THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & MANAGEMENT

Impact on HRM Practices in Select Public and Private Banks – a Study of Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh, India

P. Thanuja

Research Scholar, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, PBR VITS, Kavali, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. Suja S. Nair

Research Supervisor, Associate Professor, HOD, Department of Business Management, VSU, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract:

This research paper portrays the impact of level of management on human resource management practices in Public (SBI) and Private banks (ICICI) and their outcomes in Nellore District. Human Resource Management Practices are vast. But this study covers parameters namely Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Turnover Intention of the employees of both SBI and ICICI bank. This study covers the analysis by taking a sample of 25 employees of Public bank and 25 employees of Private bank relating to Nellore region, how the above parameters are followed in the banks. Primary data was collected by using a structured questionnaire using five-point scale for discussions. The analysis reveals that there exists impact on HRM practices in both the banks and certain variations were there in implementing the above practices.

Keywords: Introduction, Reviews, Methodology, Analysis and Results

1. Introduction

The time is changing and so is business environment. The ongoing process of liberalization, privatization and globalization has made business environment highly competitive and complex. As a result of these changes human resource environment is also changing, which in turn have changed human behavior in organizations. Therefore, the changing environment has necessitated a perspicuous and through study of its impact on human resource management practices. Of late, the human resource management has become important function of management. It is human dimension which has emerged as a means of accelerating growth having relationship with all sorts of organizations.

2. Review of Literature

Gaikwad & Deshmukh (2015) in their study on "HRM Practices in Selected Public and Private Sector Banks" described the issues that are involved related to HR. The authors in their study discussed about HR practices and their relation with both Public and Private sector banks. The study revealed that human resources are important part of any organization and needs to be managed effectively.

Shaukat et al (2015) in their study on "Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Employees Performance" has described that employees are important part of any organization contributing towards the achievement of goals. The aim of the study is to examine the impact of compensation, recruitment and selection, career planning, performance appraisal and selection on employee performance. The authors concluded in their research paper that it is necessary to review, revamp and rejuvenate the human resources in the organization

The study conducted by Al Qudah et al (2014) on "The Effect of Human Resources Management Practices on Employee Performance" has showed the effect of human resources on the performance level of employees. The main factors which have impact are recruitment and selection and compensation on employee performance. The authors have also described that the success and failure of any organization depends on its employees. The results revealed that there is positive relation between both compensation and employee performance and recruitment and selection and employee performance.

KC Chakraborty, 2012 "HR Management in Banks-Need for a new perspective" concluded that Human Resource Management is important for banks because banking is a service industry. Management of risks and Management of people are two key challenges facing banks. He has focused more on the emerging needs of HRM in banking sector in today's world.

Shikha N. Khera (2010) pointed out that over recent years there has been an increasing interest in the field of human resource management. Currently, the literature encourages the consideration of human resources as strategic factors, not only because they play important role in strategy implementation, also because they are beginning to be reckoned as sources of sustainable competitive advantage. Relationships between human resource management and productivity have been studied from different perspectives. This study is an attempt to investigate the extent to which Commercial Banks of India differ on aspects of human resource management

practices and the key Human Resource Practices contributing to employee productivity from a sample of 184 respondents from three commercial banks of India (one foreign sector, one private sector and one public sector bank).

Tessema and Soeters (2006) have conducted study on eight HR practices including compensation, training and other aspects. Literature indicates that the performance of the private and foreign banks have been stronger than that of public sector banks (IBA, 2008).

(D'Souza, 2002). In addition, the working environment in private sector banks has been found as growth driven, technologically advanced, and devoid of bureaucracy, where employees' promotions are highly contingent on their performance and merit. However, private sector banks do not provide job security and would lay off their employees in cases of poor performance or adverse market conditions

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Statement of the Problem

The banking industry in India is dynamic. There are many challenges to be faced in the wake of this scenario. Employee Retention practices form the base for organizations in retaining the talent pool. Further their performance is to be appraised continuously in assessing their skills, so that it helps in Promotions & Transfers for the employees. Employees are entrusted with different roles and responsibilities in the banks. Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Turnover Intention enable the organizations to hold the employees by assigning various roles and responsibilities efficiently which help them in taking up higher challenges. In this study, the researcher studies the implementation of existing HRM practices like Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Turnover Intention in SBI and ICICI bank in Nellore District. The aim is to assess the effectiveness of various facets of Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Turnover Intention in Public and Private Banks.

3.2. Need for the Study

Human Resource Management is important for banks because banking is a service industry. In what way, the Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Turnover Intention followed in Public and Private banks are analysed, so that better way is to be practiced wherever is needed.

3.3. Objectives of the Study

- To assess the impact of human resource practices followed in both Public and Private banks.
- To compare the Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Turnover Intention practices between public and private banks

3.4. Hypothesis

The following Null Hypotheses are framed and tested for the study.

- 1. There is no significant impact of level of management between Public Sector and Private Sector banks regarding 'Employee Retention'
- 2. There is no significant impact of level of management between Public Sector and Private Sector banks regarding 'Promotions & Transfers'
- 3. There is no significant impact of level of management between Public Sector and Private Sector banks regarding 'Career Planning & Turnover Intention'

3.5. Methodology

The study is based on survey method. Primary data are collected from the field directly by using structured Questionnaire. The secondary data are collected from books and websites. 50 respondents are selected by adopting random sampling techniques for the study covering Nellore district of AP. Enough care was taken to include two levels of employees. Statistical package (SPSS version 16.0) is used for analysis of data.

3.6. Sampling Design

State bank of India is selected as Public sector bank and ICICI bank is selected as Private sector bank for this study. 25 employees from SBI and 25 employees from ICICI bank are randomly selected for this research. The collected facts are analysed by using One Way Anova. 5 point Likert scale is used in the study.

3.7. Limitations of the Study

- The size of the samples is small.
- The study area is limited within the area.

4. Result Analysis

Table 1 is prepared by assigning Strongly Agree (5) to Strongly Disagree (1). The Mean and Standard Deviation of the scores of all the Employee Retention scale are given below

4.1. One- Way Anova

Statements	Bank	Level of Management	N	Mean	SD	F	Sig.
		Low	21	3.8095	.74960		
Financial reward facility helps in attracting	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.00000		.133
	1 done	Low	10	4.0000	.00000	2.338	
employee	Private	Middle	15	4.1333	.35187		
employee	Tirvate	Low	21	3.5238	.98077		
Transportation & Housing	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.15470		
facility helps in attracting	1 done	Low	10	3.2000	.42164	2.040	.160
employee	Private	Middle	15	3.1333	.35187		
employee	Tirvate	Low	21	3.2857	.95618		
Non financial reward	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.15470		
facility helps in attracting	1 uone	Low	10	4.8000	.63246	.002	.962
employee	Private	Middle	15	4.0000	.92582		
employee	riivate		21	4.0000	.43644		
A good leave facility helps	Public	Low Middle		4.0932	.50000		
in retaining employee	rublic		10	3.1000	.31623	.046	.831
in retaining employee	Private	Low Middle	15				
	Private			3.6000	.50709		
Detertion strategies male	D1.1: -	Low	21	3.5238	.67964		.182
Retention strategies make	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.81650	1.834	
position and achieve goals	D: .	Low	10	4.0000	.00000		
of organization	Private	Middle	15	3.8667	.35187		
5 11 11 11	D 111	Low	21	3.3333	1.06458	2.115	.152
Poor relationship with	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.41421		
supervisor is reason for		Low	10	1.9000	.31623		
leaving job	Private	Middle	15	2.2667	.79881		
		Low	21	3.6190	1.11697		.102
Lack of rewards and	Public	Middle	4	2.7500	1.50000	2.785	
recognition is reason for		Low	10	4.6000	.96609	2.763	
leaving job	Private	Middle	15	3.4667	1.45733		
		Low	21	2.9048	1.09109		
Lack of T&D	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.15470		
opportunities is reason for		Low	10	2.9000	.31623	.204	.654
leaving job	Private	Middle	15	2.7333	.70373		
		Low	21	3.3810	1.28360		
Inadequate compensation	Public	Middle	4	2.7500	1.50000	206	520
is reason for leaving job		Low	10	4.1000	.56765	.386	.538
	Private	Middle	15	3.6000	.63246		
		Low	21	3.9524	1.16087		
Brand Image is deciding	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	1.41421	451	505
factor as an option for next employment		Low	10	3.3000	.67495	.451	.505
	Private	Middle	15	3.9333	.96115		
		Low	21	3.6667	.96609		.148
Compensation & benefits	Public	Middle	4	3.2500	.50000		
are deciding factor to		Low	10	4.8000	.42164	2.158	
choose for next employment	Private	Middle	15	4.7333	.45774		
empioyment		Low	21	3.6190	.80475	3.462	
Managing expectations of	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.81650		
employee is challenge for	+	Low	10	2.3000	1.05935		.069
retention	Private	Middle	15	3.7333	1.22280		.007
		Low	21	3.5238	1.12335		
			4.	5.5250	1.12000	7.652	003
Providing adequate career	Public	Middle	4	4.5000	.57735	7.652	.008

challenge to retention	Private	Middle	15	4.4667	.51640		
		Low	21	3.4762	.87287		
Fostering good	Public	Middle	4	3.2500	.95743		
relationship with		Low	10	3.1000	.31623	.335	.565
supervisor is challenge to retention	Private	Middle	15	3.5333	.51640		
		Low	21	3.5238	.74960		
Providing job challenges	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.81650	3.837	.056
help in employee retention		Low	10	4.1000	.31623	3.837	.030
	Private	Middle	15	4.0667	.25820		
		Low	21	3.1429	1.01419		
Building open	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	.81650	.015	.904
environment & culture		Low	10	4.7000	.67495	.013	.904
help in retention	Private	Middle	15	3.8667	.99043		
		Low	21	3.4286	.81064		
Competitive remuneration	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.00000	0.917	.003
packages help in retention		Low	10	3.2000	.63246	9.817	.003
	Private	Middle	15	4.0667	.88372		
		Low	21	3.7143	.78376		
Clarifying job	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.00000	1 700	107
responsibilities & career		Low	10	4.1000	.31623	1.709	.197
paths helps in retention	Private	Middle	15	4.0667	.25820		
		Low	21	3.2381	.94365		
Providing continuous	Public	Middle	4	2.7500	1.50000		
training opportunities for		Low	10	4.7000	.48305	1.633	.207
skill upgradation help in retention	Private	Middle	15	4.4667	.51640		

Table 1: Employee Retention in SBI and ICICI Bank

4.2. Inference

An analysis of above table relating to Employee Retention in Public and Private banks based on level of management brings out that F value is 7.652 and the Significance value is 0.008 in case of Providing adequate career growth & opportunities is challenge to retention, the F value is 9.817 and significance value is 0.003 in case of Competitive remuneration packages help in retention. This indicates that significance value is below the standard norm 0.05 regarding these two constructs. Since the Significance value is less than 0.05, the mean difference existing for these constructs across the banks is significant at 5% level. Hence it is assessed that the Null Hypothesis is rejected. It indicates that there exists significant difference between both the banks in providing adequate career growth & opportunities, Competitive remuneration packages. This is so because Public sector bank has its own strategy to provide adequate career growth & opportunities, Competitive remuneration packages than Private sector banks. But in remaining constructs relating to Retention practices the significance value is above the Standard norm. Hence, there is no significant difference between the banks in all the other constructs i.e. Null hypothesis is accepted.

Table 2 is prepared by assigning Strongly Agree (5) to Strongly Disagree (1). The Mean and Standard Deviation of the scores of all the Promotions & Transfers scale are given below

Statements	Bank	Level of	N	Mean	SD	F	Sig.
		Management					
		Low	21	3.8095	.92839		.013
Promotion is based on	Public	Middle	4	4.5000	.57735	6.656	
Merit system		Low	10	4.2000	.42164	0.030	
	Private	Middle	15	4.4667	.51640		
		Low	21	3.5238	.87287		
Seniority is considered as	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	1.15470	.962	.332
basis of Promotion		Low	10	2.1000	.73786	.902	.332
	Private	Middle	15	3.2000	1.01419		
		Low	21	3.9524	1.07127		
Both Merit & Seniority is	Public	Middle	4	3.2500	.95743	.693	.409
considered as basis of		Low	10	4.2000	.42164	.093	.409
Promotion	Private	Middle	15	4.0000	.00000		
		Low	21	3.7619	.53896	.661	.420

Promotion system is	Public	Middle	4	4.2500	.50000		
appropriate	1 40110	Low	10	3.1000	.31623		
	Private	Middle	15	3.5333	.51640		
		Low	21	3.9048	.62488		
Promotion policy	Public	Middle	4	3.5000	.57735		
provides equal		Low	10	4.9000	.31623	.145	.705
opportunities for career growth	Private	Middle	15	4.5333	.91548		
_		Low	21	3.7143	.84515		
Promotion policy helps	Public	Middle	4	4.5000	.57735		
to raise educational		Low	10	3.0000	.47140	.033	.856
qualifications & motivation level	Private	Middle	15	3.2667	.59362		
		Low	21	3.5238	.74960		
Promotions are unbiased	Public	Middle	4	3.5000	1.29099	.049	.826
& rational		Low	10	4.7000	.67495	.049	.020
	Private	Middle	15	3.9333	.96115		
		Low	21	3.4286	.67612		.049
Transfer is considered to	Public	Middle	4	3.5000	.57735	4.068	
meet organizational		Low	10	3.2000	.63246	4.000	.049
requirements	Private	Middle	15	3.8667	.91548		
		Low	21	3.6190	.80475		i
Transfers are considered	Public	Middle	4	3.2500	.50000	.037	.848
to satisfy the employee		Low	10	4.1000	.56765	.037	.0-0
need	Private	Middle	15	3.8667	.35187		
		Low	21	3.7619	.83095		
Transfer are considered	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	.00000	1.846	.181
to utilize employees		Low	10	4.3000	.48305		
better	Private	Middle	15	3.8000	.77460		
		Low	21	3.8095	.67964		
Transfers are done to	Public	Middle	4	3.7500	.95743	.041	.840
make employees more		Low	10	2.3000	.82327		
versatile	Private	Middle	15	3.1333	.99043		
	D 111	Low	21	3.5714	.92582	.096	
Transfers are done to	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.81650		.758
adjust workforce	D	Low	10	3.8000	.42164		
	Private	Middle	15	3.4667	.51640		
T	D 11'	Low	21	3.0476	1.32198		
Transfers are done to provide relief	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.15470	2.749	.104
	Delication	Low	10	3.1000	.73786		
	Private	Middle	15	2.4667	.51640		
Transfers are done to reduce conflicts in organization	D., l. 1: -	Low	21	3.1429	1.15264		
	Public	Middle	4	4.2500	.95743	2.689	.108
	Deixoto	Low	10	4.5000	1.26930		
Organization	Private	Middle	15	4.1333	.99043		
Transfers are considered	Dublic	Low	21	2.9048	1.37495		
to punish employees	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.82574	.838	.364
to punish employees	Deixoto	Low	10	3.0000	.81650	-	
	Private	Middle	15	2.5333	.74322		

Table 2: Promotions & Transfers in SBI and ICICI Bank

4.3. Inference

An analysis of above table relating to Promotions and Transfers in Public and Private banks based on level of management brings out that F value is 6.656 and the significance value is .013 in case of Promotion is based on Merit system. This indicates that significance value is below the standard norm 0.05 regarding this construct. Since the Significance value is less than 0.05, the mean difference existing for this construct across the banks is significant at 5% level. Hence it is assessed that the Null Hypothesis is rejected. It indicates that there exists significant difference between both the banks relating to Promotion is based on Merit system. This is so because Public sector bank has its own strategy to use Promotion policies, i.e., consider both merit and seniority whereas Private

banks sometimes promote based on only merit. But in remaining constructs relating to Promotions and Transfers the significance value is above the Standard norm. Hence, there is no significant difference between the banks in all the other constructs i.e. Null hypothesis is accepted.

Table 3 is prepared by assigning Strongly Agree (5) to Strongly Disagree (1). The Mean and Standard Deviation of the scores of all the Career Planning & Turnover Intention scale are given below

Statements	Bank	Level of	N	Mean	SD	F	Sig.
		Management	21	2.0571	70202		
	B 111	Low	21	3.8571	.79282		
Employees at Each level	Public	Middle	4	3.2500	.50000	.000	.996
have clear career ladder		Low	10	2.5000	1.08012		
	Private	Middle	15	3.4667	1.45733		
		Low	21	3.3810	.86465		
Career planning &	Public	Middle	4	3.2500	.50000	.386	.537
succession planning are		Low	10	2.2000	.42164		
done very seriously	Private	Middle	15	2.7333	.79881		
		Low	21	3.1905	1.12335		
Internal hiring is	Public	Middle	4	3.7500	1.50000	2.980	.091
preferred over external		Low	10	4.7000	.48305	2.700	.071
	Private	Middle	15	4.3333	.48795		
		Low	21	3.1429	1.01419		
Organisational and	Public	Middle	4	2.7500	.95743	.288	.594
individual growth needs		Low	10	3.9000	.31623	.200	
are aligned	Private	Middle	15	3.7333	.70373		
		Low	21	3.6190	.86465		
Employees get career	Public	Middle	4	4.0000	.81650	3.502	
guidance from		Low	10	3.2000	.63246		.067
supervisors without hesitation	Private	Middle	15	3.9333	.96115		
		Low	21	4.3810	.58959		
New employee always get	Public	Middle	4	4.5000	.57735	.122	.729
inspired by career		Low	10	3.1000	.31623		
planning & development system	Private	Middle	15	3.7333	.45774		
		Low	21	3.7619	.94365		
Employees are provided	Public	Middle	4	3.5000	1.29099	1.041	212
e-lessons for career		Low	10	4.2000	.42164		.313
development	Private	Middle	15	4.3333	.72375		
		Low	21	2.3810	1.35927		
Feel of loyalty towards	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	1.82574	126	704
bank		Low	10	4.1000	1.28668	.126	.724
	Private	Middle	15	3.1333	1.84649		
		Low	21	3.7143	1.38358		000
Intention to resign within	Public	Middle	4	2.5000	1.91485	020	
a short time		Low	10	3.3000	.67495	.020	.889
	Private	Middle	15	3.9333	.96115		
		Low	21	2.1429	1.55839		
Leaving job whenever new job is obtained	Public	Middle	4	3.0000	2.30940		
		Low	10	4.3000	.82327	2.607	.113
Ĭ	Private	Middle	15	3.7333	1.03280		
		Low	21	3.9524	.97346		
Hate to quit job	Public	Middle	4	3.7500	.95743		
1 1		Low	10	3.0000	.47140	3 111 / 1	.030
	Private	Middle	15	2.9333	.25820		
	7 11 2 6	DI	1.5		CICL Dl-		

Table 3: Career Planning & Turnover Intentionin SBI and ICICI Bank

4.4. Inference

An analysis of above table relating to Career Planning & Turnover Intention in Public and Private banks based on level of management brings out that F value is 5.012 and the Significance value is 0.030 in case of Feel of quitting from the Job. This indicates that significance value is below the standard norm 0.05 regarding this construct. Since the Significance value is less than 0.05, the mean difference existing for this construct across the banks is significant at 5% level. Hence it is assessed that the Null Hypothesis is rejected. It indicates that there exists significant difference between both the banks relating to the Feel of quitting from the Job. This is so because Public sector bank employees are not interested to quit but whereas Private bank employees are ready to quit from their Job and the reason behind this is due to more work, No Job safety and security, no additional incentives etc as in case of Public banks. But in remaining constructs relating to Recruitment practices the significance value is above the Standard norm. Hence, there is no significant difference between the banks in all the other constructs i.e. Null hypothesis is accepted.

5. Findings & Discussions

- There exists significant difference between both the banks in providing adequate career growth & opportunities, Competitive remuneration packages. This is so because Public sector bank has its own strategy to provide adequate career growth & opportunities, Competitive remuneration packages than Private sector banks
- There exists no significant difference between the banks in all the other constructs relating to Employee Retention because the significance value is above the Standard norm. It means that both the banks follow similarly in the other Retention practices.
- There exists significant difference between both the banks relating to Promotion is based on Merit system. This is so because Public sector bank has its own strategy to use Promotion policies, i.e., consider both merit and seniority whereas Private banks sometimes promote based on only merit.
- There exists no significant difference between the banks in other constructs namely Appropriate promotion system, Rational & unbiased promotion policies, transfers done to provide relief and more versatile. Both the banks follow in the same manner in the above selection practices
- There exists significant difference between both the banks relating to the Feel of quitting from the Job. This is so because Public sector bank employees are not interested to quit but whereas Private bank employees are ready to quit from their Job and the reason behind this is due to more work, No Job safety and security, no additional incentives etc as in case of Public banks.
- There exists no difference between the banks in other constructs of Career Planning and Turnover Intention because the value is above standard norm and it indicates that both the banks function in a similar manner in the above practices.

6. Suggestions

Public bank has better ways and means for career development opportunities, competitive remuneration packages whereas in Private banks it is somewhat less in nature when compared to Public Banks. Retaining the employee is a big challenge in the case of Private banks. Skill development is crucial. So, Private bank by reenergizing their employees through proper promotions, career enhancing activities, Retention strategies and transforming the bank will lead to provide better working environment and retain the best talent pool.

7. Conclusion

Thorough competition exists between Public and Private sector banks. The public sector banks are finding it difficult to cope up with competition. Even Public sector bank is good enough in Employee retention by providing good Career planning & Development programmes, Promotions & Transfers but Private banks are striving hard to retain their employees with deadwood and not willing to perform better. So, the HRM activities relating to Employee Retention, Promotions & Transfers, Career Planning & Development practices of both the public-sector banks and private banks are different in certain constructs. Those must be changed in Private bank employees so that it will help the private banks for their betterment.

8. References

- Al_Qudah, H., Osman, A., & M. Al_Qudah, H. (2014). The Effect Of Human Resources Management Practices On Employee Performance. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, Volume 3(Issue 9), 129-134. Retrieved September 19, 2015, from http://www.ijstr.org/final-print/sep2014/The-Effect-Of-Human-ResourcesManagement-Practices-On-Employee-Performance.pdf 7.
- ii. Bhargava Deepti, (2010) HR Practices in Public and Private Sector Bank, (Rajsamand: IJBEMR)
- iii. Chakrabarty KC, (2012) RBI Monthly Bulletien Human Resource Management in Banks-Need for a New PerspectiveDwivedi, R.S., Dynamics of Human Behaviour at Work, Oxford & IBH Publishing
- Gaikwad, S., &Deshmukh, U. (2015). HRM Practices in Selected Public and Private Sector Banks. Glacier Journal of Scientific Research,118. Retrieved September 19, 2015, from http://www.glacierjournal.org/upload/papers/1440239850-HRM PRACTICES IN SELECTED PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANK.doc.
- v. Jyoti Sadhum (2008) Human Resource Development in Banking Sector/. New Delhi, Serials Pub., x, 198 p., tables, ISBN 81-8387-172-3.
- vi. M. Madhu Lincoin, G. Rama Krishna and K. Venu Gopal Rao, (2009) HRM Practices in Public Sector Banks and Private

- Sector Banks, Manglam Publications, Delhi.
- vii. Pareek, V. and Rao, T.V. (1981). Designing and Managing Human Resource Systems, Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- viii. Professional Banker-the ICFAI University press.
- ix. Shaukat, H., Ashraf, N., &Ghafoor, S. (2015). Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Employees Performance. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, Volume 23(Issue 2), 329-338. doi:10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2015.23.02.22117.
- x. Shikha N. Khera (June, 2010)Human Resource Practices and their Impact on Employee Productivity: A Perceptual Analysis of Private, Public and Foreign Bank Employees in India, DSM Business Review Vol. 2, No. 1
- xi. www.sbi.co.in andwww.icici.com