THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLEDGE

Semi-pre Door Spaces

Dr. N. Bandyopadhyay Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Panskura Banamali College, West Bengal, India P. Bhattacharyya Professor, University of Kalyani, West Bengal, India

Abstract:

In this paper we introduce sp- door space with the aid of semi-preopen sets defined by Andrijevic⁷ [1]. Some basic properties of sp-door space including invariance is studied in this paper. 2010 Mathematics subject classification: 54C99

Keywords: s.p.o., spcl, sp-door

1. Introduction

The concept of door space was studied in some details by J. L. Kelley [6]. J. Dontchev [4] carried out further investigation on door space. In 1968, J.P. Thomas [10] defined semi-door space using semi open sets of Levine [7]. We introduce semi-pre door space (briefly sp-door space) utilising semi-preopen sets of Andrijević [1]. In section 2 of this paper some known definitions and result necessary for the presentation of the paper are given.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper (X, τ) or X always denotes a non trivial topological space. The family of all open sets containing x is denoted by $\Sigma(x)$. Interior and closure of a subset A of X is denoted by Int(A) and Cl(A) respectively.

- → Definition 2.1. A ⊂ X is called a semi-preopen [1] (resp. semi-open [7], pre-open [8], α -open [9]) set briefly s.p.o. set if A ⊂ Cl (Int (Cl (A))) (resp. A ⊂ Cl (Int (A)), A ⊂ Int (Cl (A)), A ⊂ Int(Cl (Int (A)))). The family of all semi-preopen (resp.pre-open) sets of X is denoted by SPO(X) (resp.PO(X)). For each x ∈ X, the family of all s.p.o. sets containing x is denoted by SPO(X, x).
- \rightarrow Definition 2.2. The complement of a s.p.o.(resp.s.o.) set is called semi-preclosed[1] (resp. semi-closed [7]).
- $\rightarrow \ \ \, \text{The family of all semi-preclosed(resp.semi-closed) sets \ \, \text{set \ of} \ \, X \ \, \text{is denoted by } SPF(X) \ \, (resp.SC(X)) \ \, .$
- → Definition 2.3. The semi-preclosure [1] of $A \subset X$ is denoted by spcl (A) and is defined by spcl (A) = $\cap \{B : B \text{ is semi-preclosed and } B \supset A\}$.
- → Definition 2.4. A topological space X is said to be sp- T_2 [5] iff for every pair of distinct points x,y ∈ X there exist disjoint sets U ∈ SPO(X,x) and V ∈ SPO(X,y).
- \rightarrow Definition 2.4. A topological space X is said to be
 - i) submaximal [2] if every dense subset of X is open;
 - ii) irreducible [3] if every open subset of X is dense;
 - iii) door space [6] iff for every $A \subset X$ either A is open or closed;
 - iv) semi-door space [10] iff for every $A \subset X$ either $A \in SO(X)$ or $A \in SC(X)$.
- → Lemma 2.1 [5]. In a topological space X if $A \in SPO(X)$ and B is an α set, then $A \cap B \in SPO(X)$.
- → Lemma 2.2 [5]. Let $A \subset Y \subset X$ and $Y \in PO(X)$, then $A \in SPO(X)$ iff $A \in SPO(Y)$.

3. We Begin with the Following Definition

- → Definition 3.1. A topological space is called semi-pre door space (briefly sp-door space) if for every subset A of X, either A ∈ SPO(X) or A ∈ SPF (X).
- \rightarrow Remark 3.1. Clearly a door space is a sp-door space but not conversely as the following example shows.
- → Example 3.1. Let X = {a, b, c} be the set with the topology $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$. Then SPO (X) = { $\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}$ }. Clearly X is sp-door space but not a door space.
- \rightarrow Remark 3.2. Evidently every semi-door space is a sp-door space but the converse is not always true as is exhibited in the next example.
- → Example 3.2. Let X= {a,b,c} be endowed with the topology $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}\}$. Then SO(X) = τ , SPO(X)= { ϕ , X, {a},{b},{a,c},{b,c}}. Clearly X is a sp-door space but not a semi-door space.

To examine the various properties of a sp-door space we need the following definitions and lemmas.

- → Definition 3.2. Let $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$. Then x is said to be a semi-pre limit point (briefly sp-limit point) iff $A \cap (U \{x\}) \neq \phi$ for all $U \in SPO(X, x)$.
- \rightarrow Remark 3. 3. Every sp-limit point of a set A is a limit point of A. But the converse is not true.
- \rightarrow Example 3.3. Let (X, τ) be the space of example 3.2. Take A = {a, c}. Then b is a limit point of A but not a sp-limit point of A.
- \rightarrow Definition 3.3 A subset A of X is said to be semi-predense (briefly sp-dense) iff spcl (A) = X.
- \rightarrow Remark 3.4. Obviously every sp-dense set is dense but a dense subset may not be sp-dense as is clear from the following example.
- \rightarrow Example 3.4. Let (X, τ) be the space of Example 3.2. Take A = {a}. Then A is dense but not sp-dense.
- \rightarrow Definition 3.4. A space X is said to be semi-pre submaximal (briefly sp-submaximal) iff every sp-dense subset of X is s.p.o.
- \rightarrow Remark 3.5. Clearly every submaximal space is sp-submaximal but the converse need not be true. This is clear from the next example.
- → Example 3.5. Let (X, τ) be the space of Example 3.1. Taking $A = \{a, b\}$ it is easy to see that Cl(A) = X but $A \notin \tau$. Thus (X, τ) is not submaximal. On the other hand the sp-dense subsets of X are $\{a\}$, $\{a, b\}$, $\{a, c\}$ and X. All these sets are s.p.o. sets and hence (X, τ) is sp-submaximal.
- \rightarrow Definition 3.5. A space X is said to be semi-pre irreducible (briefly sp-irreducible) iff every semi-preopen subset of X is sp-dense.
- \rightarrow Remark 3.6. Evidently every sp-irreducible space is irreducible but that the converse may not be true can be seen from the next example.
- → Example 3.6. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ be the set with the indiscrete topology τ . Then (X, τ) is irreducible. That (X, τ) is not spirreducible follows from the fact that $\{a\} \in SPO(X)$, spcl $(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ but $\{a\}$ is not sp-dense.
- \rightarrow Lemma 3.1. In a topological space X, if for a point $x \in X$, $\{x\} \in SPO(X)$, then x is not a sp-limit point of X.

The straightforward proof is omitted.

 \rightarrow Theorem 3.1. If X is a Hausdorff sp-door space, then X has at most one sp-limit point.

▶ Proof. Let us assume that x, y ∈ X (x ≠ y) be two sp-limit points of X. Hausdorffness of X provides two disjoint open sets U ∈ Σ (x) and V ∈ Σ (y). Set A = (U − {x}) ∪ {y}. Since X is a sp-door space either A ∈ SPO(X) or A ∈ SPF (X). Now if A ∈ SPO (X). then by Lemma 2.1 and the fact that every open set is an α-set it follows that A ∩ V ∈ SPO (X). But A ∩ V = {y} ⇒ {y} ∈ SPO (X). Again if A ∈ SPF (X) then X − A ∈ SPO (X) ⇒ (X − A) ∩ U = ((U − {x}) ∪ {y})^C ∩ U. An application of De Morgan's law produces (X − A) ∩ U=([U^C ∪ {x}] ∩ {y}^C) ∩ U = φ ∪ {x} = {x}. So from above, we get {x} = (X − A) ∩ U ∈ SPO (X). Thus one of {x} and {y} must be a s.p.o. set and therefore by Lemma 3.1 least one of x and y is not a sp-limit point. This contradicts our assumption. Hence the theorem.

- \rightarrow Theorem 3.2. If X is a sp-T₂ door space then X has at most one sp-limit point.
- \rightarrow Proof. Pursuing the same reasoning with minor modification in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in the result follows.
- \rightarrow Lemma 3.2. For any $A \subset X$, $\operatorname{spcl}_{Y}(A) = \operatorname{spcl}_{X}(A) \cap Y$.

Proof involves same argument as in classical case and therefore leftout.

 \rightarrow Theorem 3.3. Every α -subspace of a sp-door space is a sp-door space.

▶ Proof. Let X be a sp-door space ,Y be an α-set and A ⊂ Y. Since X is a sp-door space either A ∈ SPO (X) or A ∈ SPF (X). Now if A ∈ SPO (X) then since every α-set is a p.o. set, by Lemma 2.2 one obtains A ∈ SPO (Y). Again if Let A ∈ SPF (X) then by Lemma 3.2 it follows that spcl_Y (A) = spcl_X (A) ∩ Y = A ∩ Y = A ⇒ A ∈ SPF (Y). Hence Y is a sp-door space.

 \rightarrow Theorem 3.4. Every sp-door space is sp-submaximal.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ be sp-dense. Now sp-doorness of X indicates that either $A \in SPO(X)$ or $A \in SPF(X)$. If $A \in SPO(X)$, then there is nothing to prove. If $A \in SPF(X)$, then spcl (A) = A. But sp-denseness of X indicates that spcl(A) = X, whence from above, one obtains $A = X \implies A \in SPO(X)$. Hence A is a sp-door space.

 \rightarrow Theorem 3.5. Every sp-irreducible and sp-submaximal space is a sp-door space.

▶ Proof. Let X be a sp-irreducible and sp-submiximal space and $A \subset X$. Now if A is sp-dense then sp-submaximality of X guarantees that $A \in SPO(X)$. If A is not sp-dense then spcl $(A) \neq X$. Let $x \in X$ be such that $x \notin spcl (A)$. Then there exists a $U \in SPO(X, x)$ such that $U \cap A = \phi \Rightarrow U \subset X - A$. The sp-irreducibility of X yields that U is sp-dense. So, X = spcl (U). One now infers from above that spcl (X - A) = X ie. X - A is also sp-dense. Again sp-submaximality of X implies that $X - A \in SPO(X)$ which indicates that $\Rightarrow A \in SPF(X)$. Therefore in any case either $A \in SPO(X)$ or $A \in SPF(X)$. Hence X is a sp-door space.

4. Transfer Topology of *sp*-Door Space

- → Definition 3.6 . A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be sp-open if $f[A] \in SPO(Y)$ whenever $A \in SPO(X)$.
- \rightarrow Theorem 3.6. Let f : X \rightarrow Y be sp-open and surjective. If X is a sp-door space, then Y is a sp-door space.

▶ Proof. Let $A \subset Y$. Since X is a sp-door space either $f^{-1}[A] \in SPO(X)$ or $f^{-1}[A] \in SPF(X)$. If $f^{-1}[A] \in SPO(X)$ then the surjectivity and sp-openness of f together yield that $A = f f^{-1}[A] \in SPO(Y)$. Again if $f^{-1}[A] \in SPF(X)$ then $X - f^{-1}[A] = f^{-1}[Y - A] \in SPO(X)$. Pursuing the same technique we may see that $Y - A \in SPO(Y) \Rightarrow A \in SPF(Y)$. Thus either $A \in SPO(Y)$ or $A \in SPF(Y)$. In other words, Y is a sp-door space.

5. References

- i. D. Andrijević, Semipreopen Sets, Mat. Vensik, 38 (1986), 24 32.
- ii. N.Bourbaki, Elements de Mathematique, Algebre commutative, Chap. 2, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- iii. N.Bourbaki, General Topology, Part I, Addison-Wesley, Pulishing company Massachussetts, 1966.
- iv. Julian C Dontchev, On Door-spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math. 26(9), (1995) 873-881.
- v. P. K.Ghosh, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kalyani, Kalyani, West Bengal, 2005.
- vi. J.L.Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, Princston, N.J.1955.
- vii. N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36-41.
- viii. A.S. Mashhour, M.E.Abd. El-Monsef, S.N.El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982).47-53.
- ix. O. Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific. J. Math. 15 (3) (1965), 961 970.
- x. J.P.Thomas, Maximal connected topologies, J.Austral. Math.Soc.Se. A 8(1968)700-705.