

<u>ISSN:</u> <u>2278 – 0211 (Online)</u>

Analysis Of Critical Causes Of Delays In Indian Infrastructure Projects

Prof: Siddesh K Pai

DME, DBM, BE Mech, PGP PEM,MIM
Assistant professor , National Institute of construction Management & Research(NICMAR)

Mr. J.Raj Bharath

BE(CIVIL Engg), Post graduate program in Advance construction management

Abstract:

Due to the inherent risks and increasing complexity of modern construction projects, delays and cost overruns have become common facts in the industry. Researchers and practitioners have used many techniques to assess project delays and apportion delay responsibility among the parties involved. This paper deals with the causes which critically effect in delay of infrastructure projects in India. The delays and cost overruns have become a hallmark of infrastructure projects in India. Recently commissioned, Bandra-worli sea link amply demonstrates the state of project delivery system in the country. It was planned as a Rs300 crore project to be completed by 2004, has actually cost Rs1600 crores with the delay of five years. A survey with different construction companies in India is done to find out the critical reasons which are mainly affecting in the delay of the construction projects in India.

With the help of the literature reviews and a discussion with various construction parties 73 causes of delay are found which are mainly affecting the industry. The identified causes are combined into nine groups. The field of survey included 20 cotractors,1 consultant, and 1 owner. Data collected were analyzed by frequency, severity and importance indices. The members of survey were from the various construction industries of India. The names were listed in the paper.

1.Introduction

The focus of this study is on the root causes of delay in infrastructure projects. Many construction projects suffer from delay. Suspension means stoppage of work directed to the contractor by a form from the client, while delay is a slowing down of work without stopping it entirely. Delays give rise to disruption of work and loss of productivity, late completion of project, increased time related costs, and third party claims and abandonment or termination of contract. It is important that general management keep track of project progress to reduce the possibility of delay occurrence or identify it at early stages.

2.Literature Review

2.1. Understanding Time Delays And Disputes In Construction Contracts

Most of the construction projects are executed through contracts which are generally not easy to comprehend even by professionals. With advancement in technology and mammoth requirement of infrastructure in developing countries like India, there has been increase in size and complexities in the nature of projects. It is causing adverse impacts such as increase in number and frequency of claims and disputes besides time and cost overrun. It is essential to develop a system a that can assist the contract administrators to understand and evaluate worth of their claims prior to it to litigation.

2.2. Causes Of Delay In Large Construction Projects

A survey on time performance of different types of construction projects in Saudi Arabia was conducted to determine the causes of delay and their importance according to each of the project participants, i.e, the owner, consultant and the contractor. The field survey conducted included 23 contractors, 19 consultants, and 15 owners. Seventy three causes of delay were identified during the research. 76% of the contractors and 56% of the consultants indicated that average of time overrun is between 10% and 30% of the original duration. Survey concluded that 70% of the projects experienced time overrun and found that 45 out of 76 projects were delayed.

2.3. Delays And Cost Overruns In Infrastructure Projects: An Enquiry Into Extents Causes And Remedies

Media reports abound on instances of prolonged delays and excessive cost overruns in infrastructure projects. Only a small number of projects get delivered in time and within the budget. Examples of successful project implementation of Delhi Metro Rail, are few and appear only far in between. Indeed, the problem of time and cost overruns in India is widespread and severe. Yet, very few empirical studies exist on the subject. Even rarer are the studies based on completed projects. The study investigates various issues related to delays and cost overruns in publically funded infrastructure projects.

3. Methodology

The research through literature reviews and discussion with some parties involved in the construction industry identified a total of 73 causes which are mainly effecting in the delay of construction projects. A questionnaire was developed in order to evaluate the frequency of occurrence, severity and importance of the identified causes.

Data were gathered through a survey, analyzed by using frequency, severity and importance indices, taking in view owners, contractors and consultants. Agreement on the ranking of the importance of the causes of delay between each two groups of parties was also tested. Recommendations for minimizing delay in construction projects were emphasized in view of the results of the study.

3.1.Questionnaire Design

Data were gathered through a questionnaire. The questionnaire is divided into two main parts. Part 1 is related to general information for both the company and respondent. Both contractors and consultants were further requested to answer questions pertaining to their experience in the construction industry and their opinions about the percentage average time delay in projects they experienced. Part 2 includes the list of the identified causes of delay in construction project. These causes are classified into nine groups according to the sources of delay: factors related to project, owner, contractor, consultant, design-team, materials, equipment, manpower(labor), and external factors.

For each factor or cause two questions were asked: What is the frequency of occurrence for this cause? &What is the degree of severity of this cause in project delay? Both frequency of occurrence and severity were categorized as follows: always, often,

sometimes and rarely (on 4 to 1 point scale). Similarly, degree of severity was categorized as follows: extreme, great, moderate, and little(on 4 to 1 point scale).

3.2.Data Analysis Approach

The collected data were analyzed through the following statistical techniques and indices:

- Frequency Index: A formula is used to rank causes of delay based on frequency of occurrence as identified by the participants.
- Frequency Index= $\left(\sum (a n) \div N\right) \times (1 0 0 \div 4)$
- Where a is the constant expressing weighting given to each response(ranges from 1 for rarely up to 4 for always), n is the frequency of the responses, and N is the total number of responses.
- Severity Index: A formula is used to rank causes of delay based on severity of occurrence as identified by the participants.
- Severity Index= $\left(\sum \left(a \ n\right) \div N\right) \times \left(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \div \ 4\right)$
- Where a is the constant expressing weighting given to each response(ranges from 1 for little up to 4 for severe), n is the frequency of the responses, and N is the total number of responses.
- Importance Index: The importance index of cause is calculated as a function of both frequency and severity indices, as follows:
- Importance Index(I.I%)=(Frequency Index% × Severity Index%) ÷ 100

4. Questionnaire Format

4.1. Questionnaire Form For Delay Analysis In Infrastructure Projects

This Questionnaire focuses on the study of causes of delay in Infrastructure construction projects. This questionnaire consists of 73 causes of delay on which a detailed analysis will be carried out by using statistical concept. These causes are classified into nine groups according to the sources of delay: Factors related to project, owner, contractor, consultant, design-team, materials, equipment, manpower(labor), and external factors.

4.2.Details To Be Filled By The Respondent

Name:	Date:
Address:	Organization:
Designation:	Work Experience:
Contact No:	Email Id:

For each factor or cause two questions were asked: What is the frequency of occurrence for this cause? &What is the degree of severity of this cause in project delay? Both frequency of occurrence and severity were categorized as follows: always, often, sometimes and rarely (on 4 to 1 point scale). Similarly, degree of severity was categorized as follows: extreme, great, moderate, and little(on 4 to 1 point scale). Respondents are required to fill the respective places with only scale points(1,2,3,4) of their opinion.

4.3. Frequency Of Occurrence

- Always(4):Generally occurs in all the projects(70%-100%).
- Often(3): Occurs in 5 to 7 projects out of 10 projects(50%-70%).
- Sometimes(2):Occurs in 1 to 5 projects out of 10 projects(10%-50%).
- Rarely(1):Occurs only 1 time out of 10 projects(>10%).

4.4.Degree Of Severity

- Extreme(4): Greater than 50% increase in cost and time of project.
- Great(3): 25% to 50% increase in cost and time of project.
- Moderate(2): 10% to 25% increase in cost and time of project.
- Little(1): Less than 10% increase in cost and time of project.

The nine categories of delay are:

- Delay at Project level.
- Delay due to Owner.
- Delay due to Contractor.

- Delay due to consultant.
- Delay due to Designer.
- Delay due to Material.
- Delay due to Equipment.
- Delay due to labors.
- Delay due to External factors.

The categories mentioned above attached with the factors of delay are prepared in table format, to enquire about the frequency index and severity index rating from the respondents. The obtained results and findings are from Contractor's Perspective.

4.5.Results and Findings

No	Causes of Delay	Group	Frequency	Severity	Importance
			Index(%)	Index(%)	Index(%)
1	Original contract duration is too short		70.45	64.77	45.64
2.	Legal disputes between various parts		61.36	54.55	33.47
3.	Inadequate definition of substantial completion		47.73	48.86	23.32
4	Ineffective delay penalities	PROJECT	35.23	42.05	14.81
5	Types of construction contract (Turnkey,construction only)	GROUP	62.50	47.73	29.83
6	Type of project bidding and award (negotiation,lowest bidder.)		53.41	39.77	21.24
7	Delay in progress payments by owner		70.45	59.09	41.63
8	Delay to furnish and deliver the site to the contractor by the owner		61.36	60.23	36.96
9	Change orders by owner during construction		59.09	54.55	32.23
10	Late in revising and approving design documents by owner		65.91	59.09	38.96
11	Delay in approving shop drawings and sample materials	OWNER GROUP	54.55	57.95	31.61
12	Poor communication and coordination by owner and other parties		43.18	43.18	18.65
13	Slowness in decision making process by owner		59.09	50.00	29.55
14	Conflicts between joint ownership of the project		44.32	47.73	21.15
15	Unavailability of incentives for contractor for finishing ahead of schedule		56.82	47.73	27.12

17 Difficulties in financing project by contractor 18 Conflicts in subcontractors schedule in execution of the project 19 Rework due to errors during construction 20 Conflicts between contractor and other parties (consultant and owner) 21 Poor site management and supervision by the contractor 22 Poor communication and coordination by contractor with the other parties 23 Inteffective planning and scheduling of project by contractor 24 Improper construction methods implemented by contractor 25 Delay's in sub-contractor's work 26 Inadequate contractor's work 27 Frequent change of sub-contractor's because of their inefficient work 28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 29 Delay in site mobilization 29 Delay in site mobilization 20 Inflexibility of consultant 20 Inflexibility of consultant 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 36 Conflicts between consultant 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 30 Complexity of project design 30 Complexity of project design 30 Complexity of project design 31 Insufficient data collection and survey before design 31 Insufficient data collection and survey before design 32 Insufficient data collection and survey before design 34 Insufficient data collection and survey before design 35 Insufficient data collection and survey before design 36 Insufficient data collection and survey 36 Insufficient data collection and survey 36 Insufficient data collecti	16	Suspension of work by owner		38.64	46.59	18.00
Conflicts in subcontractors schedule in execution of the project		•				
18	1					0 1120
Rework due to errors during construction 20 Conflicts between contractor and other parties (consultant and owner) 50,000 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 22,16 50,00 44,32 44,318 42,05 18,16 50,00 26,70 50,00 50,70 50	18			53.41	53.41	28.53
19 Rework due to errors during construction 20 Conflicts between contractor and other parties (consultant and owner) 21 Poor site management and supervision by the contractor with the other parties 22 Poor communication and coordination by contractor with the other parties 23 Ineffective planning and scheduling of project by contractor 24 Improper construction methods implemented by contractor 25 Delay's in sub-contractor's work 26 Inadequate contractor's work 27 Frequent change of sub-contractor's because of their inefficient work 28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 29 Delay in site mobilization 20 Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 30 Complexity of project design 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4						
Poor site management and supervision by the contractor	19	1 0		47.73	57.95	27.66
21 Poor site management and supervision by the contractor	20	Conflicts between contractor and other		50.00	44.32	22.16
the contractor 22 Poor communication and coordination by contractor with the other parties 23 Ineffective planning and scheduling of project by contractor 24 Improper construction methods implemented by contractor work 25 Delay's in sub-contractor's work 26 Inadequate contractor's work 27 Frequent change of sub-contractor's because of their inefficient work 28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 29 Delay in site mobilization 30 Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey 42.05 42.05 17.68 47.73 44.32 21.15 47.73 44.32 20.31 47.73 44.32 20.31 47.73 44.32 20.31 47.73 44.32 20.31 47.73 44.32 20.31 47.73 44.32 20.31		parties (consultant and owner)				
22 Poor communication and coordination by contractor with the other parties Ineffective planning and scheduling of project by contractor CONTRACTOR GROUP	21	Poor site management and supervision by		53.41	50.00	26.70
CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR G9.32 G1.36 42.54		the contractor				
23 Ineffective planning and scheduling of project by contractor CONTRACTOR GROUP S1.14 S0.00 26.70	22	Poor communication and coordination by		43.18	42.05	18.16
Project by contractor		contractor with the other parties				
A	23	Ineffective planning and scheduling of		69.32	61.36	42.54
Implemented by contractor Sin 14 Sin 20 Sin 30		* * *				
Delay's in sub-contractor's work	24	Improper construction methods	GROUP	51.14	50.00	26.70
26 Inadequate contractor's work 27 Frequent change of sub-contractor's because of their inefficient work 42.05 42.05 17.68 47.73 39.77 18.98 28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 29 Delay in site mobilization 52.27 51.14 26.73 30 Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 17.68 42.05 17.68 42.05 42.05 42.05 17.68 42.05 42.05 17.68 42.05 42.05 17.68 42.05 42.05 17.68 42.05 42.05 42.05 17.68 42.05		<u> </u>				
27 Frequent change of sub-contractor's because of their inefficient work 47.73 39.77 18.98 28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 47.73 44.32 21.15 29 Delay in site mobilization 52.27 51.14 26.73 30 Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant 42.05 42.05 17.68 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 52.27 51.14 26.73 32 Inflexibility of consultant 61.36 48.86 29.98 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 60.23 50.00 30.11 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 59.09 53.41 31.56 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 34.09 48.86 24.43 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 16.66 36 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22 34 Delays in producing design documents 52.27 43.18 22.57 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 52.27 53.41 27.92 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79		•				
Decause of their inefficient work 28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 47.73 44.32 21.15		4				
28 Poor qualification of the contractor's technical staff 47.73 44.32 21.15 29 Delay in site mobilization 52.27 51.14 26.73 30 Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant 42.05 42.05 17.68 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 52.27 51.14 26.73 32 Inflexibility of consultant 52.27 51.14 26.73 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 61.36 48.86 29.98 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 59.09 53.41 31.56 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 50.00 48.86 24.43 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 16.66 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22 38 Delays in producing design documents 52.27 43.18 22.57 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 51.14 54.55 27.89 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79	27	-		47.73	39.77	18.98
technical staff						
29 Delay in site mobilization	28	*		47.73	44.32	21.15
30 Delay in performing inspection and testing by consultant 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 24.43 24.43 25 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	•					
testing by consultant 31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 24.43 25.77 25.27 25.		•				
31 Delay in approving major changes in the scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor	30	• • •		42.05	42.05	17.68
Scope of work by consultant 32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor communication/coordination between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 16.66 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey 45.45 54.55 24.79	21	,		52.27	<i>5</i> 1 1 <i>4</i>	26.72
32 Inflexibility of consultant 33 Poor	31			52.27	51.14	26.73
33 Poor	22	*		61.26	10 06	20.08
between the consultant and other parties 34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN GROUP 59.09 53.41 31.56 50.00 48.86 24.43 50.00 48.86 16.66 56.82 60.23 34.22 55.27 43.18 22.57 52.27 53.41 27.92 DESIGN		•	CONSULTANT		+	
34 Late in reviewing and approving design documents by consultant 59.09 53.41 31.56 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 50.00 48.86 24.43 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 16.66 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22 38 Delays in producing design documents 52.27 43.18 22.57 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 52.27 53.41 27.92 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79	33			00.23	30.00	30.11
documents by consultant 35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 50.00 48.86 24.43 50.00 48.86 16.66 52.27 43.18 22.57 53.41 27.92 51.14 54.55 27.89 DESIGN	34	*	GROCI	59.09	53 41	31 56
35 Conflicts between consultant and design engineer 50.00 48.86 24.43 36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 16.66 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22 38 Delays in producing design documents 52.27 43.18 22.57 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 52.27 53.41 27.92 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79	34			37.07	33.41	31.30
engineer 34.09 48.86 16.66 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22	35	•		50.00	48.86	24.43
36 Inadequate experience of consultant 34.09 48.86 16.66 37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22 38 Delays in producing design documents 52.27 43.18 22.57 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 52.27 53.41 27.92 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79					12700	
37 Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents 56.82 60.23 34.22 38 Delays in producing design documents 52.27 43.18 22.57 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 52.27 53.41 27.92 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79	36			34.09	48.86	16.66
documents 38 Delays in producing design documents 39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 52.27 43.18 22.57 53.41 27.92 51.14 54.55 27.89 45.45 54.55 24.79		A A				
39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 52.27 53.41 27.92 51.14 54.55 27.89 45.45 54.55		1				
39 Unclear and inadequate details in drawings 52.27 53.41 27.92 40 Complexity of project design 51.14 54.55 27.89 41 Insufficient data collection and survey 45.45 54.55 24.79	38	Delays in producing design documents		52.27	43.18	22.57
40 Complexity of project design 41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 51.14 54.55 27.89 45.45 54.55 24.79	39	Unclear and inadequate details in		52.27	53.41	27.92
41 Insufficient data collection and survey DESIGN 45.45 54.55 24.79		drawings				
	40	Complexity of project design		51.14	54.55	27.89
before design GROUP	41	Insufficient data collection and survey		45.45	54.55	24.79
		before design	GROUP			

42	Misunderstanding of owner's		45.45	52.27	23.76
42	requirements by design engineer		45.45	32.21	23.70
43	Inadequate design team experience		39.77	61.36	24.41
44	Un-use of advanced engineering design		52.27	44.32	23.17
	software				
45	Shortage of construction materials in		59.09	65.91	38.95
	market				
46	Changes in material types and		60.23	62.50	37.64
	specifications during the construction				
47	Delay in material delivery		65.91	63.64	41.94
48	Damage of sorted material while they are	MATERIAL	45.45	50.00	22.73
	needed urgently	GROUP			
49	Delay in manufacturing special building		54.55	54.55	29.75
	materials				
50	Late procurement of materials		54.55	54.55	29.75
51	Late in selection of finishing materials		54.55	59.09	32.23
	due to availability of many types in				
	market				
52	Equipment Breakdowns		62.50	59.09	36.93
53	Shortage of equipment		61.36	52.27	32.08
54	Low level of equipment-operator's skill	EQUIPMENT	50.00	59.09	29.55
55	Low productivity and efficiency of the	GROUP	51.14	47.73	24.41
	equipment				
56	Lack of high technology mechanical		52.27	44.32	23.17
	equipment	T A DOTTO	71.50	67.05	40.00
57	Shortage of labor	LABOUR	71.59	67.05	48.00
58	Unqualified workforce	GROUP	60.23	67.05	40.38
59	Nationality of labors		43.18	40.91	17.67
60	Low productivity level of labors		59.09	52.27	30.89
61	Personal conflicts among labors		50.00	47.73	23.86
62	Effects of subsurface conditions(eg.		76.14	77.27	58.83
62	Soil, high water table)		6477	64.77	41.96
63	Delay in obtaining permits from		64.77	64.77	41.90
64	municipality Hot weather effect on construction		54.55	48.86	26.65
04	activities		34.33	46.60	26.65
65	Rain effect on construction activities		50.00	56.82	28.41
66	Unavailability of utilities in site (such as		44.32	42.05	18.63
	water, electricity, telephone, etc)		77.52	72.03	10.03
67	Effect of social and cultural factors	EXTERNAL	43.18	37.50	16.19
68	Traffic control and restriction at job site	GROUP	40.91	43.18	17.67
69	Accident during construction		42.05	47.73	20.07
70	Different site(ground) conditions		53.41	47.73	25.49
70	Different site(ground) conditions		55.71	71.13	23. 1)

71	Changes in government regulations and	42.05	45.45	19.11
	laws			
72	Delay in providing services from	47.73	43.18	20.61
	utilities(such as water, electricity)			
73	Delay in performing final inspection and	42.05	37.50	15.77
	certificate by a third party			

Table 1

Extremely critical
Very critical
critical

5. Conclusion

The first step in reducing the delays in infrastructure construction project is to understand the root causes of the delay. The results provide a listing of root causes and issues that are directly responsible for most infrastructure construction project delays. Additionally, it is found that fundamental principles must be adopted before significant improvements can be made. The data were collected from the following construction Infrastructure companies

HCC-1,HCC-2,PATEL,PUNJ LOYD,SIMPLEX-R,AKSHAY,SOMA,VIJAY NIRMAN,M&M,AGRASEN,UNIQUE,ICT,ADANIPOWER,HCC-S3,HCC-BWSL,L&TECC,SHREEBUILDCON,SIMPLEXS,UNITY,SLIPCO,SAIL,SIMPLEX GUHATY

5.1. Findings And Conclusion

The below are the following important critical causes of delay from contractor's perspective and suitable recommendations are given.

PROJECT	Original contract duration is too	I.I=45.64%	Extremely
GROUP	short		Critical
	Legal dispute between various	I.I=33.47%	Very Critical
	parties		
	Type of construction contract	I.I=29.83%	Critical
OWNER	Delay in progress payment by	I.I=41.63%	Extremely
GROUP	owner		Critical
	Late revision and approval by	I.I=38.95%	Very Critical
	owner		
	Delay in giving site to contractor	I.I=36.96%	Critical

CONTRACTOR	Ineffective planning and	I.I=42.54%	Extremely
GROUP	scheduling and	1.1-42.5470	Critical
GROOI	Difficulty in financing by	I.I=34.25%	Very Critical
	contractor	1.1-34.23%	very Critical
		11 22 000/	G ::: 1
G0110717 m 1 1 1 m	Delay in subcontractor work	I.I=32.08%	Critical
CONSULTANT	Late revision and approval by	I.I=31.56%	Extremely
GROUP	consultant		Critical
	Poor communication	I.I=30.11%	Very Critical
	Inflexibility of consultant	I.I=29.98%	Critical
DESIGN	Mistakes & discrepancies in	I.I=34.22%	Extremely
GROUP	design document		Critical
	Unclear & inadequate details in	I.I=27.92%	Very Critical
	drawings		
	Complexity of the project design	I.I=27.89%	Critical
MATERIAL	Delay in material delivery	I.I=41.94%	Extremely
GROUP			Critical
	Shortage of material in market	I.I=38.95%	Very Critical
	Changes in material type and	I.I=37.64%	Critical
	specification		
EQUIPMENT	Equipment breakdown	I.I=36.93%	Extremely
GROUP			Critical
	Shortage of equipment on site	I.I=32.03%	Very Critical
	Low level skill of operator	I.I=29.55%	Critical
LABOUR	Shortage of labor	I.I=48.00%	Extremely
GROUP			Critical
	Unqualified work force	I.I=40.38%	Very Critical
	Low productivity	I.I=30.89%	Critical
EXTERNAL	Effects of subsurface condition	I.I=58.83%	Extremely
GROUP			Critical
	Delay in getting permit from	I.I=41.96%	Very Critical
	municipality		-
	Rain effect on site	I.I=28.41%	Critical
		l	1

Table 2: Results: Importance Index(I.I=%)&Degree of criticality

6.Recommendation

The following points can be recommended by all parties in order to minimize and control delays in construction projects:

6.1. Owner's Should Give Special Attention To The Following Factors

- Pay progress payment to the contractor on time because it impairs the contractor's ability to finance the work.
- Minimize change orders during construction to avoid delays.
- Avoid delay in reviewing and approving of design documents than the anticipated.
- Check for resources and capabilities, before awarding the contract to the lowest bidder.

6.2. Contractor's Should Consider The Following Factors

- Shortage and low productivity of labor: enough number of labors should be assigned and motivated to improve productivity.
- Financial and cash flow problems: contractor should manage his financial resources and plan cash flow by utilizing progress payment.
- Planning and scheduling: they are continuing process during construction and match with the resources and time to develop the work to avoid cost overrun and disputes.
- Site management and supervision: administrative and technical staff should be assigned as soon as project is awarded to make arrangements to achieve completion within specified time with the required quality and estimated cost.

6.3. Consultant's Should Look To The Following Factors

- Reviewing and approving design documents any delay caused by the consultant engineer in checking, reviewing and approving the design submittals prior to construction phase, could delay the progress of the work;
- Inflexibility: Consultants should be flexible in evaluating contractor works. Compromising between the cost and high quality should be considered.

6.4. Architect/Design Engineer Should Focus On The Following Factors

 Producing design documents on time: Architect or engineer should set a schedule to complete design documents on time, otherwise result in a delay of work completion. Mistakes and discrepancies in design documents: They are common reasons for redoing and drawings and may take a long time to make necessary corrections.

7. Reference

- Delays and Cost Overruns in Infrastructure Projects: An Enquiry into Extents, Causes and Remedies Ram Singh Department of Economics Delhi School of Economics University of Delhi 110007 ramsingh@econdse.
- 2. Gaspar, V. and Leite, A.(1989), 'Selection Bias Induced Cost overruns,' International Journal of Industrial Organization, 8(3), 443-67.
- 3. GOI(2006a), "Financing of the National Highway Development Programme", Report of the Core Group, Planning Commission, Government of Financing Support to Public Private Partnership in Infrastructure, Planning Commission, New Delhi.
- 4. GOI(2006b), "Guidelines on Financing Support to Public Private Partnerships in Infrastructure, Planning and Commission", New Delhi.
- 5. Managing Changes in Construction Projects, Written and compiled by the research team: University of the west England, Bristol Professor Ming Sun.
- 6. The Root Causes of Delays in Highway Construction, Dr. Ralph D. Ellis, Jr. Associate Professor Department of Civil and Coastal Engineering University of Florida, PO BOX 116580 Gainsvelle, FL 32611 Phone 325/392-3730,relli@ce.ufl.edu.
- 7. Construction Delay in International Projects, By Prof. Sami M. Fereig Ph.D., P. Eng. Dr. Nabil Kartam, Ph.D. Kuwait University, Kuwait.
- 8. "Pre-Execution Delays in Construction Project" by R.C. Boolchandani Chief Engineer (construction) MTP.
- 9. Abd.Majid M.Z. and Ronald M.(1998). Factors of Non-Excusable Delays that Influence Contractor's Performance, in U.K.