

ISSN 2278 - 0211 (Online)

A Matrix of Strategies in Teaching Biology: An Input to Pre-Service Teachers

Ronnie O. Daganasol

Student, Department of Biology, Visayas State University, Baybay City, Philippines **Damme Van C. Macasadog**

Researcher, Department of Biological Sciences, Leyte Normal University, Tacloban City, Philippines
Christine Joy A. Pilande

Teacher, Department of Biological Sciences, Leyte Normal University, Tacloban City, Philippines

Maria Lourdes G. Tan

Research Coordinator, College of Education, Leyte Normal University, Tacloban City, Philippines

Abstract:

A large percentage of pre-service teachers are facing problems during their practicum since they do not have sufficient knowledge about teaching strategies and when it should be integrated into instruction. This study is a descriptive research employing a survey method using a survey questionnaire and interview in the collection of data. It aims to identify the common and not commonly used strategies by in-service Biology teachers in teaching Biology in terms of content and skill. It is anchored on the K to 12 Basic Education Curriculum substantiated by Outcome-Based Teaching and Learning Theory. The participants were selected through random sampling including 72 in-service public Junior High School Biology teachers in which 29 were teaching Grade 7, 27 in Grade 8, 27 in Grade 9, and 25 in Grade 10. Data were tabulated using frequency count and were analyzed using percentage analysis. Results showed that lecture-discussion is the commonly used strategy in teaching the content yet considered to be the most ineffective strategy based on the interview, while cooperative learning is the commonly used strategy in teaching in terms of skills. Not commonly used strategies in teaching the content include jingle, music creation, poster-making, word puzzle, and think-pair-share while word puzzle for the skills. The result of the study pointed out the need for more training and seminars regarding the use of innovative science teaching strategies which will help improve students' performance in Biology both in content and skills.

Keywords: Biology education, teaching strategies, in-service teachers, pre-service teachers

1. Introduction

Teachers are traditionally known to impart knowledge solely, but in today's context, it is not enough for a teacher who is already in-service to teach in a vacuum, confirming on what is conventional. Instead, teachers now look for possible ways on how to deliver their instruction effectively by keeping students motivated and engaged. The teacher as implementer has to choose the right strategies to render effective teaching and instruction to learners (Pambid 2015; Boiser 2000) aside from the fact that there are numerous learning and teaching strategies freely available. Teaching strategies alongside the teacher's knowledge about the curriculum and mastery of the subject matter are one of the determinants in the effectiveness of the curriculum (Kamamia 2014; Duze 2012). It can be deduced that the fundamental importance of teaching strategies is to make teaching instruction efficient and effective by implementing a variety of teaching methods and techniques; hence, having a repertoire of a variety of teaching strategies will help students take more responsibility and enhance their learning as well as to improve the process of teaching for learning. However, large percentage of the pre-service teachers who are expected to be in-service teachers in the future are facing problems in integrating teaching strategies for their instruction during their practicum since they lack the exposure to these strategies (Mangila, 2018).

For an easy integration of strategies to instruction, this research undertaking specifically aimed to: (a) find out the commonly and not commonly used strategies used by selected in-service Public Junior High School Biology teachers in teaching Biology (Living Things and Their Environment) in terms of content and skills and (b) to develop a matrix that will serve as a guide in choosing the strategies in teaching Biology contents and skills.

2. Theoretical Framework

The study is anchored on the K to 12 Basic Education Curriculum, also known as Enhanced Basic Education Curriculum that offers a decongested 12-year program. As the current curriculum used in the Philippine educational system gives students sufficient time to master skills and absorb necessary competencies to provide teaching approaches that could improve the teaching and learning process. In line with this theory is the Outcomes-Based Teaching and

Learning which focuses on student-centered approaches rather than teacher-centered approaches in the delivery of educational programs (Garrett, 2008; Llanes, 2010). As cited in the paper of Magtolis (2013) and Livingstone (2014), it has its roots from the idea of Biggs and Tang (2011) who further called OBTL as constructive alignment because students can construct meaning out of the relevant activities they are exposed. Moreover, Piaget's constructivism is affixed to the curriculum because of the integration of the spiral progression approach where current in-service teachers have a choice on what teaching strategies to use to come up with a meaningful learning experience (Bada, 2015).

Catering diverse intelligences of the students which enables a teacher to choose appropriate methods and strategies to use in instruction is the primary concern of Gardner's multiple intelligences theory. It emphasizes what the learner can bring inside the classroom by providing cues to what strategies the teacher can employ while considering individual characteristics (Bordei, 2016). According to Lewis (2012) as mentioned in the paper of Gorontalo (2018), allowing students to perform specific tasks together as divided into small groups develops critical thinking skills and cooperative learning because they are part of the discussion. Contemporary teaching can be best implemented through learning by design and project-based learning as it contends that building knowledge occurs best through making things that are tangible and sharable (Ralph 2015; Ackerman et al. 2009). It is supported by Wurdinger and Carlson (2010) who stated that students' learning must be facilitated by the instructor for them to apply their knowledge and conceptual understanding of real-world problems or authentic situations. This thought highlights experiential learning where teachers must employ a strategy to help bring about learning by trying to emphasize issues relevant to the learners and the context, they are in.

Indeed, upholding to these theories and approaches helped to assimilate the realization of the core learning area standard that develops scientific, technological and environmental literacy among learners as prescribed by the K to 12 Science curriculum. By integrating them across science topics and other disciplines with the aid of the spiral progression approach result to a meaningful understanding of concepts and direct application to real-life situations.

2.1. Conceptual Framework

The study is directed to answers the identified problems with the use of the schematic diagram shown below.



Figure 1: Conceptual Framework of the Study

The figure shows how the study looked into the teaching of Biology (Living Things and Their Environment) contents and skills in the field concerning to the contemporary methods and strategies used by in-service Public Junior High School to bring about the commonly used strategies and develop a matrix containing the possible strategies that can be used in choosing teaching strategies. Collectively, the findings and results will serve as an input to other in-service teachers and to pre-service teachers in becoming an effective teacher by equipping and immersing themselves into a variety of strategies and when to use them. The impact on them would be an additional input to the various educational-related individuals and authorities regarding what to retain, improve, and remove during instruction.

2.2. Review of Related Literature

Science, engineering, and technology has been widespread in every feature of modern life and used as an instrument to conquer humanity's most pressing challenges. The journey to seek an answer to a problem by exhibiting methods and principles in Science is to acquaint with the ever-flourishing technology advancements and to know and understand the challenges faced by the environment. These are the most critical components of the learning process in the 21st century Science teaching which the Committee on Conceptual Framework for New K to 12 Science Education Standards designed the framework comprising the broad set of expectations for students in Science. In the Philippines, this can be reflected in the K to 12 Science curriculum which envisions the development of scientifically, technologically, and environmentally literate and productive members of society who are critical problem solvers, responsible stewards of nature, innovative and creative citizens, informed decision makers, and effective communicators.

Teachers, as one of the determinants of the success of a curriculum, bombarded with vast strategies can use them in today's teaching instruction. It is crucial to remember that not every strategy can or should be applied in every teaching situation. Instructional strategies serve as tools in designing and implementing instruction; hence, these can be used synchronously provided that it serves its purpose which is to support and nurture student learning. Based from the report of Schroeder et al. (2002), the Center for Mathematics and Science Education Project of Texas in A&M University presented descriptions of the identified effective research-based strategies in teaching K to12 Science which includes enhanced context strategies, collaborative grouping strategies, questioning strategies, inquiry strategies, manipulation strategies, assessment strategies, instructional strategies, and enhanced material strategies.

Effective science teachers are those who recognize suitable methods for teaching specific concepts or skills in different situations that provide appropriate instruction and those who have a vast array of instructional strategies and methods available to produce successful learning. Pre-service teachers as future educators play a vital role in the component and integral part of the curriculum (Alsubaie 2016; Mavrogiorgos 2014; Avgitidis 2007;). It is claimed that

many pre-service teachers have considered their pre-service teaching or practicum to be the most significant influence upon learning how to teach (Adoniou 2013; Hastings 2010). However, it was observed that the pre-service teachers do not have sufficient knowledge about teaching strategies, methods, and techniques and that they cannot even distinguish between these concepts (Pambid 2015; Gunes et al. 2011).

In today's setting, if the teacher intends to create more interactive learning environments, he or she has to integrate technology and use innovative strategies applicable into the learning experience because students are more likely interested and abreast. The world today is changing and advancing so teachers especially the pre-service teachers who are to be in-service teachers in the future need to be flexible, innovative and technology savvy. Thus, this calls for the identification of the strategies used by the present in-service teachers and the development of a repertoire of strategies for the immersion of the pre-service teachers to this variety of strategies. So as to create meaningful learning in the process of teaching and learning that requires contextualization by bridging the students' real-life experiences into the content and deliverance.

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design

The study utilized a descriptive research design employing a survey method in the collection of data where the respondents answer questions administered through survey questionnaires where quantifiable information was used for statistical inference. The data gathered were validated through conducting interviews to further elaborate their answers and to strengthen the reliability of the results.

3.2. Research Locale

The information presented in this study is geographically based in the Tacloban City Division where the participants are currently affiliated. Tacloban City as the regional center of the region of Eastern Visayas and despite being autonomous from the province of Leyte, still many educationally-motivated individuals in nearby municipalities and provinces seek to enroll because of the various public and private institutions that provide an excellent training ground for productive individuals in the future.

3.3. Sampling Procedure

The sampling technique utilized was random sampling wherein the researchers selected the respondents randomly for the pilot testing and during the actual data gathering by getting the list of all schools under the Division of Tacloban City and each school provided the number of teachers teaching Biology. Out of the 88 teachers, 13 teachers were chosen as the participants for pilot testing to achieve feasibility and 72 teachers for the actual data gathering with 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error for the results to establish reliability.

3.4. Data Instrument and Collection

Researchers have sought permission to each of the chosen schools where the study was conducted. The use of a survey questionnaire as an instrument was then distributed to the research respondents where they have to provide their demographic profile and placed a checkmark before the name of the strategy or strategies, they are utilizing in teaching Biology contents and skills. A follow-up interview consisted by eight open-ended questions was conducted to support their answers on the survey questionnaire and holistically develop the matrix for the possible instructional strategies that can be used in teaching Biology (Living Things and Their Environment). The gathered data were tabulated, analyzed and interpreted by using frequency count and percentage analysis as statistical tools. The different strategies used by the respondents in teaching the contents and skills in Biology in each grade level were tallied to derive the frequency of each strategy as well as to determine the value percentage. These enable to identify the common and not commonly used strategies in teaching Biology contents and skills and the matrix to be developed.

3.5. Data Analysis

The gathered data during the pilot testing and actual data collection were coded and tallied for commonalities. Frequency count and percentage analysis were used to analyze the data provided by the respondents to give accurate interpretations and conclusions of the results gathered during the survey conducted. In the form of a table, all the strategies used in teaching Biology contents and skills were summarized to develop the matrix.

4. Results and Discussion

This chapter presented the results of the research study drawn out from the answers of the respondents in the survey questionnaires and interviews with the corresponding interpretation and analysis. They are presented in a manner that they sequentially answer the research questions.

| | | | | | | Sex | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|--------|---------|-------|----------------|------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|-------|--------|-----|-----|-------|------------|------|
| Age | | Male | | _ | | Fen | | nale | | | DΝ | NS | | _ | Total | Percentage | |
| J | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 | Т | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 | Т | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 | Т | | |
| 21-30 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 28 | 30% |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 18 | 19% |
| 41-50 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 10 | 11% |
| 51-60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 7 | 8% |
| DNS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 30 | 30 | 32% |
| | Tot | al | | | 10 | | | | | 53 | | | | | 30 | 93 | 100% |
| I | Percer | ntage | | | 11% | | | | | 57% | | | | | 32% | 100% | |
| B. Specializ | ation (| or Maj | jor Fie | eld | | | | C. Hi | ghest | Educa | tiona | l Degr | ee | | | | |
| f f | | f | | т | T % Educations | | | - 6 | | f | | | - | т % | | | |
| Specializa | G7 G8 G9 | | G10 |) ' | 70 | Ea | ucation | nai Deg | ree | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 | | 1 /0 | | |
| Biological Sc | iences | 15 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 45 | 42 | Bach | elor's [| Degree | ; | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 52 | 48 |
| Physical Scient | ences | 3 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 21 | Mast | er's De | egree | | 10 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 27 | 25 |
| General Scie | ences | 8 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 23 | Docto | orate D |)egree | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Others | | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 | Supp | lement | al Deg | ree | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DNS | | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 8 | DNS | | | | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 24 | 22 |
| | T | OTAL | - | | | 108 | 100 | TOTAL | | | | | | 108 | 100 | | |
| D. Length o | f Time | Sper | nt in T | eachi | ng Bio | logy | | E. Na | ature o | of App | ointm | ent | | | | | |
| No. of Ye | | | | f | | Т | % | Natu | us of A | \ m m m imt | mont | | | f | | т | % |
| No. or Ye | ars | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 | | 70 | เงลเน | re of A | Appoint | ment | G7 | G8 | G9 | G10 | | 70 |
| 1 to 5 years | | 12 | 12 | 16 | 10 | 50 | 46 | Teac | her 1 | | | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 21 |
| 6 to 10 years | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 19 | Teac | her 2 | | | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
| 11 to 15 yea | rs | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 11 | Teac | her 3 | | | 12 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 38 | 35 |
| Others | | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 13 | Othe | rs | | | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 13 |
| DNS | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 11 | DNS | | | | 4 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 26 | 24 |
| TOTAL 108 100 | | | | TOTAL | | | | 108 | 100 | | | | | | | | |

Table 1: Profile of the Respondents of the Study

The respondents of the study included seventy-two (72) teachers who are composed mainly of females belonging to the age group between 21-30 years old with Biological Sciences as their major field of specialization and Bachelor's degree for their highest educational degree attained. Most of the teachers have taught Biology in the span of 1 to 5 years due to the ever-changing system of giving loads and preparation to the teachers. However, in terms of their nature of appointment of their service, mostly are Teacher 3 which implies that they are engaged in continued professional development. It is important to take note that the total number respondents reflected in Table 1 may exceed the total number of respondents of the study because some of the teachers teach Biology in other grade levels.

| r | Strategy | f | 96 | r | Strategy | f | 96 |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|-------|----|----------------------|------|------|
| 1 | Lecture-Discussion | 481 | 28.13 | 18 | Boardwork | 13 | 0.79 |
| 2 | Multimedia Instruction | 421 | 25.69 | 10 | Pick and Match | 13 | 0.79 |
| 3 | Brainstorming | 98 | 5.98 | 19 | Direct Instruction | 12 | 0.73 |
| 4 | Cooperative Learning | 77 | 4.70 | 20 | Situational Analysis | 11 | 0.67 |
| 5 | Role Playing | 48 | 2.93 | 21 | 4 Pios 1 Word | 9 | 0.55 |
| 6 | Picture Analysis | 43 | 2.62 | 21 | Video Making | 9 | 0.55 |
| 7 | Cues and Questioning | 41 | 2.50 | 22 | Simulation | 8 | 0.49 |
| 8 | In-School Field Experience | 38 | 2.32 | | GalleryWalk | 7 | 0.43 |
| 9 | Research Review | 37 | 2.26 | 23 | K-W-H-L | 7 | 0.43 |
| 10 | Concept Mapping | 32 | 1.95 | | Poem Making | 7 | 0.43 |
| 10 | Reporting | 32 | 1.95 | | Checklist | 5 | 0.31 |
| 11 | Laboratory Activity | 31 | 1.89 | 24 | Memory Game | 5 | 0.31 |
| 12 | Model Making | 30 | 1.83 | | Trivia Question | 5 | 0.31 |
| 13 | Jigsaw | 22 | 1.34 | 25 | Realias | 4 | 0.24 |
| 14 | Show and Tell | 21 | 1.28 | | Jingle | 1 | 0.08 |
| 15 | Graphic Organizer | 20 | 1.22 | | MusicCreation | 1 | 0.08 |
| 16 | Film Showing | 19 | 1.18 | 26 | Poster Making | 1 | 0.08 |
| | Consequence Mapping | 16 | 0.98 | | Think-Pair-Share | 1 | 0.08 |
| 17 | P.O.E. | 16 | 0.98 | | Word Puzzle | 1 | 0.08 |
| | Venn Diagram | 16 | 0.98 | 39 | TOTAL | 1519 | 100 |
| Con | tinue to the next column | | | 39 | TOTAL | 1019 | 100 |

Table 2: Strategies Used Across All Grade Levels in Teaching Biology in Terms of the Content

The results revealed that 39 strategies were utilized by the selected Biology teachers across all grade levels in teaching Biology in terms of the content. Relative to this, lecture-discussion was the commonly used strategy while jingle, music creation, poster making, think-pair-share, and word puzzle were the strategies which are not commonly used. Lecture-discussion is the best teaching method that can be applied in many circumstances and for many students especially for communicating conceptual knowledge (Charlton, 2006) like in teaching the contents in Biology. In 2014, the Center for Instructional Development and Distance Education argued that despite its disadvantages such as providing students with individual feedback, difficult to adapt to learning differences and failure to promote independent learning can be addressed by incorporating into the lecture with other teaching strategies like questioning and problem-solving activities will surely support active learning.

| r | Strategy | f | % | r | Strategy | f | % |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|--------------|----|-------------------------------|------|--------------|
| 1 | Cooperative Learning | 354 | 12.26 | 26 | Show and Tell | 16 | 0.55 |
| 2 | Multimedia Instruction | 314 | 10.88 | | Targeted Feedback | 15 | 0.52 |
| 3 | Laboratory Activity | 305 | 10.56 | 27 | 27 Tracking One's Meal | | 0.52 |
| 4 | Brainstorming | 217 | 7.52 | | Trivia Question | 15 | 0.52 |
| 5 | Picture Analysis | 166 | 5.75 | | 4 Pics 1 Word | 14 | 0.48 |
| 6 | Boardwork | 123 | 4.26 | 28 | Music Creation | 14 | 0.48 |
| 7 | Role Playing | 86 | 2.98 | | Planning A Healthy Diet | 14 | 0.48 |
| 8 | P.O.E. | 84 | 2.91 | 29 | Name Game | 13 | 0.45 |
| 9 | Model Making | 77 | 2.67 | | Debate | 12 | 0.42 |
| 10 | Comic Strip Making | 72 | 2.49 | 30 | Gallery Walk | 12 | 0.42 |
| 11 | Graphic Organizer | 68 | 2.36 | 30 | Modelling | 12 | 0.42 |
| | In-School Field Experience | 68 | 2.36 | | Peer Teaching | 12 | 0.42 |
| 12 | Venn Diagram | 64 | 2.22 | | 3-Day Menu | 11 | 0.38 |
| 13 | Lecture-Discussion | 59 | 2.04 | 31 | Making Illustrations | 11 | 0.38 |
| 14 | Simulation | 55 | 1.91 | | Word Wall | 11 | 0.38 |
| 15 | Lecture-Demonstration | 50 | 1.73 | 32 | Film Showing | 10 | 0.35 |
| 16 | Concept Mapping | 49 | 1.70 | 32 | Instructional Analysis | 10 | 0.35 |
| 17 | Situational Analysis | 46 | 1.59 | 33 | Memory Game | 9 | 0.31 |
| 18 | Direct Instruction | 39 | 1.35 | 34 | Journal Making | 8 | 0.28 |
| 19 | Consequence Mapping | 38 | 1.32 | 34 | Think-Pair-Share | 8 | 0.28 |
| פֿו | Reporting | 38 | 1.32 | 35 | Integration with Other Conten | 7 | 0.24 |
| 20 | Research Review | 27 | 0.94 | 36 | Survey Activity | 6 | 0.21 |
| 21 | Collaborative Learning | 26 | 0.90 | | Culminating Activity | 5 | 0.17 |
| 21 | Picture Puzzle | 26 | 0.90 | 37 | Reaction Papers | 5 | 0.17 |
| 22 | Classifying Organisms | 24 | 0.83 | | Realias | 5 | 0.17 |
| 23 | Reciprocal Teaching | 22 | 0.76 | 38 | Focus Group Discussion | 4 | 0.14 |
| 24 | Advertisement Making | 19 | 0.66 | 30 | Video Making | 4 | 0.14 |
| 25 | Cues and Questionning | 18 | 0.62 | 39 | Poem Making | 3 | 0.10 |
| 25 | Story Making | 18 | 0.62 | 29 | R.E.P.R. | 3 | 0.10 |
| | Jigsaw | 16 | 0.55 | 40 | Poster Making | 2 | 0.07 |
| 26 | Playing A Board Game | 16 | 0.55 | 41 | Word Puzzle | 1 | 0.03 |
| | Project-Based Task | 16 | 0.55 | 63 | TOTAL | 2600 | 100 |
| Con | tinue to the next column. | | | 63 | IUIAL | 2600 | 100 |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 3: Strategies Used Across All Grade Levels In Teaching Biology In Terms Of The Skills

On the other hand, the commonly used strategy by selected Biology teachers in teaching Biology in terms of the skills was cooperative learning and word puzzle as the not commonly used strategy. Cooperative learning is employed by teachers to increase student understanding of content, to build particular transferable skills, or some combination of the two from small group work to capitalize on the impacts of peer-to-peer interaction and discussion (Brame and Biel 2015; Johnson et al. 2008). Promoting students in working together maximize their own and each other's learning is the primary instructional use of small groups. Johnson and Johnson (2015) stressed that cooperative learning has characterized by positive interdependence, where students perceive that better performance by individuals produces better performance by the entire group. They also added that it is one of the most effective teaching approaches to be used to analyze the results of the activities performed.

| | Strategy | f | % | r | Strategy | f | % |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|--------------|----|----------------------------------|------|--------------|
| 1 | Multimedia Instruction | 735 | 16.60 | 31 | Story Making | 18 | 0.41 |
| 2 | Lecture-Discussion | 520 | 11.74 | 32 | Playing A Board Game | 16 | 0.36 |
| 3 | Cooperative Learning | 431 | 9.73 | 32 | Project-Based Task | 16 | 0.36 |
| 4 | Laboratory Activity | 336 | 7.59 | | Music Creation | 15 | 0.34 |
| 5 | Brainstorming | 315 | 7.11 | 33 | Targeted Feedback | 15 | 0.34 |
| 6 | Picture Analysis | 209 | 4.72 | | Tracking One's Meal | 15 | 0.34 |
| 7 | Boardwork | 136 | 3.07 | 34 | Memory Game | 14 | 0.32 |
| 8 | Role Playing | 134 | 3.03 | 34 | Planning A Healthy Diet | 14 | 0.32 |
| 9 | Model Making | 107 | 2.42 | | Name Game | 13 | 0.29 |
| 10 | In-School Field Experience | 106 | 2.39 | 35 | Pick and Match | 13 | 0.29 |
| 11 | P.O.E. | 100 | 2.26 | | Video Making | 13 | 0.29 |
| 12 | Graphic Organizer | 88 | 1.99 | | Debate | 12 | 0.27 |
| 13 | Concept Mapping | 81 | 1.83 | 36 | Modelling | 12 | 0.27 |
| 14 | Venn Diagram | 80 | 1.81 | | Peer Teaching | 12 | 0.27 |
| 15 | Comic Strip Making | 72 | 1.63 | | 3-Day Menu | 11 | 0.25 |
| 16 | Reporting | 70 | 1.58 | 37 | Making Illustrations | 11 | 0.25 |
| 17 | Research Review | 64 | 1.45 | | Word Wall | 11 | 0.25 |
| 18 | Simulation | 63 | 1.42 | 38 | Instructional Analysis | 10 | 0.23 |
| 19 | Consequence Mapping | 54 | 1.22 | | Poem Making | 10 | 0.23 |
| 20 | Direct Instruction | 51 | 1.15 | 20 | Realias | 9 | 0.20 |
| 21 | Lecture-Demonstration | 50 | 1.13 | 39 | Think-Pair-Share | 9 | 0.20 |
| | Jigsaw | 38 | 0.86 | 40 | Journal Making | 8 | 0.18 |
| 23 | Show and Tell | 37 | 0.84 | 41 | Integration with Other Content A | 7 | 0.16 |
| 24 | Film Showing | 29 | 0.65 | 41 | K-W-H-L | 7 | 0.16 |
| 25 | Collaborative Learning | 26 | 0.59 | 42 | Survey Activity | 6 | 0.14 |
| 26 | Picture Puzzle | 26 | 0.59 | | Checklist | 5 | 0.11 |
| 20 | Classifying Organisms | 24 | 0.54 | 43 | Culminating Activity | 5 | 0.11 |
| 27 | 4 Pics 1 Word | 23 | 0.52 | | Reaction Papers | 5 | 0.11 |
| 28 | Reciprocal Teaching | 22 | 0.50 | 44 | Focus Group Discussion | 4 | 0.09 |
| 29 | Trivia Question | 20 | 0.45 | 45 | Poster Making | 3 | 0.07 |
| 20 | Advertisement Making | 19 | 0.43 | 45 | R.E.P.R. | 3 | 0.07 |
| 30 | Gallery Walk | 19 | 0.43 | 46 | Word Puzzle | 2 | 0.05 |
| 31 | Cues and Questionning | 18 | 0.41 | 47 | Jingle | 1 | 0.02 |
| Col | ntinue to the next column. | • | • | 66 | TOTAL | 4103 | 100 |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 4: Summary of All Teaching Strategies Used by Selected in-Service Public Junior High School Biology Teachers in Teaching Biology Content and Skills across All Grade Levels

Moreover, out of 66 teaching strategies used by in-service Public Junior High School Biology teachers across all grade levels in teaching both the contents and skills in Biology, multimedia instruction is the commonly used strategy while jingle is the not widely used strategy.

The advantages such timeliness and effectiveness are one of the reasons why multimedia instruction is the most commonly used strategy among others because it altered the landscape in the educational arena by providing the students an opportunity to manage cognitive load which increases motivation (Mayer, 2014) and retention (Conrad and Bliemel, 2016) by developing inquisitiveness makes learning experiences purposeful (Ketsman 2014; Allen 2003). It also allows learners to be creative in a way that it will enable them to become active producers of knowledge by reducing the need for memorization through changing "how" by "why" in the classrooms according to Neary and Winn (2009). As revealed during the interview, excerpts are in the text below:

INTVW, Exc. 1

Teacher 11:

Multimedia for me is the commonly used strategy especially that the students, the millennials nowadays are much more on multimedia rather than visual aids like

Figure 1

INTVW, Exc. 2

Teacher 10:

The most effective teaching strategy is the multimedia presentation by downloading different kinds of video presentation which are related to my topic. It was indeed effective, most effective because students are learning a lot of things rather than chalk talk, like I talk while writing something on the

Figure 2

Despite being a commonly used strategy, problems still confront teachers upon its implementation particularly in the absence of electricity and unforeseen technicalities. However, teachers are said to be problem-solvers, so they provided interventions as cited by the teacher- respondents during the interview.

INTVW, Exc. 3

Teacher 4:

As for the technical glitches, I made sure to set up my multimedia equipment ahead of time so as to avoid delay and wastage of time, especially if the laboratory activities and learner's materials are unavailable.

Figure 3

INTVW, Exc. 4

Teacher 10:

If there are problems, I encountered in the multimedia, I actually give my PowerPoint presentation through an application for the students to have a copy.

Figure 4

The result indicated that lecture-discussion was the second common strategy and it is not surprising since its widespread use is well documented (Goffe and Kauper 2014; Smith and Valentine, 2012). According to Carnegie Mellon University (2019), it is an excellent strategy for enhancing student motivation, fostering intellectual agility, and provides avenues for exploration and discovery. However, numerous studies have demonstrated that traditional lectures relying on passive learning is not as effective as active, student-centered learning strategies (Lom 2012; Tanner 2009). It noted that lecture-discussion was pointed out by the respondents as least effective during the interview. The responses of the teachers attest this point of view written in the following text.

INTVW, Exc. 5

Teacher 5:

The least effective strategy is the lecture-discussion because some of the students are not listening, some were talking to their seatmates and some were not able to understand what the teacher was talking about.

Figure 5

INTVW, Exc. 6

Teacher 11:

Spoon feeding the students is not the trend any more for students tend to be bored most of the time. If teacher does the talking and the ideas and information are being spoon-fed by the teacher. It should be then the students, student-centered activities should be catered wherein the teacher will just serve as the facilitator in class.

However, considering this critical claim of the respondents is the answer to why there are contrasting results between the result of the survey and their responses in the interview as stipulated in the statement below

INTVW, Exc. 7

Teacher 13:

... teachers can use it anytime as long as you have the mastery of the lesson. As you can notice, teachers nowadays are not only tasked to teach, we also accomplish reports if you are aware of that. We are told to do so many things and we even play roles that are beyond the teaching profession. Sometimes it's painful to think that we cannot prepare that much for our lessons due to these additional roles we play in the school premises. So, when these situations occur, lecture-discussion would be a good alternative to use.

Figure 7

This claim implies that teachers resorted to using lecture- discussion despite its ineffectiveness considering it as suitable in all situations or an answer to any school's urges with their intention of not wasting time or establishing gaps between their lessons. It may be an excuse but the mere fact, it happens in the real scenario. Even the Guyana Ministry of Education (2017) also perceived the same claim that the traditional lecture method of teaching is the only way to be applied in all circumstances.

Upon gathering the necessary data, development of matrix completed the process which comprises all the strategies used by every grade level in-service Public Junior High School Biology teacher in the Division of Tacloban City in teaching Biology contents and skills. As the expected outcome of the study, it primarily intends to provide an input to pre-service teachers in practicing the field of teaching. As shown in the succeeding tables is the developed matrix of the study.

GRADE 7
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| I. Parts and Functions | | | | |
| | | Showand Tell | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | Simulation | 1 | Cooperative Learning |
| | 1. identify parts of the | Direct Instruction | Activity 1. How to Use the | Film Showing |
| | microscope and their | Lecture-Discussion | Light Microscope | Realias |
| | functions; | Word Puzzle | 1 | Collaborative Activity |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | 1 | Simulation |
| | | Boardwork | | Picture Analysis |
| Microscopy | | Laboratory Activity |] | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | Activity 1.What makes up | Laboratory Activity |
| | İ | Simulation | an organism? | Realias |
| | 2. focus specimens using | Direct Instruction | an organism? | 4 Pics 1 Word |
| | the compound microscope; | Lecture-Demonstration |] | Collaborative Learning |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Picture Analysis |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | | Graphic Organizer |
| | | Simulation | | Brainstorming |
| | | Graphic Organizer | Activity 2. Levels of | Laboratory Activity |
| | 3. describe the different levels of biological | Lecture-Discussion | organization in an organism | Reporting |
| | | Brainstorming | organization in an organism | Brainstorming |
| Levels of Biological | | Reporting | 1 | Collaborative Learning |
| Organization | organization from cell to | Multimedia Instruction | | Graphic Organizer |
| | biosphere; | Jingle | | Venn Diagram |
| | | Think-Pair-Share | -1 } | Model Making |
| | | Word Puzzle | Activity 1. Comparing plant | |
| | 4. differentiate plant and | Venn Diagram | and animal cells | Laboratory Activity |
| | | Making Models | | Collaborative Learning |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Board work |
| | animal cells according to | Direct Instruction |] | Laboratory Activity |
| | presence or absence of | Multimedia Instruction | Activity 2. Investigating | Multimedia Instruction |
| | certain organelles; | Showand Tell | plant cells | Focus Group Discussion |
| 3 Animal and Plant | | Brainstorming | piant ours | Gallery Walk |
| Cells | | Checklist | | Lecture-Discussion |
| Octio | | Multimedia Instruction | | In-School Field Trips |
| | 5. explain why the cell is | Lecture-Discussion | Activity 1. Are these also | Brainstorming |
| | considered the basic | Brainstorming | plants? | Laboratory Activity |
| | structural and functional unit | Laboratory Activity | piunta: | P.O.E. |
| | of all organisms; | Research Review | | Collaborative Activity |
| | or arr organisms, | Reporting |] | In-School Field Trips |
| | | Collaborative Learning | Activity 2. What other living | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | things are found in the | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | Cooperative Learning | school grounds? | Laboratory Activity |
| | 1 | In-School Field Experience | acrioor grounds? | Collaborative Learning |
| 4. Fungi, Protists, and | 6. identify beneficial and | Realias | | Realias |
| 4. Fungi, Protists, and Bacteria | harmful microorganisms: | Multimedia Instruction | | Laboratory Activity |
| Dacie fla | narmul microorganisms; | Collaborative Learning | Activity 3. What dothese | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | Brainstorming | living things look like under | |
| | | Lecture-Demonstration | the microscope? | Model Making |
| | | | 1 | Boardwork |

Table 5

GRADE 7
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 2. Heredity: Inheritance | e and Variation | | | |
| | 7. differentiate asexual from | Multimedia Instruction | | |
| | /. differentiate asexual from | Lecture-Discussion | | Laboratory Activity |
| | sexual reproduction in terms | Checklist | | Multimedia Instruction |
| 1. Asexual reproduction | or: 7 1 number of individuals | Graphic Organizer | Activity 1. Can you grow | Laboratory Activity |
| | 7. I number of individuals involved: | Research Review | new plants from "eyes"? | Realias |
| | 7. 2 similarities of offspring | Reporting | 1 | Lecture-Discussion |
| | 1 to parents: | Venn Diagram | | Collaborative Learning |
| 2. Sexual reproduction | to parents, | Checklist | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | Activity 2. Can one | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | become two? | Lecture-Discussion |
| | 8. describe the process of | Cooperative Learning | become two? | Brainstorming |
| | fertilization; | Laboratory Activity | | Board work |
| | | Direct Instruction | | |
| | | Collaboratie Learning | | |
| III. Ecosystems | | | • | |
| | | In-School Field Trip | | |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | |
| | differentiate biotic from | Multimedia Instruction | | Role Playing |
| | abiotic components of an | Gallery Walk | | Laboratory Activity |
| | ecosystem; | Board work | Activity 1. What does it | In-School Field Trips |
| | | Lecture-Demonstration | mean to be alive? | Story Making |
| | | Word Wall | mean to be alive? | Comic Strip |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | | Lecture-Discussion |
| | | 4 Pics 1 Word | | Collaborative Learning |
| | describe the different | In-School Field Trip | | Cooperative Learning |
| | ecological relationships | Lecture-Discussion | Activity 2. House mates? | Film Showing |
| 1. Components of an | found in an ecosystem; | Brainstorming | Fco mates! | In-School Field Trips |
| | | Reporting | Eco mates: | Laboratory Activity |
| ecosystem 2. Ecological | | Research Review | | Collaborative Learning |
| z. Ecological elationships | | Multimedia Instruction | | Brainstorming |
| elationships 2.1 Symbiotic | | Cues and Questioning | | Concept Mapping |
| elationships | predict the effect of | Consequence Mapping | Activity 3. Which eats what? | Laboratory Activity |
| e lauo risni ps | changes in one population | Picture Analysis | Activity 3. Which eats what? | Cooperative Learning |
| | on other populations in the | Focus Group Discussion | | Board work |
| | e cosystem; and | Lecture-Discussion | | Picture Analysis |
| | | Picture Analysis | | Using Illustrations |
| | | Simulation | | Advertisement Making |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | Activity 4. Let's Compare | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | nus vity 4. Let's Compare | Music Creation |
| | 12. predict the effect of | Cues and Questionning | | Project-Based Task |
| | changes in abiotic factors on | P.O.E. | | Laboratory Activity |
| | the ecosystem. | Direct Instruction | | |
| | | Reporting | | |
| | | Research Review | | |

Table 6

GRADE 8
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|---|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|
| . Structures and Funct | ions: Focus on the Digestive | System | | |
| | | | | Playing A Board Game |
| | | | Activity 1. Part 1 A. A Guts y | |
| | | | game | Role Playing |
| | | | | Gallery Walk |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | Activity 2. How do | Brainstorming |
| | | | enzymes affect digestion? | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | enzymes allect digestion? | P.O.E. |
| | 1. explain ingestion, | Lecture-Discussion | | Simulation |
| | absorption, assimilation, and | Jigsaw | | Laboratory Activity |
| | excretion: | Role Playing | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | e Acretion, | Brainstorming | Activity 3. How does pH | Consequence Mappin |
| | | Word Puzzle | Activity 3. How does pH affect enzyme activity? | P.O.E. |
| | | | allecterizyirle activity? | Role Playing |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| Organs of the | | Multimedia Instruction | | Simulation |
| estive system and | explain how diseases of the digestive system are prevented, detected, and | Lecture-Discussion | | Multimedia Instruction |
| ir interaction with | | Research Review | | Gallery Walk |
| gans of the | | Role Playing | Activity 4. A journey into | Role Playing |
| spiratory, circulatory, | | Cooperative Learning | the digestive system | Modelling |
| d excretory systems | | Direct Instruction | the digestive system | Direct Instruction |
| Changes in food as | tre ated; | Journal Making | | Jigsaw |
| ın dengoes physical | | Modelling | | Laboratory Activity |
| d chemical digestion | | Project-based task | | Tracking One's Meal |
| 3 Diseases resulting | | Showand Tell | | Direct Instruction |
| m nutrient deficiency | | | | Trivia Questions |
| d ingestion of harmful b stances | | | Andria 1 Anni Innére distri | Video Making |
| ostances 1 Prevention. | | Cooperative Learning | -Activity 1. Am leating, right | Board Work |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | | Cooperative Learning |
| tection, and treatment diseases of the | | Lecture-Discussion | | Modelling |
| diseases of the restive system | | Role Playing | | Tracking One's Meal |
| estive system | | 4 Pics 1 Word | | Consequence Mappin |
| | identify he althful practices | Board work | | Lecture-Discussion |
| | that affect the digestive | Brainstorming | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | system; | Cues and Questioning | Activity 2. What happens | Role Playing |
| | | Journal Making | when nutritional needs are | Collaborative Learning |
| | | Research Review | not adequately met? | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Showand Tell | T ' ' | Cues and Questionnin |
| | | Word Puzzle | 1 | Journal Making |
| | | | 1 | Reporting |
| | | | | Planning A Healthy Die |
| | | | 1 . | 3-Day Menu |
| | | | Activity 3. Using essential | Journal Making |
| | | | concepts in nutrition to | Brainstorming |
| | | | planning a menu | Cooperative Learning |
| | I | | 1 | Cues and Questionning |

Table 7

Page 221

GRADE 8
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strate gies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 2. Heredity: Inheritance | and Variation of Traits | | | |
| | Į. | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | Activity 1. Observing | Laboratory Activity |
| | Į. | | mitosis | Graphic Organizer |
| | Į. | | IIIICOSIS | Story Making |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | Į. | | | Venn Diagram |
| | Į. | | Activity 2. Comparing | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | mitosis and meiosis | Brainstorming |
| | Į. | | THEOSIS AND THE IOSIS | Laboratory Activity |
| | ļ | | | Simulation |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | 4. compare mitosis and | Lecture-Discussion | Activity 3. Tossing coins | Multimedia Instruction |
| | meiosis, and their role in the | Multimedia Instruction | and probability | Consequence Mapping |
| | cell-division cycle; | Show and Tell | | Cooperative Learning |
| | oen artisten oyate, | Brainstorming | _ | Boardwork |
| | ŀ | | Activity 4. Comparing | Lecture-Discussion |
| | | | genotypic and phenotypic | Brainstorming |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | ratios for a typical | Reporting |
| 2.1 Stages of mitosis | | Picture Analysis | Mendelian trait | Laboratry Activity |
| 2.2 Stages of meiosis | meiosis in maintaining the | Music Creation | 4 | Modular-Based instruction |
| 2.3 Mendelian Genetics | chromosome number; | Concept Map | | Think-Pair-Share |
| | | Lecture - Demonstration | 4 | Boardwork |
| | ŀ | | | Collaborative Learning |
| | | | Activity 5. Filling up the | Reciprocal Teaching |
| | | Board work | Punnett square for a | Brainstorming |
| | 6. predict phenotypic | Multimedia Instruction | dihybrid cross | Boardwork |
| | expressions of traits | Lecture-Discussion | 1 | Cooperative Learning |
| | | P.O.E. | 4 | Laboratory Activity |
| | inheritance; | Reciprocal Teaching | | Simulation |
| | | Simulation | 1 | Lecture-Discussion |
| | ŀ | | Activity 6. Phenotypes and | Boardwork |
| | | | genotypes in incomplete | Cooperative Learning P.O.E. |
| | ŀ | | d o minance | Laboratory Activity |
| | ŀ | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | A - 6 - 7 - 1 - 5 6 | Boardwork |
| | ŀ | | Activity 7. Inferring genotypes of ABO blood | Brainstorming |
| | ł | | types based on the | P.O.E. |
| | | | parental blood types | Reciprocal Teaching |
| 3. Biodiversity and Evol | lution | | parerial blood types | Rediprocal Teaching |
| o. Dioditelesty and Evo | | Lecture-Discussion | | |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | | Name Game |
| | | Brainstorming | 1 | Me mory Game |
| | 7. explain the concept of a | Role Playing | Activity 1. What's in a | Jigsaw |
| 3.1 Species diversity | species; | Direct Instruction | name? | Word Wall |
| 3.2 Hierarchical | | Jiasaw | | Word Puzzle |
| taxonomic system of | | Word Wall | | Word Fuzzie |
| classification | | Lecture-Discussion | | Laboratory Activity |
| 3.3 Protection and | 8. classify organisms using | Jio saw | Activity 2. How do bacteria | Multimedia Instruction |
| conservation of | | Memory Game | in yogurt look like? | Model Making |
| endangered and | system; | Gallery Walk | joguitioon line : | P.O.E. |
| economically important | - ji, | Laboratory Activity | | F.U.E. |
| species | H | Lecture-Discussion | | Debate |
| | explain the advantage of | Brainstorming | Activity 3. What can you do | |
| | high biodiversity in | P.O.E. | to prevent dengue? | Role Play |
| | maintaining the stability of an | Journal Making | to prevent dengue : | Direct Instruction |
| | ecosystem; | Journal Making Direct Instruction | | Direct instruction |
| | | Direct Instruction | | |

Table 8

GRADE 8
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| 4. Ecosystems | | • | | |
| | | Using Illustrations | | |
| | 10. describe the transfer of | Lecture-Discussion | Activity 4. What is the | Multimedia Instruction |
| | energy through the trophic | Cooperative Learning | importance of biodiversity | Cooperative Learning |
| | le vels; | Puzzle Works | to ecosystems? | Brainstorming |
| | | Lecture -Demonstration | to ecosystems: | Reaction Papers |
| | 11. analyze the roles of | Reporting | | Role Playing |
| | organisms in the cycling of | Lecture-Discussion | Activity 5. I create the future | Simulation |
| | materials; | Multimedia Instruction | Activity 5. I create the luture | Brainstorming |
| / 1 T | | Role Playing | | Consequence Mapping |
| 4.1 Transfer of Energy in | 12. explain how materials cycle in an ecosystem; and | Picture Analysis | Activity 1. Howdo you | Classifying Organisms |
| Trophic Levels | | Lecture-Discussion | identify the components of | Picture Puzzle |
| 4.2 Cycling of materials in the ecosystem | | Multimedia Instruction | a food chain in an | Reporting |
| 4.2.1Watercycle | | P.O.E. | ecosystem? | Music Creation |
| 4.2. I Waler Cycle | | Concept Mapping | | Making Illustrations |
| | | Multimedia Playing | August O. Maline Condition | Boardwork |
| | | Poem Making | Activity 2. Making food web | Concept Mapping |
| | 13. suggest ways to | Role Playing | | Cooperative Learning |
| | minimize human impact on | Poster Presentation | | Cooperative Learning |
| | the environment. | Consequence Mapping | Activity 3. Meat eaters vs. | Venn Diagram |
| | | Project-based task | plant eaters | Picture Puzzle |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Debate |
| | | Music Creation | | |

Table 9

GRADE 9 Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. Structures and Funct | ions: Focus on the Digestive | System | | |
| | | | | Model Making |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Modelling |
| | | | Activity 1. What a Bunch of | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Grapes! | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Collaborative Learning |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Lecture-Discussion |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Activity 2. Bottled Balloons | Simulation |
| | | | | P.O.E. |
| | | | | Collaborative Learning |
| | | | | Consequence Mapping |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Simulation |
| | | | Activity 3. Just Go with the | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Flow | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Picture Puzzle |
| Respiratory and | | | Activity 4. Let's Organize! | Cooperative Learning |
| Circulatory Systems | | | ' ' | Peer Teaching |
| Working with the other | | ha i inair | 4 | Laboratory Activity |
| Organ Systems | | Model Making | | Music Creation |
| | and circulatory systems work | Multimedia Instruction | 4 | Simulation |
| | | Le cture-Discussion | Activity 5. Pump It! | Cooperative Learning |
| | gases, and other mole cules to | | | P.O.E. |
| | and from the different parts of | Research Review | | Reciprocal Teaching |
| | the body, | Collaborative Learning | - | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | - | Collaborative Learning |
| | A total bosonial stands | Ques and Questioning | Activity 6. The Rhythm of | Music Creation |
| | infer how one's lifestyle can affect the functioning of | Multimedia Instruction | my Heart | R.E.P.R. |
| | respiratory and circulatory | Research Review | - | Research Review |
| | systems; | Role Playing | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | systems, | Brainstorming Lecture-Discussion | 1 | Multimedia Instruction Picture Analysis |
| | | Le ciure-Liscussion | 1 | Role Playing |
| | | | Activity 7. Cigarette | Research Review |
| | l | | Smoking Is Dangerous to | Laboratory Activity |
| | l | | Your Health | Le cture-De monstration |
| | l | | TOWN FROMING | Lecture-Discussion |
| | l | | | Poster Making |
| | l | | | |
| | l | | I | Reporting |

Table 10

GRADE 9
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Ottategree | | Story Making |
| | l | | | Direct Instruction |
| | l | | | Role Playing |
| | l | | Activity 8. Prevention Is | Targeted Feedback |
| | | | Better Than Cure | Multimedia Instruction |
| Respiratory and | l | | | Poster Making |
| Circulatory Systems | l | | | Simulation |
| Working with the other | | | | 4 Pics 1 Word |
| Organ Systems | | | | Lecture-Discussion |
| Cryati Cyatilia | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | Activity 9. What's the | Situational Analysis |
| | l | | Word? | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Direct Instruction |
| | l | | | P.O.E. |
| | | | | F.U.E. |
| 2. Heredity: Inheritance | and Variation | | | |
| | ŀ | | | Board work |
| | | | | Trivia Question |
| | l | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Genotypes in Incomplete | Jigsaw |
| | l | | Dominance | Direct Instruction |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | ŀ | | | Lecture-Discussion |
| | ļ | | | Situational Analysis |
| | ļ | | | Picture Analysis |
| | ļ. | | | P.O.E. |
| | | | Activity 2. Mystery Bull | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Board work |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | l_ | Le cture-De monstration | | Lecture-Demonstration |
| 1. the information stored | describe the location of genes in chromosomes; | Brainstorming Activity 3 | _ | Situational Analysis |
| in DNA | | | Activity 3. What's your | Laboratory Activity |
| 2. changes in a DNA | | | blood type? | Brainstorming |
| molecule | ļ. | | | P.O.E. |
| 3. mutations in sex cells | | | | Board work |
| o. made one in ech oche | ļ | Le cture-Discussion | | Cooperative Learning |
| | ļ | Multimedia Instruction | _ | Consequence Mapping |
| | 4. explain the different | Brainstorming | Activity 4. Boy or Girl? | Laboratory Activity |
| | patterns of non-Mendelian | Laboratory Activity | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | inheritance: | Cooperative Learning | _ | Board work |
| | | Direct Instruction | + | Targeted Feedback |
| | | Jigsaw | _ | Cooperative Learning |
| | | P.O.E. | Activity 5. When Gender | Board work |
| | l | | Matters | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Targeted Feedback |
| | l | | | Lecture-Discussion |
| | ļ. | | | Model Making |
| | | | | Presentation of Outputs |
| | Į. | | Activity 6. DNA Modeling | Using Illustrations |
| | l | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Project-Based Learning |

Table 11

GRADE 9
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Biodiversity and Evol | ution | | | |
| 1. howe volution through natural selection can result in biodiversity | | | Activity 1. Index of Diversity | Cooperative Learning In-School Field Trips Brainstorming Peer Teaching P.O.E. |
| | 5. relate species extinction | Multimedia Instruction Brainstorming Cooperative Learning In-School Field Trips | Activity 2. Measuring Population Density | Cooperative Learning In-School Field Trips Board work P.O.E. Collaborative Learning Direct Instruction Laboratory Activity |
| | to the failure of populations of organisms to adapt to abrupt changes in the environment; and | 4 pics 1 word Lecture-Discussion P.O.E. Reporting Trivia Question Word Puzzle | Activity 3. Endangered but not Extinctyet | Multimedia Instruction Cooperative Learning Laboratory Activity |
| | | | Activity 5. Making Predictions | Picture Analysis Brainstorming P.O.E. Laboratory Activity Collaborative Learning Lecture-Discussion |

Table 12

GRADE 9
Summary of All Strategies Used In Terms of the Content and Skill

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|
| . Ecosystems | | | | |
| | | | Activity 1. What are the structures involved in the food making process in plants? | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Graphic Organizer |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Role Playing |
| | | | | Lecture-Discussion |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | P.O.E. |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Picture Analysis |
| | | | Activity 2. Investigating the | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Le af Stomata | Music Creation |
| | | | | Direct Instruction |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | e-Discussion Activity 3. Evidence of rative Learning Photosynthesis tory Activity Analysis | Direct Instruction |
| | 6. differentiate basic features and importance of | Multimedia Instruction | | In-School Field Trips |
| 1 Flow of Energy and | | Lecture-Discussion | | Poem Making |
| atter in Ecosystems | | Cooperative Learning | | Brainstorming |
| 2 Biodiversity and | | Laboratory Activity | | Multimedia Instruction |
| tability | | Picture Analysis | | P.O.E. |
| 3 Population Growth | respiration | P.O.E. | | Reporting |
| nd Carrying Capacity | i . | Reporting | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | Research review | | Cues and Questionning |
| | | | Activity 4. The Power House | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Research Review |
| | | | 1 - | Collaborative Learning |
| | | | Activity 5. Let Us Re Charge | Graphic Organizer |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Lecture-Demonstration |
| | | | | Direct Instruction |
| | | | Activity 6. Comparing Photosynthesis and Respiration | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Venn Diagram |
| | | | | Graphic Organizer |
| | | | | Music Creation |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | l | | 1 | Laboratory Activity |

Table 13

GRADE 10 Summary of All Strategies Used Both in Content and Skills

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Coordinated Function | ns of the Reproductive, Endo | crine, and Nervous System | 19 | |
| | | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | Activity 1. Break it Down! | Graphic Organizer |
| | | | | Reporting |
| | | | | Boardwork |
| | | | | Direct Instruction |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | 1. describe the parts of the | Multimedia Instruction | | Cooperative Learning |
| | reproductive system and | Brainstorming | | Laboratory Activity |
| | their functions: | Lecture-Discussion | Activity 2. How Fast is Your | Multimedia Instruction |
| | ineir iuncions, | Reporting | Reaction? | Simulation |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Reciprocal Teaching |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Role Playing |
| | 2. explain the role of | Pick and Match | | Cooperative Learning |
| | hormones involved in the | Concept Mapping | Activity 3. A Nervous Trip | Simulation |
| 1.2 organisms as having | female and male | Video Making | Activity 3. A Inervous Inp | Brainstorming |
| eedback mechanisms, | | Word Puzzle | 1 | Laboratory Activity |
| which are coordinated | | Concept Mapping | | Multimedia Instruction |
| y the nervous and | | | Activity 4. Who's in Control? | Multimedia Instruction |
| endocrine systems | | | | Role Playing |
| 1.3. how these | | Multimedia Instruction | | Brainstorming |
| eedback mechanisms | 3. describe the feedback | Lecture-Discussion | | Laboratory Activity |
| help the organism | | Brainstorming | | Concept Mapping |
| maintain homeostasis to | | Consequence Mapping | | Peer Teaching |
| eproduce and survive | | Trivia Question | | Picture Analysis |
| | | Picture Analysis | | Reciprocal Teaching |
| | | | | Showand Tell |
| | | | | Lecture-Demonstration |
| | 4. describe how the nervous | Multimedia Instruction | Activity 5. What Went Whong? | Picture Analysis |
| | | Reporting | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Laboratory Activity |
| | regulates these feedback | Trivia Question | | Concept Mapping |
| | mechanisms to maintain home ostasis; | Focus Group Discussion | | Journal Making |
| | | Role Playing | | Peer Teaching |
| | | Brainstorming | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Picture Analysis |
| | | | 1-6 3-0 Mad-16 | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Activity 6. Mark My Calendar! | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Boardwork |
| | | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Peer Teaching |

Table 14

GRADE 10 Summary of All Strategies Used Both in Content and Skills

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 2. Heredity: Inheritance | and Variation | | | |
| | | | | Graphic Organizer |
| | | | Activity 1. Getting to Know the DNA and RNA Structure | Ques and Questioning |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Model Making |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Research Review |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | | | Activity 2. DNA Makes DNA | Boardwork |
| | | | ACTIVITY 2. DINA Makes DINA | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Role Playing |
| | | | | Journal Making |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | | Model Making |
| | 5. explain how protein is | Lecture-Discussion | | Simulation |
| | nade using information from | Cooperative Learning | | Cooperative Learning |
| | made using information from DNA: | Concept Mapping | | Boardwork |
| | | b oard work | Activity 3. What's the | Laboratory Activity |
| , the information stored | | Mix and Match | Message | Brainstorming |
| DNA | | | | Concept Mapping |
| . changes in a DNA | | | | R.E.P.R |
| nole cule | | Multimedia Instruction | cussion atch butww Learning Activity 4. Relay the spping Wessage spling On line Control spring On spring | Laboratory Activity |
| . mutations in sexcells | | Le cture-Discussion | | Word Wall |
| . Illuddiolis ili sexcells | explain now mutations may cause changes in the structure and function of a protein; | Pick and Match | | Reciprocal Teaching |
| | | Research Review | | Reporting |
| | | Cooperative Learning | | Brainstorming |
| | | Concept Mapping | | Concept Mapping |
| | | brainstorming | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Trivia Question | | Direct Instruction |
| | | | | Model Making |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Peer Teaching |
| | | | Activity 6. Chromie Change | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Integration of Content Area |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Word Wall |
| | | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Lecture - Discussion |
| | | | | Model Making |

Table 15

GRADE 10
Summary of All Strategies Used Both in Content and Skills

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 3. Biodiversity and Evol | ution | | | |
| | | | | Classifying Organisms |
| | | | | Picture Analysis |
| | | | Activity 1A. Where Do I Belong? | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | i | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Direct Instruction |
| | | | | Lecture - Discussion |
| | | | | Lecture-Demonstration |
| | | | Activity 1B. What's MyAge? | Instructional Analysis |
| | | | ACTIVITY ID. WHATS MYAGE? | Laboratory Activity |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Multimedia Instruction | | Brainstorming |
| | 7. explain howfossil | Cooperative Learning |] | Concept Mapping |
| | records, comparative | Research Review | Activity 2. AHA! | Laboratory Activity |
| | anatomy, and genetic | Brainstorming | Analogous! Homologous! | Cooperative Learning |
| | information provide | Concept Mapping | | Direct- Instruction |
| | e vidence for evolution: | Picture Analysis | | Picture Analysis |
| | e widerice for evolution, | Role Playing | | Brainstorming |
| | | Simulation | Activity 3. So, who is My | Picture Analysis |
| 1. howe volution through | | Word Puzzle | Relative? | Cooperative Learning |
| natural selection can | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| result in biodiversity | | | Activity 4. Let's Compare | Graphic Organizer |
| , | | Film Showing | | Laboratory Activity |
| | 8. explain the occurrence of evolution; | Multimedia Instruction | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Lecture-Discussion | | Showand Tell |
| | | Research Review | | Concept Mapping |
| | | 4 Pics 1 Word | | Reciprocal Teaching |
| | | Brainstorming | Activity 5. Follow the Track | Brainstorming |
| | | Concept Mapping | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | Cooperative Learning | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | Model Making | | Picture Analysis |
| | | | Activity 6. Survivor | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Direct Instruction |
| | | | | Showand Tell |
| | | | | Story Making |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | Picture Analysis |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | | | l | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Reporting |

Table 16

GRADE 10
Summary of All Strategies Used Both in Content and Skills

| Content | Learning Competency/ies | Strategies | Acitivity/ies | Strategies |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|
| . Ecosystems | | | | |
| · | | | | Cooprative Learning |
| | | | Activity 1. Classifying the Value of Biodiversity | Multimedia Instruction |
| | | | | In-School Field Trips |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Collaborative Learning |
| | | | | Concept Mapping |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Lecture-Demonstration |
| | | Situational Analysis | Activity 2. Dependent or | Reporting |
| | explain how species diversity increases the | Brainstorming | Independent? | Laboratory Activity |
| | , | Film Showing | | Concept Mapping |
| | probability of adaptation and | K-W-H-L | | Situational Analysis |
| | survival of organisms in changing environments: | Concept Mapping | | Situational Analysis |
| | changing environments, | In-School Field Trips | | Role Play |
| | | | Anti-Nu 2 Anni-ni-n | Laboratory Activity |
| .1 Flow of Energy and | | | Activity 3. Analyzing Environmental Issues | Cooperative Learning |
| latter in Ecosystems | 10. explain the relationship between population growth and carrying capacity; and | Situational Analysis | | Concept Mapping |
| 2 Biodiversity and | | Lecture-discussion | | Simulation |
| tability | | Concept Mapping | | Targeted Feedback |
| .3 Population Growth | | Cooperative Learning | | Research Review |
| nd Carrying Capacity | | | | Cooprative Learning |
| | | | Activity 4. Biodiversity Status in the Community | Targeted Feedback |
| | | Situational Analysis | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | Brainstorming | | Brainstorming |
| | 11. suggest ways to | Lecture-Discussion | 7 | Reporting |
| | minimize human impact on | Multimedia Instruction | 1 | Surve y Activity |
| | the environment. | Cooperative Learning | Activity 5. Product Creation | Project-Based Task |
| | | Research Review | | Cooperative Learning |
| | | simulation | | Culminating Activity |
| | | | | Laboratory Activity |
| | | | | Brainstorming |
| | | | | Project-Based Task |
| | | | 1 | Cooperative Learning |
| | | | Activity 6. Showcasing of | Brainstorming |
| | | | Products | Laboratory Activity |
| | l | | ı | Brainstorming |

Table 17

5. Conclusions

There is a big gap between the common and not commonly used strategy both in content and skills in terms of its applicability and effectivity. This finding led to a revelation that teacher-respondents are still facing unceasing common problems such as unavailability of laboratory apparatuses and instructional materials which continuously hinders them at present to implement the strategies, they think are said to be effective. As a solution, they resorted to using what they call "traditional" way of teaching despite of knowing its ineffectiveness and impact on the students just for topic completion and convenience. Majority of them suggested adopting strategies that are hands-on and student-centered activities to fulfill the aim of the K to 12 curriculum which is learner-centered and inquiry-based. The matrix developed will be helpful to guide pre-service and in-service teachers in choosing appropriate strategies to be integrated into the instruction. The said matrix comprises the collection of teaching strategies that can be applied explicitly to every competency of the Biology curriculum across all grade levels. Therefore, the result of the study would be a wake-up call to pre-service teachers, in-service teachers, and administrators the need to practice various science teaching strategies as identified in the matrix to improve the performance of students both in Biology contents and skills.

6. Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusion, the following are recommended: (a) the use of science innovative teaching strategies, technology-based materials and needs-based curriculum enabling teachers to build rapport between students and improve teaching and learning process (b) more trainings and seminars regarding the use of teaching strategies to immerse teachers into a variety of teaching strategies that can be used in teaching Biology (c) to conduct studies to thoroughly evaluate the effectiveness of the strategies as reflected in the matrix developed (d) constant monitoring to eradicate the unceasing problems of teachers which continuously affecting the teachers' vision of rendering effective instruction.

7. References

- i. Adoniou, M. (2013). Preparing teachers the importance of connecting contexts in teacher education.
- ii. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 38(8). Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2013v38n8.7.
- iii. Alsubaie, M. (2016). Curriculum development: Teacher involvement in curriculum development. Journal of
- iv. Education and Practice, Vol.7, No.9. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1095725.pdf
- v. Bada, S. (2015). Constructivism learning theory: A paradigm for teaching and learning. IOSR Journal of
- vi. Research & Method in Education, Volume 5, Issue 6 Ver. I. Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/1c75/083a05630a663371136310a30060a2afe4b1.pdf
- vii. Bordei, S. (2016). Theory of multiple intelligences a winning strategy for tomorrow. Retrieved from
- viii. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309013784_Theory_of_Multiple_Intelligences_-a_Winning_Strategy_for_Tomorrow
- ix. Brame, C.J. and Biel, R. (2015). Setting up and facilitating group work: using cooperative learning groups
- x. effectively. Retrieved from http://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/setting-up-and-facilitating-group-work-using-cooperative-learning-groups-effectively/
- xi. Conrad, C. & Bliemel, M. (2016). Psychophysiological measures of cognitive absorption and cognitive
- xii. load in e-learning applications. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329075528_Psychophysiological_measures_of_cognitive_absorption_ and_cognitive_load_in_e-learning_applications
- xiii. Garrett, T. (2008). Student-centered and teacher-centered classroom management: A case study of three
- xiv. elementary teachers. Journal of Classroom Interaction, Vol 43.1, pages 34 47. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ829018.pdf
- xv. Goffe, W. & Kauper, D. (2014). A survey of principles instructors: Why lecture prevails. The
- xvi. Journal of Economic Education. 45. 10.1080/00220485.2014.946547. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266742646_A_Survey_of_Principles_Instructors_Why_Lecture_Prevails
- xvii. Gorontalo, N. (2018). The Development of Revised Jigsaw Collaborative Learning Model in Physics Subject at
- xviii. Universitas. Global Journal of Educational Studies, Vol. 4, No. 2.
- xix. Hastings, W. (2010). Expectations of a pre-service teacher: implications of encountering the unexpected. Asia-
- xx. Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 38(3), 207-219. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2010.493299
- xxi. Johnson, D. & Johnson, R. (2015). Cooperative learning: Improving university instruction by basing practice on validated theory. Journal on Excellence in College Teaching, 25. 85-118. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284471328_Cooperative_Learning_Improving_university_instruction_by_basing_practice_on_validated_theory
- xxii. Kamamia, L. (2014). To establish the extent to which the subject mastery enhances quality teaching to student-teachers during teaching practice. International Journal of Education and Research, Vol. 2 No. 7. Retrieved from https://www.ijern.com/journal/July-2014/51.pdf
- xxiii. Ketsman, O. (2014). A mixed methods study of foreign language teachers implementing technology-enhanced multimedia instruction. World Journal on Educational Technology, 6 (2), 158-180.

- xxiv. Livingstone, K. (2014). Constructive alignment and the curriculum: A call for improved pedagogical practices in higher education. Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research, Volume 3, No.12. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Kerwin_Livingstone/publication/260766754_Constructive_alignment_and _the_curriculum_A_call_for_improved_pedagogical_practices_in_higher_education/links/55142d780cf23203199cf 303/Constructive-alignment-and-the-curriculum-A-call-for-improved-pedagogical-practices-in-higher-education.pdf
- xxv. Lom, B. (2012). Classroom activities: Simple strategies to incorporate student-centered activities within undergraduate science lectures. Journal of undergraduate neuroscience education: JUNE: a publication of FUN, Faculty for Undergraduate Neuroscience. 11. A64-A71. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236048997_Classroom_Activities_Simple_Strategies_to_Incorporate_S tudent-Centered_Activities_within_Undergraduate_Science_Lectures
- xxvi. Magtolis, J. (2013). Students' conceptions on human organ systems: The case of university new entrants.
- xxvii. IAMURE International Journal of Education. 6. 10.7718/iamure.ije.v6i1.498. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312474885_Students'_Conceptions_on_Human_Organ_Systems_The_C ase_of_University_New_Entrants
- xxviii. Mangila, B. (2018). Assessment of pre-service teachers on the practice teaching program: Inputs for program enhancement. Asia Pacific Journal of Education, Arts and Sciences, Vol. 5 No. 3. Retrieved from http://apjeas.apjmr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/APJEAS-2018.5.3.06.pdf
- xxix. Mayer, R. (2014). Incorporating motivation into multimedia learning. Elsevier Learning and
- xxx. Instruction, 29, 171-173. Retrieved from
 - https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/3d2e/92f0bb4132ada0e7fe67d8cf389f9a0a9957.pdf
- xxxi. Neary, M. & Winn, J. (2009). The student as producer: reinventing the student experience in higher education. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279681677_The_student_as_producer_Reinventing_the_student_experience_in_higher_education
- xxxii. Pambid, R. (2015). Pre-service teachers methods of teaching strategies. Asia Pacific Journal of
- xxxiii. Multidisciplinary Research, Vol. 3, No.1. Retrieved from http://www.apjmr.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/APJMR-2015-3-182b-Pre-Service-Teachers-Methods-of-Teaching-Science.pdf?fbclid=IwAR0uudMOeiyihC7HgT8U69dnKpS0bZOshtPJoFBbFncmfDUBWTkVD_00DD0
- xxxiv. Ralph, R.A. (2015). Post secondary project-based learning in science, technology, engineering and mathematics. Journal of Technology and Science Education (JOTSE), 6(1), 26-35. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.3926/jotse.155
- xxxv. Schroeder et al. (2002). Effective K-12 science instruction elements of research-based science education.
- xxxvi. Retrieved from http://cmse.tamu.edu/documents/LittlegreenBookletv3.pdf
- xxxvii. Wurdinger, S. D., & Carlson, J. A. (2010). Teaching for experiential learning: Five approaches that work.
- xxxviii. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Education.

Page 228