something especially so that it is better" Transformational accordingly has reference to the process of making that "complete change" happen through a series of coordinated but closely interconnected actions. The individual who initiates and manages that process of "complete change" is a Transformational Leader. Fayemi's insights on this are quite elucidating and worth quoting at some length.

According to him (2009:105) Transformational Leadership entails

The process of expanding and adapting the capacity of the society in satisfying the materials and cultural needs designed to achieve among others increased productivity within a balanced economic system the eradication of poverty and disease and the liberation of the individual from their constraints... such a process necessarily involves individual freedom and social security, cultural buoyancy, educational and economic liberation, self-reliance and a sustainable sociopolitical order.

Clearly then Transformational Leadership is only one of the many classifiable categories scholars have identified for our subject matter. The first spade work in the enterprise was done by the German sociologist Max Weber (1864-1920). His scheme identified three broad types of leaderships and authority.

- a) Traditional Leadership based on cultural beliefs and values;
- b) Charismatic Leadership anchored on an individual's exceptional endowments and personality traits; and
- c) Rational Legal Authority/Leadership based on constitutional and legal provisions in the society.

More recent scholarship has gone beyond Weber's classical categorization. Elaigwu (2010) for example talked about six closely related but nonetheless separate leadership groupings in any modern political setting. These, according to him, include the Political, Economic, Intellectual, Bureaucratic, Military and the Traditional cum Religious. Other classifications that adorn the literature talk about Autocratic versus Participative style of leadership, Laissez Fair or Free reign approach versus Narcissistic leadership, Toxic versus Benign Leadership and of course Transactional versus

Transformational Leadership. Since the least distinction is of direct relevance to this presentation, let us look at it some detail.

Baas (1985) has argued that the transactional type is a status quo type leader whose main approach is focused on the maintenance and sustenance of the situation he has met on the grounds. Changes may, and sometimes do, occur but usually these are incidental, accidental or at best incremental. A transactional leader is diametrically averse to planned, wholistic and comprehensive changes aimed at fundamentally altering or redirecting the path to be taken or the goals to be pursued by the society.

Transformational leadership in contradistinction is committed, rather implacably, to rapid changes and to the alteration of the existing reality in a revolutionary manner. It is quick to perceive or identify both the obvious and not so obvious potentials of the society harnessable for the acceleration of the change agenda in that society. Additionally, and relatedly, this particular species is often driven by an inexhaustible and, perhaps, inexplicable energy to transform the environment in which they find themselves through carefully crafted and meticulously implemented blue prints or programmes. This more often than not is at great perils to their lives from all sorts of anti-change groupings who feed fat on the existing order and feel mortality threatened materially and psychologically, by the new dynamics.

In style and contents transformational leaders go beyond jingoism, rhetorics and sloganeering and focus on real problems that touch on the lives of real people particularly those at the rungs of the societal ladders. For example, in a country like Nigeria this should involve policies that emancipate the people from the vicious grip of poverty, the debilitativeness of malaria and HIV-AIDS and the incapacitating blizzard of illiteracy and ignorance among others.

In summary, Transformational Leadership exudes Honesty, Responsibility Confidence, Enthusiasm, Reliability, Patience, Decisiveness, Determination, Courage and Empathy. We concede that it may indeed be difficult for all these traits to converge at one individual. Yet it is the possibility of such convergence that sets transformational leadership as a special breed; that makes it stand tall, head and shoulders above the ordinary run of the mill.

3. Leadership and the Development Process in Nigeria: Some Comments

The view that Nigeria's protracted inability to experience rapid transformations in the lives of its citizens can be traced largely, to the poor quality of leadership at the national level is one on which a wide consensus is available. In the words of one analyst "the greatest problems Nigeria has had to face since independence are those of leadership and development (Inayatullah, 1998:16). Fayemi (2009:106) was more caustic in his own assessments. According to him:

Nigeria's experience of leadership and development has been horrendously traumatic. The problem is that there is no pointer as at present to assure the emergence of pools of leaders that the nation needs for its development.

It is indeed worrisome to note that political independence has brought no substantive change in economic conditions and the people's general development. The standards of living are deteriorating on daily basis as high unemployment, inflation, civil strife, poverty, corruption diseases, malnutrition, illiteracy (the list is endless) appears to be the only legacy the state is capable of passing from one generation to another.

Though over quoted and, perhaps, hackneyed, Chinua Achebe's equally acidic remarks on the issue are also relevant here. Achebe (1983:1) declared that:

The trouble with Nigeria is simply and squarely a failure of leadership. There is nothing wrong with the Nigerian land or climate or water or air or anything else. The Nigerian problem is the unwillingness, or inability of its leaders to rise to the responsibility of the challenges of personal examples which are the hallmarks of true leadership.

What most watchers of the Nigerian scene find enigmatic and fundamentally contradictory is how a country so richly endowed with human and mineral resources should find itself almost irredeemably in the doldrums of backwardness and the deep and dark abbys of extreme poverty on the part of most of its citizens. On the surface, it appears inexplicable that Nigeria which for the past four decades has been one of the worlds ten leading exporters of crude petroleum from which it has earned trillions of dollars today ranks among the twenty least developed states on the globe on almost every Human Development Index (HDI). These include literacy level, access to health facilities, potable water, electricity and the rate of infant mortality among others. With over 60% if its citizens surviving on less than two dollars a day, Nigeria is in the same group (a group she has no business being in given her resources) as Burkina Faso, Bangladesh, Niger and Haiti

It is believed that the quality of leadership and the socio-political environment often determine the nature of national development. The value of leadership in nation building cannot be underestimated. Leadership is the prime cause of development because of its indispensable role in the national context. Leadership provides direction, national mission, vision and targets. For instance, the contribution of leadership to the emergence of the South East Asian nations of Singapore, Hong Kong, South Korea and Taiwan, referred to as the Asian Tigers cannot be over emphasized. It was leadership that moved these countries from where they were once grouped with Nigeria as third world nations to amongst the developed ones. It took focused leadership that was committed to transformation for them to arrive at where they are today. These transformational leaders provided the needed direction, set the necessary agenda and executed needed transformation. To drive this point home, the Singaporean president, Lee Kuan Yew asserted, we learned from the failed policies of countries such as India, Pakistan, Ghana and Nigeria. Many new nations believed that the way to prosperity was state planning of the economy with socialist states being seen as models, so my strategy was turn Singapore, a third world island, into a first-world oasis, by entrenching the concept of the rule of law and establishing up-to-date facilities in communications and transportation as the necessary artery for connecting people and property to the economy" (Fornauf, 2005)

Interestingly, as noted earlier, other countries like Malaysia, South Korea and Singapore with which Nigeria was ranked at par on her independence in 1960 have all outdistanced her in the realm of economic development and transformation. Ironically while the county has sunk deeper and deeper into the quagmire of poverty, it has consistently maintained top position in other international ranking viz the one by the highly respect transparency international. Since the 1980s Nigeria has, without fail, featured among the ten most corrupt states in the world. In the three years, penultimate to the return to civil rule in 1999, Nigeria's rating as the single most corrupt country in the world appeared assailable. Gains associated with the activities of the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC) and the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) have brought some marginal relief and amelioration to the infamy.

As the quotations above indicate the continued deadlock in Nigeria's development administration manifesting in a visible failure to effectively transform the national socio-economic environment is, more than anything else a result of the massive corruption and the perfidy hitherto associated with leadership. Transformatory leadership and massive corruption involving the looting and stealing of public funds that could have gone a long way to radically transform the lives of members of that public make strange bedfellows. Like two lines that go in opposite direction they can only converge at infinity.

Other factors that have been contributory to this melancholic state of affairs include sheer incompetence the leaders' inability to rise above narrow primordial interests as well as the subterranean machinations of foreign forces and external interest for whom Nigeria's continued underdeveloped status is good news for further exploitation.

As stated before, the decadent state of the economy and the mass poverty in Nigeria in the face of abundant human and natural resources is a sad irony. A collection of factors has combined to impoverish Nigeria despite her enormous wealth. But none is as powerful as the failure of leadership in the country. Indeed, the story of Nigeria's development, or underdevelopment, is the story of failed leadership.

4. Conclusion

The problem of Nigeria's development is largely that of leadership. Nigeria is in dire need of the kind of leadership with the ability to translate and transform the enormous potentials of the country to manifest development realities. A leadership that will be totally determined to bring about the required transformational skills that will launch the country in to the development path is what is now needed in Nigeria. For that to happen, citizens must leave their comfort zones and be active in insisting for the best leadership for Nigeria as a minimum requirement for the development of the country. Anything short must not be accepted.

5. References

- i. Achebe, C. (1988). The trouble with Nigeria. Enugu, Nigeria: Fourth Dimension Publishers.
- ii. Adeyeri, S. (2013). Conflicts and political instability in Nigeria: A critical discourse.
- iii. International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences, 2(10), 119-130. Retrieved from http://www.garph.co.uk
- iv. Ado-Kurawa, G. (2005). Nigerian politics and national political reforms. Conference Paper. Lagos, Nigeria: Tellettes Publishing House.

- v. Afeikhena, J. (2002). Public enterprises reform in Nigeria: Evidence from the telecommunications industry. Nairobi, Kenya: African Economic Research Consortium.
- vi. Afegbua, I. A. (2010). Conflicts and political instability in Nigeria: Causes, consequences and prospects. Journal of Social Science and Public Policy, 2, 10-18. Retrieved from http://www.cenresinpub.org
- vii. Akinwale, A. A. (2010). The menace of inadequate infrastructure in Nigeria. African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development, 2(3), 207-228. Retrieved from http://www.ajstid.com
- viii. Anigbogu, N. A. & Shwarka, M.S. (2011). Evaluation of the impact of the public procurement reform program on combating corrupt practices in public building projects delivery in Nigeria. Environtech Journal, 1(2), 43-51.Retrievedfrom http://www.arcom.ac.uk/
- ix. Avolio, B. J. (2005). Leadership development in balance: Made or born. Manhwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 185
- x. Awamleh, R., Evans, J., & Mahate, A. (2005). A test of transformational and transactional leadership styles on employees' satisfaction and performance in the UAE banking sector. Journal of Comparative International Management, 8(1), 3-19. Retrieved from http://journals.hil.unb.ca/index.php/JCIM
- xi. Babatunde, S. O., Opawole, A., & Akinsiku, O. E. (2012). Critical success factors in public-private partnership (PPP) on infrastructure delivery in Nigeria. Journal of Facilities Management, 10(3), 212-225. doi:10.1108/14725961211246018
- xii. Babbie, E. (2010). The practice of social research (12th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- xiii. Baets, W. (2011). Leadership and public governance: Complexity, uncertainty and societal responsibility. Retrieved from http://gsbblogs.uct.ac.za/walterbaets/2011/05/18/leadership-and-public Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectation. New York, NY: Free Press.
- xiv. Bass, B. M. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. Organizational Dynamics, 18(3), 19-31. doi:10.1108/01437730410538671
- xv. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1990). Developing transformational leadership: 1992 and beyond. Journal of European Industrial Training, 14(5), 231-272. doi:10.1108/03090599010135122
- xvi. Bass, B. M., & Bass, R. (2008). The Bass handbook of leadership: Theory, research, & managerial applications (4th ed.). New York, NY: Free Press.
- xvii. Bass, B. M. & Roggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (92nd.). London, UK: Routledge. 186
- xviii. Bedell-Avers, Hunter, Angie, Eubanks, & Mumford, (2009). Charismatic, ideological, and pragmatic leaders: An examination of leader-leader interactions. The leadership Quarterly, 20, 299-315. Retrieved from http://www.journals.elsevier.com/the-leadership-quarterly
- xix. Bouchat, C. J. (2013). The causes of instability in Nigeria and implications for the United States. Retrieved from http://www.strategicStudiesInstitute.army.mil/
- xx. Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- xxi. Bryant, S. E. (2003). The role of transformational and transactional leadership in creating, sharing and exploiting organizational knowledge. Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies, 9(4), 32-44. doi:10.1177/107179190300900403
- xxii. Cheema, G. S. (2005). Building democratic institutions: Governance reform in developing countries. New York, NY: Kumarian Press.
- xxiii. Creswell, J. W. (2007). Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- xxiv. Creswell, J. W. (2009). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- xxv. Dahiru, D. AbdulAzeez, A. D., & Bala, K. (2013). Study of measures for enhancing public private partnership towards infrastructure development in Nigeria.
- xxvi. Conference Paper on Sustainable Building. Coventry University. Retrieved from http://www.coventry.ac.uk/Global/Faculty%20events/SB13/SB13-42-Study-ofmeasures-for-enhancing-public-private-partnership-towards-infrastructuraldevelopment-in-Nigeria.pdf
- xxvii. Davis, J. S. (2011). Challenging governance theory: From network to hegemony. New 187 York, NY: Policy Press.
- xxviii. Denhardt, R. B., Denhardt, J. V., & Aristigueta, M. P. (2002). Managing human behaviour in public & nonprofit organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- xxix. Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). The Sage handbook of qualitative research (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- xxx. Deloitte Global Series (2012). Public governance and accountability. Retrieved from http://www.deloitte.com
- xxxi. Dike, V. (2008). Corruption in Nigeria: A new paradigm for effective control. Africa Economic Analysis, 1-17. Retrieved from http://www.africaeconomicanalysis.org
- xxxii. Egwemi, V. (2012). Corruption and corrupt practices in Nigeria: An agenda for taming the monster. Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa,14(3), 72-85.Retrieved fromhttp://www.jsd-africa.com/Jsda/
- xxxiii. Ekpenkhio, S. A. (2003). Public sector procurement reforms: The Nigerian experience. Conference Paper. Nigeria. Retrieved from http://www.wto.org

- xxxiv. Ezeh, E. M. (2013). Public procurement reform strategies. Retrieved from https://cips.org/Documents/Engr.%20Emeka%20Ezeh%20presentation%20%2821%20May%29%20%5BRepaired%5D.pdf
- xxxv. Fagbadebo, O. (2007). Corruption, governance and political instability in Nigeria.
- xxxvi. African Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 1(2), 28-37.
- Retrieved from http://www.academicjournals.org/AJPSIR xxxvii. Fiedler, F. E., & Garcia, J. E. (1987). New approaches to effective leadership. New York, NY: John Wiley.
- xxxviii. Filley, A. C., & House, R. J. (1969). Managerial process and organizational behavior. 188
- xxxix. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman, and Company.
 - xl. Gardner, J. (1990). On leadership. New York, NY: Free Press.
 - xli. Gardner, J. J. (2006). Transactional, transformational, and transcendent leadership: Metaphors mapping the evolution of the theory and practice of governance.
 - xlii. Leadership Review, 6,62-76. Retrieved from http://www.leadershipreview.org
- xliii. Ghauri, P., Gronhaug, K., & Kristianslund, I. (1995). Research methods in business studies: A practical guide. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- xliv. Guion, L. A., Diehl, D. C., & McDonald, D. (2011). Triangulation: Establishing the validity of qualitative studies. Retrieved from http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu
- xlv. Gwilliam, K. (2011). Africa's transport infrastructure: Mainstreaming maintenance and management. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- xlvi. Hague, R. (1992). Comparative government and politics: An introduction. London: Macmillan Press.
- xlvii. Hawkins, J. (2013). How to note: Reducing corruption in infrastructure sector. Conference Paper.
- xlviii. Hersey, P., & Blanchard, H. (1977). Management of organizational behavior (3rd ed.).
- xlix. Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
 - Holloway, I., & Wheeler, S. (2013). Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare(3rd ed.). New Jersey, USA; John Wiley & Sons.
 - li. Idris, A., Kura, S. M., & Bashir, M. U. (2013). Public private partnership in Nigeria and improvement in service delivery: An appraisal. Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 10(3), 63-71. Retrieved from http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss.html
 - lii. Ige, B. (1995). People, politics and politicians of Nigeria (1940-1979). Ibadan, Nigeria: 189 Heinemann Educational Books (Nigeria) Plc.
- liii. Iroghama, P.I. (2012). Trust in government: A note from Nigeria. International Journal of Academic Research in Economics and Management Sciences, 2(1), 258-267.

 Retrieved from http://www.hrmars.com/index.php/pages/detail/IJAREMS
- liv. Kemp, R., Parto, S., & Gibson, R. B. (2005). Governance for sustainable development:
- lv. Moving from theory to practice. International Journal of Sustainable Development, 8(1/2), 12-30. doi:10.1504/IJSD.2005.007372
- lvi. Kirkpatrick, D. L. (1994). Evaluating training programs: The four levels. San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler.
- lvii. Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2002). The leadership challenge (3rd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- lviii. Lawal, T., & Oluwatoyin, A (2011). National development in Nigeria: Issues, challenges and prospects. Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 3(9), 237-241. doi:10.5897/JPAR11.012
- lix. Lawal, G., & Tobi, A. (2006). Bureaucratic corruption, good governance and development: The challenges and prospects of institution building in Nigeria.
- lx. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 2(10), 642-649.Retrieved from http://www.aensiweb.com/jasr.html
- lxi. Lietz, C. A., Langer, C. L., & Furman, R. (2006). Establishing trustworthiness in qualitative research in social work: Implication from a study regarding spirituality, Qualitative Social Work, 5(441).doi:10.1177/1473325006070288.
- lxii. Madueke, C. N. (2008). The role of leadership in governance: The Nigerian experience (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses 190 Database. (UMI No. 3320296)
- lxiii. Mbanefo, C. A. (2000). The role of engineering infrastructure in national development. In
- lxiv. M. D. Abdullahi (Ed.), Provision & maintenance of engineering infrastructure for technological development in Nigeria (pp. 37-44). Kaduna, Nigeria. Fahimta Publishing Company.
- lxv. Marcellus, I. C. (2009). Development planning in Nigeria: Reflections on the national economic empowerment and development strategies (NEEDS) 2003-2007.
- lxvi. Journal of Social Sciences, 20(30), 197-210. Retrieved from http://www.thescipub.com/journals/jss
- lxvii. Merriam, S. B. (2009). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (Rev.ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- lxviii. Mohammed, K., Chapola, D., & Bello, A. (2013). The state of Nigerian economy in the
 - lxix. 21st century: Privatization and commercialization programs under Obasanjo-Atiku regime. European Scientific Journal, 9(19), 88-98. Retrieved from http://eujournal.org/index.php/esj/

- lxx. Muogbo, S. U. (2013). Impact of privatization on corporate performance: A study of selected industries in Nigeria. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention, 2(7), 81-89. ISSN (Online): 2319-7722. Retrieved from http://www.ijhssnet.com/
- lxxi. Mustafa, A. R. (2006). Ethnic structure, inequality and governance of the public sector in Nigeria. United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, 1-55.

 Retrieved from http://www.unrisd.org
- lxxii. Mustapha, M. (2010). Corruption in Nigeria: Conceptual and empirical notes. 191
- lxxiii. Information, Society and Justice, 3(2), 165-175. doi:10.3734/isj.2007.1102
- lxxiv. Ngowi, H. P. (2009). Economic development and change in Tanzania since independence: The political leadership factor. African Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 3(4), 259-267. Retrieved from http://www.academicjournals.org/ajpsir/index.htm
- lxxv. Northouse, P. G. (2013). Leadership: Theory and practice (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- lxxvi. Nwanolue, B. O. G., & Iwuoha, V. C. (2012). The Nigerian civil service and promotion of sustainable human development: A critical analysis. Arabian Journal of
- lxxvii. Business and Management Review, 1(9), 12-21. Retrieved from http://www.arabianjbmr.com
- lxxviii. Nzongola-Ntalaja, G. (2002). UNDP role in promoting good governance. Seminar for the International Guest at the Congress of the Labor Party, Oslo, Norway. Retrieved from http://www.um.edu.mt/_data/assets/pdf_file/0003/120837/2009_4.pdf
- lxxix. Obadan, M. I. (2003). National development planning and budgeting in Nigeria: Some pertinent issues. Lagos, Nigeria: Broadway Press Limited.
- lxxx. Ogbeidi, M. M. (2012). Political leadership and corruption in Nigeria since 1960: A socioeconomic analysis. Journal of Nigerian Studies, 1(2), 1-25.Retrieved from http://www.unh.edu/nigerianstudies/articles/Issue2/Political_leadership.pdf
- lxxxi. Ogbonna, B. C. (2012). Structural adjustment program (SAP) in Nigeria. An empirical assessment. Journal of Banking, 6(1), 19-40.Retrieved from www.academia.edu/
- lxxxii. Ogbonna, A. C. & Kalu, L. U. (2012). Public procurement reform in developing countries: A critique of the real estate context in the Nigerian case. International 192
- lxxxiii. Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research, 1(8), 65-77. Retrieved from http://www.ijmsbr.com/
- lxxxiv. Ogbuagu, U., Ubi, P., & Effiom, L. (2014). Corruption and infrastructure decay: Perceptible evidence from Nigeria. Journal of Economics and Sustainable
- lxxxv. Development, 5(10), 20-27. Retrieved from http://www.iiste.org/Journals/
- lxxxvi. Ogu, V. I. (2000). Stakeholders' partnership approach to infrastructure provision and management in developing world cities: Lessons from the sustainable Ibadan project. Human Settlement Development, 111, 1-18. doi:10.1016/S0197-3975(00)00015-1
- lxxxvii. Ogujiuba, K., & Obiechina, M. E. (2011). Financial sector reforms in Nigeria: Issues and challenges. International Journal of Business and Management, 6(6), 222-233.
- lxxxviii. doi:10.5539/ijbm.v6n6p222
- lxxxix. Ojo, E. O. (2012). Leadership crisis and political instability in Nigeria, 1964-1966: The personalities, the parties and the policies. Global Advanced Research Journal of
 - xc. History, Political Science and International Relation.1(1), 6-17.Retrieved from http://www.garj.org/garjhpsir/
 - xci. Okekeocha, C. (2013). A case study of corruption and public accountability in Nigeria. A Practicum Paper for M.A. Degree. Kennesaw State University.
 - xcii. Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2012). Reforming the unreformable: Lessons from Nigeria. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
 - xciii. Okonjo-Iweala, N. & Osafo-Kwaako, P. (2007). Nigeria's economic reforms: Progress and challenges. Retrieved from http://www.brokings.edu/research/papers/200
 - xciv. Okotoni, O. (2001). Problems and prospects of Nigerian bureaucracy. Journal of Social 193 Sciences, 7(3), 223-229. Retrieved from http://thescipub.com/jss.toc
 - xcv. Olaopa, T. (2010). Public service reforms in Africa. Ibadan, Nigeria: University Press Plc.
 - xcvi. Olaseni, M. & Alade, W. (2012). Vision 20:2020 and the challenges of infrastructure development in Nigeria. Journal of Sustainable Development, 5(2), 1-14. doi:10.5539/jsd.v5n2p63
 - xcvii. Olumide, H. A. (2011). Telecommunication reform and effects of competition on availability, quality and cost of services in Nigeria. Public Policy and Administration Research, 1(3), 8-20. Retrieved from http://www.iist.org/Journals/index.php/PPAR O'Sullivan, E., & Rassel, G. R. (1999). Research methods for public administrators (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Longman.
- xcviii. Oyedele, O. A. (2012). The challenges of infrastructure development in democratic governance. Constructive Economics and Management,1(6119), 1-15. Retrieved from www.fig.net/TSOIC
- xcix. Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative research and evaluation methods (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- c. Ploch, L. (2010). Nigeria: CRS report for congress. Congressional Research Service, 7(5700), 1-35. Retrieved from http://www.crs.gov
- ci. Riggio, R. E., & Murphy, S. E. (2003). The future of leadership development. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- cii. Rogger, D. (2014). The causes and consequences of political interference in bureaucratic decision-making: Evidence from Nigeria. Conference paper. Retrieved from 194 http://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/sites/blavatnik/files/documents/04.%20Dan%20Rogger%20The%20Role%20of%20Politicians%20-%20paper.pdf
- ciii. Rotberg, R. I. (2005). Strengthening governance: Ranking countries would help. The Washington Quarterly, 28(1), 71-81. doi:10.1162/0163660042518215
- civ. Sarros, J. C., & Santora, J. C. (2001). The transformational-transactional leadership model in practice. Leadership & Organizational Development Journal, 22(8), 383-394. doi:10.1108/01437730110410107
- cv. Shafritz, J. M., & Russell, E. W. (2003). Introducing public administration (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Longman.
- cvi. Shafritz, J. M., Russell, E. W., & Boricks, C. P. (2007). Introducing public administration (5th ed.). New York, NY: Pearson Longman.
- cvii. Singleton, R. A., & Straits, B. C. (2005). Approaches to social research. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- cviii. Songi, O. (2008). Electoral reform in Nigeria: Prospects and challenges. Retrieved from http://works.bepress.com
- cix. Stogdill, R. M. (1974). Handbook of leadership. New York, NY: The Free Press.
- cx. Taye, O. O., & Dada, M. O. (2012). Appraisal of private sector involvement in infrastructure development in Lagos, Nigeria. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 3(2), 399-412. doi:10.5901/mjss.2012.v3n2.399
- cxi. Therkildsen, O. (2001). Efficiency, accountability and implementation: Public sector reforms in East and Southern Africa. Democracy, Governance and Human Rights; UNRISD. Retrieved from http://www.unrisd.org
- cxii. Toikka, A. (2011). Governance theory as a framework for empirical research: A case 195 study of local environmental policy-making in Helsinki, Finland (Doctoral dissertation). University of Helsinki, Finland.
- cxiii. Transparency International (2014). Nigeria: Corruption and Insecurity. Retrieved from http://www.transparency.org.
- cxiv. Transparency International (2013). Transparency and accountability. United States Agency for International Development (USAID). Retrieved from http://www.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PDACP977.pdf
- cxv. Trochim, W. M. K., & Donnelly, J. P. (2008). The research methods knowledge base.
- cxvi. Mason, OH: Centage Learning.
- cxvii. Udoka, C. A., & Anyingang, R. A. (2012). The effects of privatization on economic growth on Nigeria: 1979-2007 in retrospect. International Journal of Economic Development, Research and Investment. 3(2), 25-35. Retrieved from http://www.icidr.org/United Nations (2007). Public governance indicators: A literature review. Retrieved from http://unpan1.un.org
- cxviii. World Bank Group (2002). Nigeria governance and corruption diagnostic study. Analysis of survey results. Final Report. Nigeria. Retrieved from http://www.docstoc.com
- cxix. Wright, P. (1996). Managerial leadership. London: Routledge.
- cxx. Yin, R. K. (2003). Case study research: Design and methods (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- cxxi. Zuofa, T., & Ochieng, E. G. (2014). Project failure: The way forward and panacea for development. International Journal of Business and Management, 9(11), 59-71. Retrieved from http://www.ccsenet.org/ijbm 196