

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & MANAGEMENT

Encountering the Management of Corporate Organizations in India: An Ethnographic Insight

Dr. M. Romesh Singh

Visiting Scholar, Department of Anthropology, University of North Texas, Denton, USA
Associate Professor, Department of Anthropology, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad,
Telangana, India

Abstract:

The concept of management and organizational culture has gained wide acceptance as a way to understand human systems and it also proved that organizational culture has deep impact on the variety of organizations process, employees and its performance. The social cultural milieu in India is inimitable; its peculiarities shape the personalities of the management practitioner and the influence management practices. Another unique feature of Indian management is the familial feeling perceived by the employees because of the parental attitude adopted by the superior and the colleague which give the sense of security and belongingness among the employees. In Indian context, globalization has thrown open tremendous opportunities for Indians. It is clear that organizational culture plays a very significant role in the management of the organization. It is important for us to recognize that managers within the organizations holds particular sets of assumptions, ideas and beliefs about how to manage their human resources, which are strongly influenced by their socio-cultural background. In this kind of condition required proper adaptability and flexibility in dealing with such different culture. However, literature survey indicates that there has been very little exploratory or basic research undertaken to identify cultural variables, which have a bearing on corporate and non-corporate management in India. In this context, the present study is to explore, identify and understand the trends in management of corporate organizations in India through an ethnographic study.

1. Introduction

In Indian context, globalization has thrown open tremendous opportunities for Indians. The recent success of software companies is just a beginning in this direction. Similarly, communication revolution such as advent of Internet tilted the business – econometrics to incorporate multi-cultural variables. Therefore, it is important for us to recognize that managers within the organizations holds particular sets of assumptions, ideas and beliefs about how to manage their human resources, which are strongly influenced by their socio-cultural background. In this kind of condition required proper adaptability and flexibility in dealing with such different culture. However, there has been very little exploratory or basic research undertaken to identify cultural variables, which have a bearing on corporate and non-corporate management in India.

It is understood that organizational culture has a positive impact on the performance. It further shows that strong organizational culture is very helpful for the new employees to adopt the organizational culture and to get the competitive advantage under the particular conditions. Earlier studies proved that employee's commitment and group efficiency plays very crucial role to adopt the value and beliefs of the organization and enhancing the performance of the organization. It also shows that culture is good only if it fits the industry or the firm's strategy. Finally, an organizational culture that encourages confidence and risk taking among employees, have leadership that produces change, and focuses on the changing needs of customer's successful socialization also helped in enhancing performance, job satisfaction, Intention to stay with the organization, low levels of distress symptoms and high level of organizational commitment.

Indian management, ownership and management are not differentiated; almost invariably, these overlap. It is centered around the chief; family head, religious head, the king, the chief executive of an organization and the head of the institution in the contemporary time. It is rooted in its traditional style of decision making. Hierarchy is the key to management coordination. Horizontal peer level coordination, so typical of Japanese society, is almost absent in the Indian scene. Presumably, members of an Indian organization look for top down guidance. It emphasis on the lifelong employment and job security (Sinha, 1991). There is a societal assumption that membership in a family, caste and corporate group has to be permanent. Although security and lifelong employment have been traditionally valued in India, in contemporary management there is much debate about its efficacy. Indian management is over concern with job identity. An Indian derives his identity from the social group to which he belongs, his occupation, and the job he performs. These are so interlinked that in his work organization his first attempt is invariably to establish a reference group and job identity. It is the centralization of power and authority and the decentralization of activities. This has emerged from the powerful belief that the

chief has a *Mana*, and is the storehouse of energy, authority and power. Even in organizations which have decentralized authority, one finds that people do not exercise their delegated authority and power. There is strong conviction in Indian society that power and authority flow from the fountainhead –the chief executive, the center of the organization (Kakkar, 1974). It is true that business and management culture in India has always been a reflection of the complexity and diversity which characterizes the country as a whole. The basic features of Indian management also reflect contemporary process of social and economic change that are now reshaping the very ‘idea’ and image of India.

In recent time, Indian management systems are moving towards a new global orientation and operational context. Family dominated business conglomerates and large public sector enterprises continue to dominate the Indian economy but these are beginning to give way to innovative, globally oriented, corporate players especially within the booming Information Technology(IT) industry (Samir, C and Mark, H 2005). Many scholars highlighted that several traditional characteristics of management in India remain in place-notions of authority and hierarchy, the role of familial networks, indigenous ethical –philosophical framework and community boundaries; the importance of the continuity and stability in institutional and institutional norms and practices; and finally, a general acceptance of ambiguity.

The degree to which these typical features of Indian management systems are likely to be transformed by a new global context is, in some respects, the crux of contemporary debate about the evolution of Indian management systems. As suggested by the broad range of arguments and perspectives presented by management scholars proved that it is possible to suggest that India is moving towards developing a unique ‘hybrid’ form of management that seeks to remain grounded in selected practice of global management systems.

2. Transitional Trends of Managerial Culture in India

Understanding culture and the values and social norms its enshrines is crucial in a rapidly globalizing context. Managers in India retain a strong orientation towards the cultural legacy of an ancient but continuously living and evolving civilization. However, superimposed on this legacy is the impact of changing technological and organizational systems. In India, cultural factors deeply influence many aspects of organizational, social, familial and religious life at the micro level, while at the macro level, these culture systems are beginning to adjust to the unavoidable realities of economic reform, communication technology and globalization. In terms of their capacity to cope with these dramatic transformations, it is perhaps relevant that Indian management have been noted for their ability to tolerate high levels of uncertainty and ambiguity – as indicated by research that shows that India is one of the five countries with the lowest scores of ‘uncertainty avoidance’ out of an extensive sample of nations (Hofstede 1991).

After decades of independence, a new generation has come into its own in India and has assumed a position of corporate and familial leadership. The worldview of this generation is much less ideology –driven than that of its predecessors, who were, typically, reared on stories of the sacrifice and idealism of heroic figures from the Indian national movement. Several developments have caused a break with continuity, shifting values from selfless service and the pursuit of salvation to a ‘here and now’ approach, which is more focused upon individual self-interest and advancement (Chatterjee 1998). In contrast with previous decades, entering a commercial profession is now seen to be the first rather than the last, preference for many of India’s ‘best and brightest’ graduates. In part, this is due to a widening gap between public and private sectors in terms of salary remuneration (Lawler et al., 1995). More generally, this change in perceptions is also due to a more general aspiration among the so called ‘great Indian middle class’ towards entrepreneurial success and conspicuous consumptions.

There has been also been a discernible shift in India towards valuing professional/technical personnel and inputs within the workplace. Thus, even oldest of ‘family’ conglomerates are now keen to stress that their business operations are driven by dedicated team of specialized professionals who have obtained their positions through their technical or managerial expertise. This trend has been strengthening by the share-market listing of corporations, meaning that professional organizational and managerial structures and standards of corporate governance are subject to greater scrutiny. It is important to note that Indian corporation and managers are starting to create synergetic links with the social networks of the so called Indian ‘Diaspora’ living abroad. Overseas Indian and associated social networks have become increasingly important and influential contributors in setting today. On the other hand, an increasing number of foreign business and MNC s are now establishing major operation in India. Again, their entry has introduced a new ‘global’ dynamic within Indian management culture as employees and local managers learn to operate within the broader context of the unique corporate culture of these transnational business giants. Nonetheless, very often there is also an equivalent need for these corporations to adapt their marketing and management strategies to the local Indian context. Some prominent scholars of Indian management advocate that a paradigm shift in managerial values and assumptions does not necessarily imply a rejection of ‘traditional’ wisdom, ethical frameworks and values (Chakraborty 1998). These scholars suggest that, like the Japanese, Indian managerial values and goals need to arise out of deeply rooted social and ethical traditions. While ‘tradition’ is unmistakably observable in the daily lives of managers and employees across the country, work organizations appear to be at the cross roads. At least amongst senior-level Indian managers, there appears to be a parallel emergence of ‘global’ value paradigms (Chatterjee and Pearson 2000a; Chatterjee and Pearson 2000b).

Similarly, scholars of business culture have been debating theories of ‘convergence’ and ‘divergence’, as the influence of globalization takes hold. The convergence theorists argue that the emergence of a western market oriented economy inevitably leads to the adoption of values and culture associated with it (Bond and King 1985; Webber 1969; Child 1981). In contrast, the divergence theorists argue that if the culture of the indigenous location is sufficiently powerful, it will retain its uniqueness in spite of impact of broader factors of homogenization (Laurent 1983; England and Lee 1974). In contrast, anthropological ‘acculturation’ theorists suggest that the dynamic interaction of all of these influences often allow for the emergence of ‘hybrid’ forms of culture (Beals 1953). Several research surveys of Asian managers have also contended that these business leaders are able to maintain a duality of values,

one field of value formation is drawn from their own cultural heritage, while the other impact on them through the wider forces of internationalization (Bedi 1991). In the Indian context, it is thus possible to suggest that a hybrid blending of values is creating neither convergence nor divergence but a unique Indian managerial value system. On the whole, basic contours of Indian Management is from traditional culture of the India. This paper is going to examine the relevance of these arguments and perspectives by taking the case studies of four Indian based corporate organizations in Hyderabad, India.

3. Methodology and Selection of the Organizations

The present paper is based on the ethnographic work carried out in four Indian organizations in the metropolitan city of Hyderabad, India. These organizations are identified as high performing organizations because of their rapid growth for the last ten years. These organizations are unquestioned leaders in their respective fields and they have registered continuous growth during the last decades besides earning a brand image indicating quality and contribution to society.

The Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CCMB) is India's foremost research lab. It has made revolutionary biotechnology advances in the country and demonstrated the application of biology in various fields such as pharmaceuticals, agriculture and security etc. Its research contribution is globally recognized.

Satyam is one of the largest Indian I.T. company providing software solutions worldwide. It operates in nearly 45 countries across six continents, and provides customized solutions to some of the largest corporations in the world.

The Bharat Heavy Electrical Limited (BHEL) is the largest electrical engineering and manufacturing enterprise in India. Besides registering consistent growth during last decades, it is known for its innovations in the energy and infrastructure sector. It is a multi-location corporation competing effectively with organizations such as ABB and Siemens.

Tecumseh, originally an Indian company in the field of refrigerators and allied products, was taken over by the global industry leader, the Tecumseh Product Limited ten years ago. It operates from 30 different locations and has presence in US, Brazil, Canada, France, Italy and India among other countries. It has consistently improved upon its own performance.

3.1. Understanding Management of the Organizations

This study first attempts to understand how these organizations enculturate new members. Enculturation is the process by which one acquires membership and psychological ownership of the organization, one becomes part of. It's mostly informally where members learn the culturally accepted behavior through observation, imitation and mentoring. In all the four companies studied, it was discovered that all new entrants learnt the cultural "ropes" by observing the behaviour of those who were already in the organization. While the organization manual had given broad indications about what they expected of an employee, they soon discovered that desirable and acceptable behavior in the organization was best understood by observing the successful elders. In BHEL and Tecumseh coming on time was sacred. In CCMB and Satyam it was not so. The scientists and software engineers operated on flexi time; however most came before time and left after the office hours. There were very few truants in all the four companies. All the members accepted the norms.

Likewise, most of the new comers discover early in their careers that in Satyam seniors/juniors were addressed by the first name, so was it in Tecumseh. But in BHEL and CCMB, one was addressed by his first name only by peers and superiors. Superiors were addressed differently. All employees in Tecumseh, BHEL and Satyam were expected to keep their workspace organized and clean. However, this was not so of CCMB. Some scientists' desks were clean and well organized, others were not so and that was also accepted. CCMB recognized individual differences and idiosyncrasies of some scientists.

Although, all these organizations had published documents on their corporate values; i.e., ideal and desired behavior expected of the employees of the company, only some of these were descending as observable in behavior. The value of time at BHEL was best noticed when the employees worked overtime to meet deadlines and to fulfill their commitments to customers. Likewise, working in a team, cooperating and collaborating was a desired behavior, practiced widely. Whenever there were differences among two team members, other members of the team and supervisors intervened to sort it out. The BHEL employees were overzealous in showing their loyalty and pride in the company. While traveling in bus at the end of the shift, one often heard employees talking about what they achieved in the day and how well BHEL was regarded in the country. They all introduced themselves as belonging to BHEL; working in BHEL enhanced their self-esteem.

In Tecumseh one could observe employees, right from the shop floor to the administrative offices, exhibiting high concern for customers. They knew from their superiors and through announcements on the Boards and Newsletters that they existed because of the customers. Their salary was in reality paid by the customers. This was drilled in day in and day out, non-verbally as well as verbally. And they all worked for customers, meeting their expectations.

Satyam stated in its brochure that its employees must uphold the following values:

- ❖ Belief in people
- ❖ Pursuit for excellence
- ❖ Entrepreneurship and
- ❖ Customer orientation

Even though, the Satyam employees worked for the clients who insist on strict secrecy, one could see that those working on a project were always willing and open to share ideas and assist in finding solutions. Trust was the basis on which they operated within the project. Meeting customer expectations was uniformly emphasized across the organization. In CCMB also one could see that scientists valued understanding among the scientific community. They also valued of achievement, new discovery or an innovation that made a valuable contribution to the field in which they were researching. Collegueship was also valued and members of every lab operated

as members of an egalitarian family extending help whenever required. They were also generous in sharing knowledge but also equally open to sharing results, particularly instruments and ingredients for running experiments.

While there was no formal coaching of values in any of these organizations, employees acquired them through observation of behavior of elders and colleagues, superiors and subordinates in the organization. There was one value that was shared across these organizations: the value of entrepreneurship and excellence. They must achieve something more than what they have done in the past or what others have done elsewhere. This kept motivation levels high.

3.2. Rites de Passage

Organization culture also follows the *rites de passage* which indicate admission or transition of membership of the employees from one level to another, leading to superannuation. In societal culture *rites de passage* is often concentrated around birth, adolescence (sacred thread, ghotul, circumcision) adulthood, including marriage, and of course death where membership ceases for good.

Likewise, in a corporate culture a member passes through various stages of work life such as entry and induction, training and development, performance evaluation and promotion from one level to the other, and later, separation or retirement. While the rites and rituals vary from one organization to another, there is one shared custom of celebration, which marks transition from one level of membership to another in the organization. While in CCMB and Satyam celebration is marked by distribution of sweets to colleagues in the work group or division and shower of good will and greetings by all of them, but in BHEL and Tecumseh, promotion is celebrated differently; there is a formal assembly of colleagues to celebrate the occasion.

3.3. Process of Entry and Induction

In the four organizations, all the members (with few exceptions) are recruited at the entry level; software engineer in Satyam, graduate engineer in BHEL and Tecumseh and Scientist level I in CCMB. Recruitment is a rigorous process. BHEL and CCMB both public sector organizations announce the openings through ads in Employment News and national newspapers; Satyam and Tecumseh also advertise but they prefer campus recruitment. Recruitment is done in several stages. Vetting the vitae, group interview, personal interview and sometimes meetings with the heads of divisions in which they would be placed. At every stage there is filtering and only those who meet the profile are presented to the heads of departments. The emphasis, towards the final round is to ensure the cultural fit, interpersonal adaptability and attitude towards team work. There were several examples where candidates were rejected in the final stage because their inconsistency in their behavior and attitude were questioned. All the four organizations consider this induction phase important for grooming employees for a long career. While there are variations in the process of induction, its basic purpose is to facilitate employees to understand the organization and its challenges and acquire a sense of belonging to it. In CCMB induction is through participation in the research activities, attendance in meetings and seminars, and discussions with the senior scientists. It is informal. Those who enter the organization come from similar work environments, or from academic institutions. However, in Satyam, BHEL and Tecumseh, induction is a formal process; its duration varies. In Satyam induction programmes are organized by the Satyam Learning Centre and followed up by a shorter programme organized by the unit in which they are posted. While the first enables the young associates to understand the breadth and length of the company, the second inducts them into the business model of the vertical and horizontal they get attached to. The Satyam Learning Centre, whose ELTP programme runs into several weeks, not only enables the Associates to learn about Satyam vision and values, work systems, performance management and development plans, but also about the details of the organization. It enables the smooth incorporation of new associates into the Satyam system. ASSET, a soft skill programme is tailor-made for associates for a particular vertical. There are also unit induction programmes where associates learn about a particular unit. Tecumseh organizes an induction programme only when it inducts large numbers of graduate trainees. It relies on brief training and long mentoring which equips a new employee with the skills and knowledge to become a part of the organization. BHEL has a very structured induction programme. It inculcates knowledge about the company in totality, its structure and function, its technology and R & D system, and its strategy for growth. In all the four companies there is a system by which every executive is evaluated, towards the end of induction programme and a prognosis of his future in the company is recorded on his personal dossier.

3.4. Learning on the Job and Continuing Education

While learning on the job is emphasized in the four organizations, whether it is research or IT or manufacturing, it is supplemented by continuing education programmes for its employees. The nature of continuing education is dependent on the outcome of the performance appraisal where employees' strengths, weaknesses and improvement areas are highlighted. In BHEL, the executive who moves from one level to another has to undergo training programmes on supervisory, middle management, general management, advanced management, strategic management and even functional area programmes designed to equip executives to discharge higher responsibilities. Likewise, some are nominated for behavioral programmes; others on health and safety, environment, quality, computers and customer oriented programmes.

Satyam organizes programmes in technical areas, management areas, software skills, domain training, project management, team effectiveness, and change management. The project leaders are trained on negotiation skills; senior managers on strategic management, business leadership and performance management systems. Every employee charts his career path; once vetted by the reviewing officer, it is implemented by the human resource management group. For the top management Satyam organizes a retreat, a learning workshop where all general managers, presidents and CEOs sit together and discuss industry's future, and operating strategies for the company. Sometimes a leading global consultant is invited to the retreat. The corporate division is then charged with task of implementation.

In CCMB development opportunities are provided to scientists through participation in seminars, and conferences related to employees' fields of research. All scientists who have done significant work on projects, and who need to sound their findings with professional colleagues in other parts of the world are encouraged to do so. Sometimes scientists are sent to global labs on deputation. Reciprocally, CCMB receives senior scientists from abroad who spend several weeks if not months with a particular research lab.

Tecumseh does not have its own learning centre but it nominates their executives to external programmes where they may enhance their skills and competency. The senior executives are often sent to other branches in other countries to learn about new work practices to be implemented upon their return.

3.5. Performance Management System

All the four companies in the study have elaborate performance management systems. An executive is expected to set his annual goals and objectives, and his project manager is required to confirm it. Towards the end of the year the executive measures his achievements against the objectives set. This is then reviewed by his superiors who share their feedback. It is interesting to note that in Satyam almost all objectives set are measurable, in Tecumseh and BHEL nearly 75% of objectives are measurable and in CCMB the process milestones achieved are the only indicators of performance. Perhaps in the scientific labs, it has not been possible to establish measurable parameters of performance. Yet, in all of these companies there is an annual performance review, countersigned by the Reviewing Officer. This record constitutes an important input towards development and promotion decisions related to the employee. CCMB, Satyam and Tecumseh are structurally flat organizations. There aren't many levels of hierarchy nor are there many avenues for promotion. Therefore, they have an elaborate system of review, reward and recognition on the job. Every employee or group achieving excellent performance is generously recognized, sometime financially rewarded. But financial reward is a rare event in all the four organizations. BHEL has several layers of hierarchy and high performers get promoted at least once in five years. While graduating from team leader to project leader is an important event in Satyam; in CCMB; a scientist is up for promotion review every five years; promotion to higher level is a big event in Tecumseh and BHEL. These call for wide celebration. Celebration is a must, howsoever big or small it may be.

The superannuation of an employee in the organization is an important event in all the four organizations. Here, the members of the group or division pool their contributions and organize a function. CCMB organizes a grand farewell party for retiring scientists where the Director is always present; so is the case in Tecumseh. But in BHEL it is a divisional and departmental affair. In Satyam there aren't many examples of superannuation to date. In Tecumseh, retired employees are engaged to work in the company as long as they are physically fit.

3.6. Life in the Organizations

Everyday life in the organization is an important area where one can capture the holistic understanding of organizational culture. Some of the important areas are discussed as follows:

3.7. Communication and Information Sharing

Communication is the life line of an organization, so is availability of relevant information. In all four of the organizations studied, there is open communication and information sharing. Withholding information and communication is seen as sacrilege. Although each company has its own mode of communication and information exchange, Satyam operates on intranet for internal mail. This is a platform that is used organization-wide, across all locations in six continents. In Tecumseh notice boards disseminate information. These are located in all the nooks and corners of the company. Employees are free to respond; HR coordinates the exchange. In CCMB, it is CCTV which is located across the campus. In BHEL there is a notice board system, but they more often use informal channels of communication. The employees learn what is happening in the company faster than the receipt of formal communication. In the other three organizations, communication is instantaneous with little room for an informal grapevine. The CCTV at CCMB flashes throughout the day with information regarding research projects, seminars, canteen administrative facilities and birthday greetings; news related to important research projects are also flashed. Congratulations for publication achievements, promotion and superannuation are also conveyed through CCTV. Satyam intranet is a state of the art technology where information is not only shared but can also be sourced. It is the platform for knowledge management; in order to avoid information overloads, there are points of contact and dissemination in every circle or vertical. Information relevant to the employees are frequently updated and communicated on the intranet. Information of interest to all associates in a given location is routed differently. Information about awards won by the company is shared on the intranet platform. Depending on the nature of information, dissemination and the recipient audience, group mail IDs have been created by Satyam. In Tecumseh, quarterly newsletters from the CEO are sent to all the employees, thus keeping them informed about the progress of the company and future plans. In addition, there are monthly and quarterly meetings which act as a forum for sharing information, discussion and decision.

Besides formal channels of communication, there are also informal channels in all the four organizations. During tea and lunch breaks, small groups meet and exchange information; they casually talk and enjoy discussions about achievements as well as faux pas of individuals and of the company. Informal communication is functional in the company by releasing tensions and creating bonhomie and belonging. It is also true that the people with shared interests converge. Informal exchanges at group and project levels strengthen belongingness to the organization. In CCMB any new scientific research is always shared and every scientist knows the area of research that colleagues are engaged in. In BHEL sharing of information during the change of shift is critical. It is structured; it enables the new shift employees to understand the work completed by the first shift and the tasks ahead.

3.8. *Managing of Day to Day Woks*

Information sharing, knowledge sharing, and open culture is an accepted norm and value in all the four organizations; so are the employees' attitudes of cooperation and collaboration in their work groups; Tecumseh and BHEL have shop floor work groups engaged in special tasks. These groups are cohesive and members support each other. Cooperation to achieve their group goals is assured; this cooperation to support other groups that need assistance from time to time is also assured. In CCMB this is visible when one research group needs assistance from another. This is also seen in Tecumseh and BHEL where operations and maintenance groups have to work hand at hand. It has been observed that group work is never affected because of the absence of one member. Other colleagues willingly carry the extra work load as this action will be reciprocated by other employees. Employees openly seek help from each other while performing their job and seniors or superiors often help juniors and subordinates. This is reciprocal. One telling example is from BHEL where a member suffered partial paralysis. In order to keep his current pay, the colleagues helped him by carrying out his assignment in rotation. This was also observed in Tecumseh where colleagues came to each other's help in solving technical problems experienced on a shop floor. In CCMB there are examples of group leaders working on joint research projects where two groups were required to collaborate for speedy results. CCMB records show that most of these joint projects deliver successful results. One sees cooperative culture outside the lab too. A colleague who was injured in an accident was looked after by colleagues in rotation in the hospital, until he fully recovered.

Cooperation does not mean absence of differences. There are examples where differences were openly argued about but later sorted out. In Tecumseh and BHEL, differences on shop floor is a regular phenomenon but they are always resolved to the satisfaction of both. If they cannot resolve issues alone, a superior intervenes and sorts it out. Institutional mechanisms are in place to promote cooperative behavior.

3.9. *Practice of Hierarchy in the Organizations*

Participant observation has shown that all the four organizations nurture good working relations amongst superiors and subordinates. The superior, a formal head, may be the boss but behaviorally he operates as a colleague. In BHEL, the superior-subordinate relationship is informal. They mingle freely. Subordinates do not require special permission for reaching out and speaking to their superiors. All executives are accessible at all time. They share common dining table; use boss's office for discussion, and they have freedom to reallocate work to achieve timely results. This contributes to the quality of work life and reinforces trusting relationships between employees of one company.

Tecumseh, a multi-national company with Indian origin, also practices this informality of relationships among superiors and subordinates. They call each other by first name. The bosses do not mind walking up to the subordinates in case they need to discuss something. The performance appraisal system is not confidential; everybody knows where he stands. They can seek information about their group, about themselves and the organization from the superiors with ease. In Tecumseh feedback on individual's work progress and his future plans are regularly given. This actually leads to enhanced understanding and cooperation. It has also encouraged openness among staff and has enabled employees to converge their energy on meeting the business goals. The employees' involvement in Tecumseh is high and commitment to work equally high. The culture of mutual discussion among employees and superiors, employees, vendors and customers has also empowered people to give high performance.

In Satyam, project leaders, team leaders or divisional leaders are accountable for performance, but they work with their teams as peers. The whole work group celebrates success together, sometimes goes for picnics along with their family members and meets socially for birthdays. However, there are occasions when all of the project leaders across one division sit together to deal with common problems. Since project leadership rotates, such meetings broaden their horizons and enable project leaders to take charge and improve performance. Satyam bosses also walk up to subordinates when they need input. Every employee collects his tea from a common pot and buses his or her own trays in the canteen; even superiors do not allow someone else to do his or her errand. Nobody is subordinate. This attitude has created a peer culture in Satyam. Employees are accountable for themselves, their work, and their responsibilities. However, there is a collective work culture, and inconsequential of power distance.

In CCMB it goes a little further, nobody posts his or her designation on the doors. There is a strong value for expertise and knowledge and this can be in any corner, within any scientist, senior or junior. Sometimes senior scientists do not allow juniors from their projects to pay for tea in the cafeteria but it does not reflect a hierarchical attitude. Rather it shows concern and care for the young colleagues. A great example of team work in CCMB is the authorship of publications. Always, all CCMB publications carry joint names, sometimes authorship is given to all of the members of the team who have worked on the project. The project leader does not take all of the credit; everyone gets it. This is part of CCMB culture.

Likewise, in Tecumseh and BHEL, one notices team culture even beyond their work groups. For making gas turbines or compressors it requires support from different groups such as engineers, maintenance, finance, etc. And the support is always available both in Tecumseh and BHEL. Sharing of work is the credo in these companies and cooperative work culture promotes high performance. Regular interface and interaction between interdependent work groups ensure cooperation. In BHEL and Tecumseh executives concentrate on setting targets and goals, monitoring work, providing resources and supporting the employees on the shop floor to accomplish goals. They mediate between the workers and supervisors when required. And the employees carry out tasks as per manufacturing plans. Each employee has a role which is interdependent on others. It's the contribution from one to the other that ensures performance. In Satyam external support is seldom required; every work group is self-sufficient in completing the task assigned. However, in CCMB, support from staff in the lab and outside is very critical for success. The support is always available; it does not require any intervention from superiors.

3.10. Style of Leaderships

All the four companies operate with assigned leaders of projects. All these leaders work in consultation with the leadership team. More often than not they use a consultative style of decision-making. Every team is autonomous, but they operate in different forms. In BHEL, once they agree on the goals, members of the team have the freedom to achieve their agreed upon results in any fashion they find. This autonomy enhances the commitment of the employees. They work more sincerely for the achievement of their desired results. There are no examples where a team misused the freedom that they earned. The leadership style in Tecumseh is also consultative. Every member has the freedom to express his or her opinion; once discussed openly they arrive at a consensus. This approach gives freedom to the employees to try out new approaches and help them to learn different ways of improving performance. Employees on the whole greatly appreciate the freedom to try out new methods of doing work. Here mistakes are treated as lessons from which to learn. Thus performance keeps improving in all the organizations. In CCMB, though the team leaders have more expertise and experience than other employees. They always consult the young scientists. Sometimes they have reported that these consultations have improved their judgment. Most scientists enjoy autonomy; everybody works on his or her own method and follows flexible work schedule. Employees in this system set their own working hours, which are then given to others to oversee. As indicated by the CCMB scientists, research requires freedom of thought and experimental work culture in order to generate the highest quality performance. CCMB provides autonomy to the scientific community, but makes scientists accountable for their own work. In several laboratories, scientists decide on their own timings, without any constraint from group leaders. They work during the day or at night and make decisions as to how to conduct their own experiments. This culture of empowerment has contributed to the high performance of CCMB.

3.11. Dealing with Uncertainty

Earlier scholars' studies have shown that Indian organizations are characterized by high uncertainty avoidance. This, however, was not true of the four organizations studied. In CCMB, work is full of uncertainty, in Satyam every project is a different project, every assignment calls for different rule of the game and every customer is a different customer and has to be dealt differently. Everyone has to deal with uncertainty. For Tecumseh and BHEL, the degree of uncertainty is not as high and yet the employees are prepared to deal with all eventualities. There were several occasions when they faced uncertainty in their projects. One related to the deadline in BHEL and the other related to employee welfare in Tecumseh. Both of these were dealt with effectively. It was obvious that employees in all the four organizations had high achievement orientation, ambiguity and uncertainty did not matter. There was no case where an employee wanted to achieve his objectives at the cost of other colleagues. There were no examples of undercutting. They all followed win-win strategy.

4. Conclusion

Recapitulating the whole debates on trends of management culture in India proved that Indian management values individual excellence. It recognizes individuals who make distinguished contributions in whatever field of endeavour they are. In Indian society, one does not look for the same qualities in every man. Rather, one recognizes individual differences and respects excellence in whatever field one distinguishes himself. It is believed that in a team, not all members are alike. In fact, they should not be alike, but be as five fingers, with different lengths and shapes, bound together in one hand. Collective wisdom is valued in Indian society. Teamwork always commands attention. The story of a blind man and the lame man joining hands together to compensate for each other's deficiencies is a household word. An effective team consists of people with different views, values, percepts, professions, skills and wisdom, working for a common goal so that together they convert energy into synergy, and the competence of individual is translated into excellence through teamwork.

The present study comes up with few cultural characteristics which distinguish high performing organizations.

- ❖ Competence based membership, which is the hallmark of CCMB, Satyam, BHEL and Tecumseh.
- ❖ Commitment to time, tasks, objectives and goals, this is what drives their high performance.
- ❖ Contribution to the organization and its environment, this makes high performing organizations a valuable.

Unlike Indian culture, where family and kinship define the pattern of relationships, in these organizations, it's the competency and merit that earn one's membership to the organization. Cooperation, trust, win-win behavior and quality of relationships are key cultural characteristics. What is right, valued and preferred are well-defined and adhered to. Organizational values are their anchors.

In all the four organizations studied, employees are aware of their individual identity, but primarily as a part of the working group, division or organization to which they belong. It is their collective orientation and culture of team-work that contributes to their high performance. In all of these organizations it is also interesting to note that the employees differentiate between work relationships and personal friendships. To them, achievement of work objectives is important, but nurturing personal friendship is also valued. High performance, it appears is the product of task competence as well as competence in inter-personal relations.

Commitment to time, deadlines, organizational goals, and excelling in work performance, are the essences of high-performing organizations. Most societies and organizations have varying time orientations, in terms of past, present and future. However, in these four organizations, the past is not considered important. Creating a preferred future is the key. And, present accomplishment is certainly of importance. While current achievement is important, future promise, plans and goals are of greater relevance to these enterprises. It was widely observed that in all the four organizations, including CCMB employees believed that time once lost, was lost forever; meeting deadlines was sacred, optimizing time as a resource was of utmost concern.

Another distinctive cultural characteristic relates to employees' concerns and contributions to the organization and society at large. Not that individual members were not concerned about their personal growth but most of them believe that their growth will come

only when the organizations grow to have a brand equity and respect in the society. Employees who were interviewed highlighted the contribution their organizations had made to the society, BHEL in the field of energy, CCMB in the biotechnology and the new economy, Tecumseh in the quality of life and Satyam in driving the growth of the national economy. Being part of a high-performing organization is not enough for the employees. They are equally concerned about the role the organization plays in the development of the larger society to which they belong.

There is another aspect which needs to be flagged. Indian national culture (Hofstede, 1983; Trompennar, 1993) is deeply embedded in hierarchy, kinship, relationship and a concern for people. This study does not endorse the same. In high performing organizations membership is based on competence, not relationship and kinship. Commitment to achieve organizational excellence, assertiveness in behaviour (not aggressiveness) and personal dignity are also important for those who are part of high-performing organizations. Lastly, what sets these organizations apart is their culture of appreciation, appreciating others for whatever they are worth, whether it is CCMB or Satyam, Tecumseh or BHEL.

On the other hand, many of the features of Indian management highlighted by earlier studies are found in the present study too, with few modifications and little variations. However, it largely depends on nature of the organizations like small, public and private, traditional and modern organizations. It is noticed that not all these characteristics are desirable for Indian managers to practice and pursue in the era of globalization. In fact, there is an unending debate in the country about the desirability of these characteristics, that is, whether ownership and management should be differentiated; whether chief executives should have so much authority; whether hierarchy should be the major method of management coordination; whether paternalistic/directed/nurturing leadership is most appropriate for an Indian organization; whether one should accept Indian managers as the best solo players and do nothing about team work; whether organization behavior need modification and if so, what kind of newer pattern of behaviour should be promoted. These are burning issues for Indian management professionals which can be proved further by conducting intensive studies on the topic

5. References

- i. Alfons, V. M. (2011). Cross Cultural Management: Hybridization of Dutch – Indian Work Practices in Geographically Distributed IT Projects. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 2(2): 15–35.
- ii. Allen, W. B. (2011). Creating a Culture of Enterprise Cyber Security. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 2(2): 36 – 47.
- iii. Amarchand, D and Kumar, R. P. (1995). Towards a New Cultural Orientation. *Indian Management*, 34(8): 18-26.
- iv. Barbara, M, Anne-Wil, H and Hafiz, M. (2004). Human Resource Management in Greece : Have the Colors of Culture Faded Away? *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 4(1): 59-71.
- v. Barry, G. (2008). Cross Cultural Management Research: Assumptions, Evidence, and Suggested Directions. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 8(3): 238- 259.
- vi. Bercovitch, Jacob and Foulkes, Jon. (2012). Cross-Cultural Effects in Conflict Management: Examining the Nature and Relationship between Culture and International Mediation. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 12(1): 25-47.
- vii. Chunxia, W. (2010). The Influence of Confucian Culture on Business Management: A Case Study of Chinese Entrepreneurs in Macau. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 1(2): 117 – 134.
- viii. Clark, Peter and Mueller, Clark. (1996). Organizations and Nations: From Universalism to Institutionalism? *British Journal of Management*, 7(2): 125-139.
- ix. Connolly, T. H. (2010). Business Ritual Studies: Corporate Ceremony and Sacred Space. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 1(2): 32 -47.
- x. Ducker, Peter. (1978). *Management: Task, Responsibilities, Practices*. New Delhi: Allied Publishers.
- xi. Dyer, W. G. (1984). *Strategies for Managing Changes*. Boston: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc.
- xii. Fernanda, Duarte. (2011). What Does a Culture of Corporate Social Responsibility “Look” Like? A Glimpse into a Brazilian Mining Company. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 2(1): 106 –122.
- xiii. Gao, Ge. (2010). The Effects of Intercultural Training Upon the Organizational Performance of Multinational Corporations in China. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 1(2): 97–116.
- xiv. Hardsky, Jack. (1994). *Hand Book of Total Quality Management*. London: Mc. Graw Hill.
- xv. Hofstede, G. (1983). The Cultural Relativity of Organizational Practice and Theories. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 14(2):75-89.
- xvi. Hofstede, G. (1983). National culture in four dimensions. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, 13(2): 46-74.
- xvii. Hofstede, G. (1992). *Culture and Organization: Software of Mind*. London: Mc Graw Hill Europe.
- xviii. Lyndall, Urwick. (1952). *Notes on the Theory of Organization*. New York: American Management Association.
- xix. Mohe, Michael. (2008). Bridging the Cultural Gap in Management Consulting Research. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 8(1): 41-57.
- xx. Morden, T. (1995). National Culture and the Culture of the Organization. *Cross Cultural Management*, 2(2): 3-12.
- xxi. Ouchi, W. (1981). *Theory Z: How American Business can meet the Japanese Challenge*. Reading, MA: Addeson-Wesley.
- xxii. Pascale, R. T and Athos, A. G. (1981). *The Art of Japanese Management: Applications for American Executives*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

- xxiii. Pizam, A., Pine, R., Mok, C., and Shin, J. Y. (1997). Nationality VS Industry Cultures: Which has a Greater Effect on Managerial Behavior? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 16(2): 127-145.
- xxiv. Putti, J. M. (1991). *Management Asian Context*. Singapore: Mc Graw Hill Book Co.
- xxv. Robbin, Stephen. (1995). *Organizational Behavior-Concept Controversies and Applications*. New Delhi; Prentice Hall of India.
- xxvi. Schneider, S. C. (1988). National Vs Corporate Culture: Implication for Human Resource Management. *Human Resource Management*, 27(2): 231-46.
- xxvii. Schwarz, H and Davis, S. M. (1981). Matching Corporate Culture and Business Strategy. *Organizational Dynamics*, 10(1): 30-48.
- xxviii. Sinha Dharni, P. (2004). *Cross Cultural Management: Issues and Challenges*, Paper presented at Thirty Third IFTDO World Conference, New Delhi, Nov.22-25.
- xxix. Smith, P. (1992). Organizational Behavior and National Culture. *British Journal of Management*, 3(1): 39-51.
- xxx. Trompenaars, F. (1993). *Riding the Waves of Culture*. London: Nicholas Brealey Publishing LTD.
- xxxi. Tylor, E. B. (1872). *The Primitive Culture*. Vol, 1. London: John Murray.
- xxxii. Vesper, Mark. (2004). The Influence of Culture on Stakeholder Management: Social Policy Implementation in Multinational Corporations. *Business & Society*, 43(4): 426-437.
- xxxiii. Virmani, B. R. (2002). The Cultural Dilemma in Indian Management. *Human Resource Development, News Letter*, 18(4): 26-28.