

# ISSN 2278 - 0211 (Online)

# Assessment of the Training Facilities for the Implementation of Mechanical Technology Programme in Technical Colleges in Northern Nigeria

# Dr. Ishaya Ibrahim Bature

Lecturer, Department Mechanical Production Technology Education, College of Technical and Vocational Education, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna Polytechnic, Nigeria **Dr. Godwin Danjuma Amasa** Lecturer, Department Mechanical Production Technology Education,

College of Technical and Vocational Education, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna Polytechnic, Nigeria

# Abstract:

This study aims to carry out an assessment of the Training Facilities for the Implementation of Mechanical Technology Programme in Technical Colleges in Northern Nigeria. The specific objectives were to determine the availability, adequacy and functionality of the facilities in the workshop. A descriptive research design was adopted to reach out to a population of 144 respondents in 24 NBTE-accredited technical colleges in the study area. An NBTE checklist of workshop facilities consisting of 51 items in seven sub-themes was used as an instrument for data collection. The instrument was administered by the researchers and the trained assistants. The data was analyzed using the mean statistic. Results show that the majority of the workshop facilities were available, most of the workshop facilities were inadequate and the majority of the workshop facilities were functioning. It was recommended, among others, that Federal and State Governments should add to their annual budget 5% for the purchase of new and modern workshop facilities. The emphasis in the present dispensation is on the teaching of digital workshop skills to students. It is very vital that digital facilities be supplied adequately to the schools for instruction. Government should involve Non-Governmental Organizations, the Industry and other stakeholders in hosting meetings for workshop skills curriculum development, supply of facilities and drawing future road maps for skills training.

Keywords: Assessment, training facilities, mechanical technology

### 1. Introduction

The implementation of any technical college programme requires the adequate provision of training facilities. If the training facilities are in short supply would, no doubt, hamper the effective implementation of the said programme of the technical college. Successfully implementing a mechanical technology programme requires tools and simple and complex facilities (Jadas, 2015). By facilities here, it means those necessary things that are required by both the trainers and trainees to run the training programme successfully without any hitch. On the other hand, training would mean imparting and acquiring knowledge and skills by a trainee within a given period of time. In other words, training has to do with the acquisition of skills within a specified time frame (Bature & Umar, 2019).

In order to ascertain the availability, adequacy and functionality of training facilities of the mechanical technology programme of technical colleges, assessment cannot be overemphasized. By assessment here, it means to have knowledge of the quality of facilities available and adequate by the standard required for the purpose of training per class. It is one thing to have the training facilities available and it is quite another thing to ascertain that the adequate facilities function properly (Bature, 2012). By adequacy here, we mean whether the facilities are enough to meet the training needs of the trainees. That is, if the trainees will get access to these training facilities when the need arises without any hitch. By functionality, it means that the facilities are working and usable by both trainers and trainees.

The accessibility to training facilities is paramount to both the trainers and the trainees. The training of mechanical technology students cannot be effective without the requisite available training facilities. This would go a long way to motivate the trainers to have an interest to impact the right skills to the learners. The learners, on the other hand, would acquire the necessary skills expected of them before they graduate (Edmond, Amade & Wandobed, 2016).

The available training facilities must be functional and up-to-date. It has to meet the training needs of the trainees. So far, the advancement in science and technology that keeps emerging triggers the need for training facilities to be functional and up-to-date. Thus training institutions must be provided with functional and up-to-date facilities that would

serve the yearnings of both stakeholders. If the training facilities are obsolete, skills acquired by trainees would be outdated. Hence, the objective of training the students would not be achieved, thereby making them become old-fashion graduates. Thus this will lead to having wastage of both human and material resources.

In Northern Nigeria, the researchers have observed that while the population of students is increasing every year, the majority of the technical colleges are in short supply of training facilities. Even the ones that are available are obsolete non-functional and sub-standard. Efforts should be made by the government to ensure that technical colleges are supplied with adequate functional training facilities. This will enhance the training of the trainees with the right skills, knowledge, attitudes and competencies, thereby preparing them for employable skills or for further education (FGN, 2014).

The need for assessing the training facilities of technical college programmes cannot be overstressed. Assessment will unfold the quality and quantity of the training facilities available and functionality to meet the training needs of the trainers at a given moment of training. Hence, this study needs to assess the training facilities of the mechanical technology programme implementation in Technical Colleges in Northern Nigeria.

#### 1.1. Statement of the Problem/Justification

Undoubtedly, college training facilities have to be assessed to ascertain their availability, adequacy and functionality in meeting any training needs. Thus, it would not be out of place to embark on the assessment of the training facilities of mechanical technology programme implementation in technical colleges in Northern Nigeria. The works of literature searched by the researchers have shown that technical colleges are not training the graduates with the right skills required by the industries. Furthermore, there is a paucity of studies to ascertain the availability, adequacy and functionality of training facilities in technical schools in Northern Nigeria. More so, the absence of generated data to the attestation to the present predicament would further degenerate misinformation as regards the authenticity of the training facilities that could be utilized at this moment for training the trainees.

The lack of data would no doubt hamper ascertainment of the training facilities available, adequate and functional in meeting the training needs of any given college training programme. Hence, the concern of this present study is to assess the training facilities that are available, adequate and functional for the implementation of mechanical technology programme of Technical Colleges in Northern Nigeria.

#### 1.2. Objective of the Study

This study aims to assess the availability, adequacy and functionality of training facilities for the implementation of mechanical technology programme in technical colleges of Northern Nigeria.

# 1.3. Research Questions

The research question that guided the study is thus:

- What are the training facilities available for the implementation of mechanical technology programme in technical colleges in Northern Nigeria?
- How adequate are the training facilities for the implementation of mechanical technology programme in technical colleges in Northern Nigeria?
- How functional are the training facilities for the implementation of mechanical technology programme in technical colleges in Northern Nigeria?

#### 2. Review of Related Literature

This section covers the conceptual and theoretical framework to set a premise for this study. Others include a review of similar studies on the assessment of available training facilities for the implementation of trade courses in technical colleges. This is to assist in identifying areas of focus, challenges and benefits to the stakeholders in Northern Nigeria and beyond. The findings of these previous studies can be compared and come out with emerging potential recommendations for practitioners and policymakers as the result may unfold.

#### 3. Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

Experts have defined institutional training facilities as those things that are required for a specific training programme to implement in a school system (Bature & Abubakar, 2019). Some of these training facilities may include the following: instructional materials, equipment, machines, tools, building, gadgets and means of communication and transportation of both human and material resources, among others (Edmond, Amadu & Wondobed, 2016). Training, on the other hand, is the act of imparting skills to a trainee for a period of a given session of the learning-teaching process. Here the trainee is expected to exhibit certain learning behaviours which will show that he/she has adequately and uniquely been educated and taught at the end of a trained programme of the training given to a trainee on a particular subject matter. It is expected that he/she should be able to demonstrate the skills acquired without any hitch if given the appropriate environment and tools required in the workplace now or in the future (Jadas, 2015). This is exactly what training does to a learner in a given learning-teaching process. The trainer donates the skills, while the trainee absorbs the skill and puts it into constant practice using appropriate tools and instruments for effective input and output achievement.

In order to ascertain the availability and adequacy of training facilities for the moment and future needs, there is a need to continue to assess the programme frequently as the need to do so arises (Bature & Abubakar, 2019). This has to do with the judgment about the quality and authentication of the facilities of the training programme at hand as to whether it meets the desirable standards at any given period of the teaching-learning process visa-viz the challenges of the moment and future, of course. This is a fact whether one accepts it or not. It is a basic truth.

# 4. Methodology

#### 4.1. Study Area

The area under study comprised all the 24 Technical Colleges in 13 states with full accreditation in Mechanical Technology programme from National Board for Technical Education (NBTE) in Northern Nigeria. The state include: Adamawa, Bauchi, Benue, Gombe, Jigawa, Kaduna, Kano, Katsina, Nasarawa, Plateau, Sokoto, Yobe and Zamfara (NBTE, 2021)

The researchers have already familiarized themselves with the study area. However, a preliminary study was undertaken to ascertain the number of Technical teachers, students and Heads of department. The study revealed that there are 144 technical teachers. The total population stands at 144 respondents. All the population will be used as respondents, and therefore, no sampling.

### 4.2. Research Design

This study will use a descriptive survey research design. A survey will be used to obtain data from the students and teachers of mechanical technology from each of the technical colleges in Kaduna state. A survey will be conducted to seek the opinions of the respondents. A checklist would be provided based on NBTE's standard, and respondents would be required to respond appropriately to what they observed.

A checklist will be provided to collect information from respondents, students and teachers. The training facilities of mechanical technology are subdivided into seven themes such as:

- Machines /equipment
  - Hand tools
  - Holding tools
  - Welding/fabrication facilities
  - Forging facilities
  - Design facilities

# 4.3. Method of Data Collection

The instrument for the study will be a checklist administered by the researchers and six trained research assistants to the respondents to observe and put a checkmark on the appropriate column to indicate their observation on the indices itemized on the checklist.

### 4.4. Method of Data Analysis

The analysis of data will involve the use of frequency tables and mean statistics. The decision on any item was based on the mid-point of the four-point rating scale. Thus, any item with a mean of 2,5 and above was accepted and any item with a mean below 2.5 was rejected.

### 5. Result

The data analysis ware presented in tables according to the research questions that guided this study. The presentation is based on the 13 states that data were collected to show the availability, adequacy and functionality of the 51 workshop equipment.

S/N	Machines/Equipment	X 1	<del>X</del> 2	<del>X</del> 3	<del>X</del> 4	<del>X</del> 5	<del>X</del> 6	<del>X</del> 7	<del>X</del> 8	<u>7</u> 9	X 10	X 11	X 12	X 13	Grand Mean	Remark
1	Shaping Machine	2.8	2.5	2.7	3	2.7	3.2	3.8	2.9	2.9	3.7	2.4	2.5	3.1	2.9	Available
2	Milling Machine	2.9	2.5	2.5	3.3	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.7	2.9	3.9	2.6	2.9	3.2	3	Available
3	Bench Drilling Machine	3.3	3.5	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.7	3.5	3.1	3	3.8	2.9	2.6	3.4	3	Available
4	Pillar Drilling Machine	2,5	2.3	2.4	3.6	2.5	2.6	3.8	2.4	3.1	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.8	Available
5	Radial Drilling Machine	2.1	2.2	2.5	3.1	2.3	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.5	3	2.8	2.7	3	2.6	Available
6	Hand Drilling Machine	3.9	3.2	3.8	3	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.8	3	3.1	3.4	3.4	Available
7	Lathe Machine	3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.3	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.9	3	3.5	3.3	3.4	Available
8	Universal Grinding Machine	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.1	3.7	3.6	3.1	3.9	3.8	2.9	3.6	3.4	Available
9	Surface Grinding Machine	2.4	3.2	2.2	3.2	3.5	2,9	2.7	3.7	3.4	4	2.9	3.1	3,1	3.1	Available
10	Bench Grinding Machine	4	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	4.1	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.1	3.6	3.7	Available
11	Filing Machine	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.9	4	3.2	3.9	3.2	3.8	2.9	3.5	3.1	3.3	Available
12	Power Cutting Machine	1.9	2.2	2.6	3.3	3.2	2.6	3.1	3.5	3	4.1	3.2	3.1	3.5	3	Available
13	Guillotine Machine	1.5	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.5	3.7	3.4	3.1	2.5	3.7	2.6	2.2	3.2	4.1	Available
14	Welding machine (AC)	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.1	3.8	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.5	Available
15	Welding machine (DC)	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.1	3.1	3.3	Available
16	Forging Machine	2.9	3.2	2.7	3.2	3.1	3.2	2.9	2.7	2.5	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.3	Available

											Overall Mean				3.2	Available	
	HAND 1	TOOLS															
17	Flat	iles	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.5	Available
18	Half rou	nd files	3.7	3.9	4	4.2	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.3	3.6	4.2	3.5	3.9	3.5	3.7	Available
19	Round	l files	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.3	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.8	4	3.7	4	3.9	3.7	Available
20	Sledge h	ammer	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.5	4.1	4	3.2	3.8	3.9	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.5	Available
21	Ball pane	hammer	2.6	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.5	2.9	3.2	3.1	3.8	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.2	Available
22	Mal	let	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.4	2.9	3.5	3.8	3.2	3.5	3.1	3.3	Available
23	Hack	saw	4.2	4.3	3.9	3.5	4	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.2	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.9	Available
24	Chi	sel	3.1	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.1	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5	Available
25	Set of sp	anners	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.3	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.3	Available
26	Scraj	pers	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	3,1	3.4	2,5	2.9	3.5	2.9	3.5	3.1	2.9	Available
													Overa	ill Mean		3.5	Available
WORK		EVICE										4.0					4
4	/	Tool	3/4	+	3.7	3.6	+	4.4	3,8	3,0	3,4	4.0	3,8	3./	3./	3,8	Available
2	8	Makers Clamp	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.2	2.9	2.8	3	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.3	Available
2	9	Pliers	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.7	3,1	3.3	3.9	3.1	3.4	3.1	3.3	Available
3	0	Tongs	2.9	2.4	2.7	3.1	3.2	2.9	2.8	3.1	2.9	3.8	2.7	3.4	3.1	3	Available
		MARKING TOOLS											Overall Mean				Available
	31	Scriber	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.5	Available
	32	Center punch	3.7	3.9	4	4.2	3.5	3.8	3.6	3.3	3.6	4.2	3.5	3.9	3.5	3.7	Available
	33	Steel rule	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.3	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.8	4	3.7	4	3.9	3.8	Available
34 Try sqi		Try square	3.1	3.2	3.3	3,1	3.5	4.1	4	3.2	3.8	3.9	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.5	Available
	35 8		2.6	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.5	2.9	3.2	3.1	3.8	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.2	Available
;	36		2.9	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.4	2.9	3.5	3.8	3.2	3.5	3.1	3.3	Available
37		v-block	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.6	3.1	2.4	2.5	3.1	3.2	2.6	2.4	2.9	3	2.7	Available
:	38	Micromete r screw gauge	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.2	2.9	3.2	2.8	2.9	3.4	3.5	3.1	2.9	2.9	3	Available
;	39	Vanier Caliper	2.5	2.5	2.8	3	2.3	2.6	2.4	3.1	3.6	3.4	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.8	Available
	40	Dial Indicators	1.9	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.2	2.3	2.5	1.5	1.9	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.1	Not Available
	41	Dividers	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.2	3.1	3.9	3.8	3	3.2	3.9	2.7	3.1	3.5	3.4	Available
	42	Odd leg Caliper	2.7	2.9	3	3.5	3.1	2.9	2.6	2,9	3,2	3,8	2,9	3,6	3,1	3.1	Available
	43	External Caliper	3.1	2.9	3,1	2.6	3.8	3,0	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.1	2.9	3.6	3.2	Available
	44	Internal Caliner	2.6	2.9	2.8	3	3.2	3.5	2.8	2.6	3	3.9	3.1	2,9	2.8	3	Available
	45	Angle	1.7	2.3	2,4	2.8	2.9	1.9	2.4	2.7	3.2	2.1	2.9	2.1	2	2.4	Not
		Flate											Over-	all Mean		3.1	Available
46		Set of Snins	3.1	3.5	3	3.9	3.2	3	3.1	3.6	3.4	3.2	2.9	3.5	3.2	3.2	Available
	47	Set of gas welding Culinders	3.9	3.7	3	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.2	4.1	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.7	Available
		Cylinders											Overall I	Tean		3.5	Available
Forgin																	
		G FACILIT															Not
48		furnace	1.5	1.5	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.5	3	1.9	2	2.3	2.1	Available
	49	oet of molds Set of	2	2.1	2.4	2.4	1.9	2.5	2.1	1.9	2,3	3	2,1	2.2	2	2.2	Not Available Not
	50	molding tools	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.4	1.9	2.2	2.3	2	2.1	3.1	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.4	Available Not
<u> </u>	51	ratterns	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.8	2.1	<u> </u>	2	2.1	2.3	<u>ا</u> ا	<sup>2.4</sup> Mean	<sup>2.3</sup>	2.5	Z.3 Not A	Available

 Table 1: Mean Availability of the Workshop Equipment in Adamawa ( $\overline{X}$  1), Bauchi ( $\overline{X}$  2), Benue ( $\overline{X}$  3), Gombe ( $\overline{X}$  4), Jigawa ( $\overline{X}$  5), Kaduna ( $\overline{X}$  6), Kano ( $\overline{X}$  7), Katsina ( $\overline{X}$  8), Nasarawa ( $\overline{X}$  9), Plateau ( $\overline{X}$  10), Sokoto ( $\overline{X}$  11), Yobe ( $\overline{X}$  12) and Zamfara ( $\overline{X}$  13)

The data analysis in table 1 above shows that the majority of the workshop equipment was available except items 40 and 45 of marking tools. However, the overall mean of 3.1 revealed that all the marking tools are available.

Furthermore, all the forging facilities (items 48, 49, 50 and 51) were not available. The overall mean of 2.3 confirmed that the forging facilities were not available.

S/N	Machines/Equipment	X 1	X 2	<del>X</del> 3	X 4	X 5	<del>X</del> 6	X 7	X 8	X 9	X 10	X 11	<del>X</del> 12	X 13	Grand Mean	Remark
1	Shaping Machine	2.8	2.5	2.2	2.6	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.4	Inadequate
2	Milling Machine	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.1	2.1	2	1.9	1.6	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.1	Inadequate
3	Bench Drilling Machine	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.4	2,2	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.1	1.9	2.2	Inadequate
4	Pillar Drilling Machine	1.2	2.3	2.4	2.1	1.9	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	2	2.1	Inadequate
5	Radial Drilling Machine	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.9	2.1	Inadequate
6	Hand Drilling Machine	2.1	2.1	2	2.4	2.5	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.1	2	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.2	Inadequate
7	Lathe Machine	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.4	2	2.2	2.3	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.1	1.9	Inadequate
8	Universal Grinding Machine	2.4	2.1	2.4	2	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.1	1.9	2	2.3	2.4	2.3	Inadequate
9	Surface Grinding Machine	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.2	2	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.2	Inadequate
10	Bench Grinding Machine	2.5	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.1	2	2.1	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.3	Inadequate
11	Filing Machine	2.5	2.1	2.9	1.9	2	2.3	2.2	2.4	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.2	Inadequate
12	Power Cutting Machine	1.3	2.3	10	2.1	2.4	2.1	2	2.0	2.4	2.8	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	Inadequate
13	Velding machine (AC)	1.5	2.0	21	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.4	19	2.4	2.2	19	2.2	Inadequate
15	Velding machine (DC)	18	2	19	22	14	19	21	21	21	22	2.3	2.8	23	21	Inadequate
16	Forging Machine	1.9	2.3	2.1	2	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.2	Inadequate
												Over	all Mea	n	2.2	Inadequate
	HAND TOOLS															
17	Flat files	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.5	2.3	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	Inadequate
18	Half round files	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.1	2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.3	Inadequate
19	Round files	2	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.1	2	2.4	2,1	2.2	2.4	2.5	1.9	2.2	Inadequate
20	Sledge hammer	2	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.1	3.2	2.8	2.3	2.5	1.9	2.3	Inadequate
21	Ball pane hammer	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.4	2.1	Inadequate
22	Mallet	2.9	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.5	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.1	2	2.3	2.1	2.9	2.3	Inadequate
23	Hacksaw	2.3	2.5	2.1	1.5	2	2.3	2.1	1.7	1.2	2.4	2.4	2.1	2	2	Inadequate
24	Chisel	2.4	2.1	2	1.9	2.3	1.5	2	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	Inadequate
25	25 Set of spanners		2.5	2.1	2.2	2.1	2	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.1	1.9	1.6	2.2	Inadequate
26 Scrapers		2	1.9	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.1	1.7	1.7	2.1	2	2.4	2.1	Inadequate
											•	Overal	l Mean		2.2	Inadequate
VORK HOLDING DEVICE																
27 Bench Vice		2.4	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.4	2	2.5	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.3	1.7	2.1	Inadequate
2	8 ool Makers Clar	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.8	2	2.1	1.6	2.4	2.1	2	1.6	1.9	1.8	Inadequate
2	9 Pliers	1.9	2	2.1	2.1	2.1	3.1	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.8	2	2.3	2.1	2.1	Inadequate
3	0 Tongs	1.5	2.1	1.8	1.5	2.3	1.8	2	2.1	1.7	2	2.1	1.6	2	1.9	Inadequate
												Overa I	ll Mean		1.9	Inadequate
	ARKING TOO	10	47			4.0	10					10	10		10	In a dama da
3	Scriber	1.3	1.7	2.1	2.5	1.3	1.8	2.3	2.1	2.4	2	1.8	1.6	2.2	1.9	Inadequate
3	2 Center punch	1.2	1.8	2.2	2.9	2.1	10	1.6	1.0	2.1	2.1	2.3	1.3	10	2	Inadequate
3	4 Tru square	21	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.3	26	2.7	1.3	1.1	2.0	2.1	21	2.0	Inadequate
3	5 Surface gauge	18	2.1	23	24	23	2.0	2.5	19	2.0	25	21	2.0	15	21	Inadequate
3	6 Surface plate	2.2	2.1	2	1.7	1.8	2	2	2.4	2.5	2.3	1.9	2.8	2.2	2.1	Inadequate
3	7 v-block	2	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	2	2.1	Inadequate
3	8 rometer screw g	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.1	2	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.2	Inadequate
3	9 Vanier Caliper	1.9	2.1	1.5	1.6	2.1	2	2	2.7	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.3	1.9	2.1	Inadequate
4	0 Dial Indicators	1.6	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.1	1.3	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.1	1.9	Inadequate
4	1 Dividers	2.1	2.4	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.8	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.3	Inadequate
4	2 Odd leg Caliper	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.1	2.1	2	2	1.9	2.2	2.2	Inadequate
4	3 External Caliper	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	1.3	2.4	1.6	2.4	1.7	2	2.3	2.1	Inadequate
4	4 Internal Caliper	2	2.1	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.3	1.8	1.7	2	2.4	2.1	2.5	1.8	2.1	Inadequate
4	5 Angle Plate	1.2	1.6	1.7	2	2.5	1.5	2.3	2.1	2.5	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.9	1.9	Inadequate
		<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>								Uveral	i Mean		Z.1	inadequate
VELDING/FABRICATION																
46 tof Sn		1.9	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.7	2	2	2.1	2	1.6	1.5	1.9	2	1.9	Inadequate
47 weldin		1.9	1.5	2.4	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.4	1.6	1.9	2	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.2	Inadequate
												Overal	I Mean		2.1	Inadequate
G FA		CILITIE	S													
	48 tina fu	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.9	1.8	1.5	2.1	2	1.7	Inadequate
	49 tofmo	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.4	1.9	2	1.8	1.7	2	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.8	Inadequate
	50 moldir	1.7	1.8	1.9	2	1.7	1.8	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.8	Inadequate
	51 Patterr	1.4	1.9	1.5	2	1.7	1.8	1.6	2	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.1	2	1.9	Inadequate
												Overal	l Mean	-	1.8	Inadequate

Table 2: Mean Adequacy of the Workshop Equipment in Adamawa ( $\overline{X}$  1), Bauchi ( $\overline{X}$  2), Benue ( $\overline{X}$  3), Gombe ( $\overline{X}$  4), Jigawa ( $\overline{X}$  5),Kaduna ( $\overline{X}$  6), Kano ( $\overline{X}$  7), Katsina ( $\overline{X}$  8), Kebbi ( $\overline{X}$  8, Nasarawa ( $\overline{X}$  9), Plateau ( $\overline{X}$  10), Sokoto ( $\overline{X}$  11), Yobe ( $\overline{X}$  12) and Zamfara ( $\overline{X}$  13)

The results in table 2 above show that the respondents in all 13 states indicated that all the workshop equipment from item 1 - 51 were inadequately available. The overall mean of all the sub-sections was below the cut-off mean point of 2.5, confirming the inadequacy of the workshop equipment.

S/N	Machines/Equipment	X 1	X 2	<del>X</del> 3	X 4	<del>X</del> 5	X 6	<del>X</del> 7	X 8	<del>X</del> 9	X 10	X 11	X 12	X 13	Grand Mean	Remark
1	Shaping Machine	2.9	2.4	2.6	3	3.4	3.1	3.6	3	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	3	2.9	Functional
2	Milling Machine	2.5	2.7	2.5	30.	2.7	3	3.1	2.5	2.7	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.7	Functional
3	Bench Drilling Machine	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.8	2,8	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7	Functional
4	Pillar Drilling Machine	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.3	3	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	Functional
6	Hand Drilling Machine	2.9	3	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7	Functional
7	Lathe Machine	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.7	3	2.9	2.5	2.7	3	2.8	2.8	Functional
8	Universal Grinding Machine	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.6	Functional
9	Surface Grinding Machine	2.3	2.9	2.5	3	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.7	Functional
10	Bench Grinding Machine	3	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.9	3	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	Functional
11	Filing Machine	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	Functional
12	Power Cutting Machine	2	1.9	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	3.7	2.9	3	2.8	2.7	2.7	Functional
13	Welding machine (AC)	2.5	2.7	1.9	2.1	2	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.7	2	2.5	2.1	Not Functional
14	Welding machine (DC)	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.0	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	3	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.7	Functional
16	Forging Machine	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.9	3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.6	Functional
												Ov	erall Mean	•	2.7	Functional
	HAND TOOLS		1	1	Ì	1	Ì		Ì			1				
17	Flat files	2.7	3.1	3	2.9	3	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.9	3.1	2.9	2.5	3	2.9	Functional
18	Half round files	3	2.9	3	3.1	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.6	3.1	3.3	2.8	2.8	3.1	2.9	Functional
19	Round files	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.1	2.5	2,5	3	3.1	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	Functional
20	Sledge hammer	2.9	2.8	2.5	3.1	3	2.9	3	2.8	2.6	2.9	2,5	2,5	2.7	2.8	Functional
21	Ball pane hammer	2.5	2.6	2.8	3	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.6	3	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.7	Functional
22	Mallet	2.5	2.6	2.4	3	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.6	3	3.1	3	2.5	2.5	2.7	Functional
23	Hacksaw	3.1	3.2	2.9	3	3.2	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.3	3	2.6	2.8	2.7	3	Functional
24	Chisel	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.7	3	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.7	Functional
25	Set of spanners	2.5	3	2.9	2.5	2,8	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.7	Functional
26	Scrapers	2.5	2,4	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.1	2./	2.6	Functional
WORK HOLDING DEVICE															2.0	Functional
27 Bench Vice		3	3.1	3.4	2.8	2.9	3	3.1	2.7	3.2	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.9	3	Functional
28	Tool Makers Clamp	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.5	3	2,9	2.7	2.6	Functional
29	Pliers	2.7	2.7	2.6	3	2.8	2.5	3.1	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.7	Functional
30	Tongs	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.8	3	2.5	2.6	2.8	3	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	Functional
											(	Overall me	an	2.	8	Functional
	MARKING TOOLS															
31	Scriber	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.6	Functional
32	Center punch	3	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.9	3	2.5	3.1	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	Functional
33	Steel rule	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	3	2.8	Functional
34	Try square	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.9	3	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	2,6	2.5	2.5	2.7	Functional
35	Surface gauge	2.6	2.5	2.6	3	2.8	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.5	3	2.9	2.7	Functional
36	Surface plate	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.6	Functional
37	V-DIOCK Micrometer screw	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.0	2,4	2.9	2.0	2.5	Functional
38	gauge	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.6	Functional
39	Vanier Caliper	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	Functional
40	Dial Indicators	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	Functional
41	Dividers	2.8	2.9	3	2.5	2.5	2,7	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.7	Functional
42	External Caliper	2.5	2.5	2./	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	Functional
43	Internal Caliper	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	Functional
45	Angle Plate	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.5	Functional
											Ove	rall Mean		2.6	i	Functional
W	ELDING/FABRICATION															
46	Set of Snips	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.7	Functional
											0	verall Mea	n	2.8		Functional
	FORGING FACILITIE	s														
48	Melting furnace	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4	2	2.1	2.4	2	2.2	2.3	2.3	Not Functional
49	Set of molds	2	2.1	2.4	2.4	2	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.2	2	2.2	Not Functional
50	Set of molding tools	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.2	2	24	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.5	2	2.5	2.2	2.2	Not Functional
51	Patterns	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.6	Not Functional
											Over	rall mean		2.3	I	Not Functional

 Table 3: Mean Functionality of the Workshop Equipment in Adamawa ( $\overline{X}$  1), Bauchi ( $\overline{X}$  2), Benue ( $\overline{X}$  3), Gombe ( $\overline{X}$  4), Jigawa ( $\overline{X}$  5),

 Kaduna ( $\overline{X}$  6), Kano ( $\overline{X}$  7), Katsina ( $\overline{X}$  8), Kebbi ( $\overline{X}$  8, Nasarawa ( $\overline{X}$  9), Plateau ( $\overline{X}$  10), Sokoto ( $\overline{X}$  11), Yobe ( $\overline{X}$  12) and Zamfara (

The data analysis in table 3 shows that the majority of the workshop equipment available were functional except item 13 (Gluilotine Machine), which was not functioning. However, the overall mean of 2.7 indicates that the majority of the machines are functioning. All the forging facilities items 46 - 51 were not functioning. The overall mean of 2.3 confirmed that the forging department was not functioning.

#### 6. Discussion of Findings

The seven sub-themes investigated (see table 1) show that the majority of the workshop facilities in each of the sub-themes were available, except that of forging operations that were not available. The availability of training facilities is a must if skills will be adequately acquired. The bone of contention is whether the available equipment was enough to cater to the teaming of the population arising yearly. According to Edmond, Amade & Wandobed (2016), the accessibility to training facilities is paramount to both the trainers and the trainees. The training of mechanical technology students cannot be effective without the requisite available training facilities. This would go a long way to motivate the trainers to have an interest to impact the right skills to the learners. The learners, on the other hand, would acquire the necessary skills expected of them before they graduate.

Table 2 shows that all the workshop equipment in the seven sub-themes was inadequately supplied. That is to say, though the facilities were available, they were inadequate to meet up for the population under training. This is to buttress the fact that the quality of skills that would be acquired by the trainees may not meet up with the requirement of industries where the graduates are expected to work. It is one thing to have the training facilities available and it is quite another thing to ascertain that the adequate facilities function properly (Bature, 2012). This is in line with Jadas (2015), who ascertained that the trainer donates the skills while the trainee absorbs the skills and puts them into constant practice using appropriate tools and instruments for effective input and output achievement.

Table 3 determined whether the training facilities were functioning. It is very clear that most of the facilities were functioning except for welding/fabrication and forging. This is also in line with Bature (2012), who reiterates that it is one thing to have the training facilities available and it is quite another thing to ascertain that adequate training facilities function properly (Bature, 2012). The skills that are lacking are that of forging because the facilities were neither available nor functioning. A personal experience during data collection shows that many of the facilities were very old but well-maintained.

### 7. Conclusion

The acquisition of skills for any field of human endeavour has to be with the provision of up-to-date facilities adequate to meet up with the population and keeping these facilities functional and well maintained at all times. This study has revealed the state of the workshop facilities for mechanical trade option Technical Colleges in Northern Nigeria. Though most of the facilities were available, they were not adequate. Most of these facilities were functioning, but in some areas, they were neither available nor functioning. If adequate skills must be acquired by trainees, the Government must be proactive in the provision of workshop facilities and equipment.

### 8. Recommendations

- Federal and State Governments should add to their annual budget 5% for the purchase of new and modern workshop facilities.
- The emphasis in the present dispensation is on the teaching of digital workshop skills to students. It is very vital that digital facilities be supplied adequately to the schools for instruction.
- Trainers must be engaged in three months of compulsory industrial training to acquire new workshop skills in modern facilities.
- Admission into technical colleges is to be done based on the available facilities in the training workshops.
- There should be an urgent supply of adequate welding/fabrication and forging facilities by the Government.
- Government should involve Non-Governmental Organizations, the Industry and other stakeholders in hosting meetings for workshop skills curriculum development, supply of facilities and drawing future road maps for skills training.

### 9. References

- i. Bature, I. I. (2011). *Evaluation of the mechanical technology programme of technical colleges in Kaduna state, Nigeria* (Unpublished Doctoral Thesis). University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
- ii. Bature, I. I., & Abubakar, U. (2019). Assessment of the adequacy of the curriculum content of mechanical technology programme implementation in technical colleges of Kaduna and Niger states, Nigeria. A TETFund paper published in the World Journal of Innovative Research (WJIR), 6(2), 93–99.
- iii. Edmond, A., Amadi, S., & Wand Obed, O. O. (2016). Assessment of the adequacy of material resources for effective teaching of building technology: A panacea for promoting entrepreneurial skills in Rivers state technical colleges. British Journal of Education, 4(4), 29–37.
- iv. Federal Government of Nigeria. (2008). National Business and Technical Examination Board (NABTEB) syllabus, Benin.
- v. Federal Government of Nigeria. (2014). *The National Policy of Nigeria Education (NPE)*. Abuja: Published by Federal Ministry of Education.
- vi. Jadas, A. S. (2016). Industrial Facilities Necessary for the Acquisition of Practical Skills in Metalwork Technology Course in Nigeria Certificate in Education (Technical) Programme. *Journal of Quality Education (JOQE)*, 10(1).

vii. National Board for Technical Education, Kaduna. (2003). National Technical Certificate (NTC) and Advanced National Technical Certificate (ANTC) programme curriculum and module specification in mechanical engineering craft. Plot B. Bida Road. PMB 2239, Kaduna-Nigeria.