

# ***THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS & MANAGEMENT***

## **A Study of Foreign Banks and Indian Financial Development**

**Dr. Manoj Kumar Mishra**

Associate Professor, AGBS Patna, Amity University, India

**Dr. Neema Kumari**

Faculty, Deptt. of Economics, Magadh Mahila College, Patna University, India

**Dr. Vivekanand Pandey**

Professor, AGBS Patna, Amity University, India

### **Abstract :**

*Despite the promotion of foreign banks in India, there have been concerns in RBI regarding the increasing footprint of foreign banks in the country. Most of the foreign banks in India have confined their work to the areas of Investment Banking and foreign exchange Market and have not paid much attention to the other “priority sector” in the country. This paper examines the impact of foreign banks on financial sector development. To look at the impact of foreign banks the paper analyses various parameters like the rural presence, contribution towards priority sector, technological development and financial ratios like return on asset and equity. Two case studies have been discussed – one, about The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation’s (HSBC) journey in India and the other, about BCCI in India. The paper ends by discussing various challenges which are faced by foreign banks when they set-up their shop in the country.*

**Keywords:** HSBC, BCCI, Financial Sector, Foreign Banks, Investment, Priority Sector.

### **1. Introduction**

Banking sector in India dates back to 18th century with the establishment of Bank of Hindustan in 1770 followed by the General Bank of India in 1786. There were a number of Public sector banks like Bank of Bengal, Bank of Bombay which came into existence between 1800 and 1850(including State Bank of India. These banks were founded as per the charters from British East India Company. With the trade relations developing between India and various other countries there was a keen interest from banks in other countries to invest in India and grow their customer base here. The banks were following the customers in some cases while in some other banks led new customers to enter new geographies and make investments. After 1850’s the British initiated the process of setting up foreign banks in India and it was followed by banks from countries viz. France, Germany, Japan, Holland and US. Some of the oldest foreign banks that entered India were

- HSBC (then called the Mercantile Bank of India which started in 1853)
- Standard Chartered Bank (then called the Chartered Bank of India, Australia and China which started in 1858).

At present the Standard Chartered Bank is the largest foreign bank in terms of numbers, with 99 branches in 42 cities. As per the RBI discussion paper on presence of foreign banks in India (2011), there were 34 foreign banks operating in India as branches. Their balance sheet assets, accounted for around 7.65 percent of the total assets of the scheduled commercial banks as on March 31, 2010. If the credit equivalent of off balance sheet assets were included, the share of foreign banks was 10.50 per cent of the total assets of the scheduled commercial banks as on March 31, 2010, out of this, the share of top five foreign banks alone was 7.12 per cent. Further sections analyse the regulatory framework that govern the foreign banks and the changes that have gone through in this regard.

### **2. Review of Literature**

Marco, Carment and Francisco [2006] analyse the performance measures of the banks (domestic/foreign) as against the performance of the country and track the changes during the financial crisis and how there was a difference between the domestic and foreign banks in this regard. Cárdenas, Graf and Dogherty [2008] explain the implication of a foreign banks’ entry to the financial system of the host country, the financial viability of a local subsidiary and the possible effects on the market dynamics. Claessens and Horen [2012] provides a detailed database of bank ownership for several countries over 1995-2009 and reviewed their performance during this period. Schnitzer and Lehner [2006] analyse the impact of foreign bank entry on host countries particularly emphasizing on the transition period in the context of emerging markets by studying impact of foreign banks. Cull and Peria [2010] provide insight on foreign banks participation in emerging economies. According to author, the participation of foreign banks has increased, but process has not been uniform. Eastern Europe & Latin America were quick to adopt this model but Middle-east and Asia were late comers. Elimination of barriers, reduced information cost of operating in foreign markets has been the reasons for foreign banks to participate in a host country. Hope, Laurenceson and Qin [2008] analyse the impact of foreign bank participation on Chinese banking sector by studying several Chinese commercial banks. They also discuss how the presence of foreign banks did enhance the competitive environment in China’s banking sector.

Ghosh [2012] discusses how foreign banks have an impact on domestic profitability. The paper concludes that foreign banks presence improves profitability and asset quality. Sanyal and Shankar [2008] talk about the productivity differences that existed pre and post 1991 reforms and results shows that the productivity gap between Indian private banks, public and foreign banks has dramatically increased due to faster productivity growth by Indian private banks. According to Charvaka [1993], phenomenal profits by foreign banks is not due to highly efficient banking operations, but on account of treasury operations, portfolio management and lending in the money market, non-deposit resources mobilized essentially from other banks, financial institutions and public sector undertakings. Policy has favored foreign banks, in terms of limited social obligation and in contrast, Indian banks have a disadvantageous position in several aspects including social responsibilities, rural banking, priority sector lending, etc. They also face losses from small and big borrowers. According to research emerging from the RBI, two aspects are highlighted. First, there are opinions in favor of foreign banks by extending support and concerns were expressed over the presence of the same in the country.

Regarding the attitude of RBI towards foreign banks there have been a number of views expressed. Despite the promotion of foreign banks in India, there have been concerns in RBI regarding the increasing footprint of foreign banks in the country [Mohan 2009]. Most of the foreign banks in India have confined their work to the areas of Investment Banking and foreign exchange Market [Mohan 2006] and have not paid much attention to the other “priority sector” in the country. This results in a small presence in the country which could result in the top management of the bank paying little attention to the operations. Hence any transgression committed by these banks which can have significant impact on the financial market of the host country but have a little effect on the overall global operations of the bank. Sometimes, even strong regulatory action taken by regulators against such global banks has had negligible market impact on them and hence there is loss of regulatory effectiveness as a result of the presence of big financial conglomerates.

According to the latest norms released by the RBI, those foreign banks which have become “systematically important” (whose assets account for at least 0.25 percent of the total assets of all commercial banks) will have to convert to wholly owned subsidiaries of their parent. Those foreign banks which set up wholly owned subsidiaries (WOS) in India will be given “near national bank” treatment in India. This will allow them to open up new branches which will give them a level playing field vis-à-vis their Indian counterpart. This will also allow them to expand their branch network without seeking prior approval of the RBI (except in sensitive locations from the perspective of national security). As per the release of the framework for setting up of WOS by foreign banks in India, by the RBI, the policy is guided by two cardinal principles of (i) reciprocity and (ii) single mode of presence (the proposed framework in the discussion paper of 2011 had indicated that these principles should guide the framework of the future policy regarding presence of foreign banks in India). The policy incentivizes the existing foreign bank branches which operate within the framework of India’s commitment to the WTO to convert into WOS due to the attractiveness of near national treatment. Such a conversion is also desirable from the financial stability point of view [RBI, 2013]. Foreign banks have been hesitant of conversion to WOS. Hence in order to promote foreign banks to convert their branches to wholly-owned subsidiary, according to a recent directive, RBI has decided to exempt stamp duty and capital gains on conversion [Dinesh U 2013]. This exemption is valid from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013.

### 3. Performance of foreign banks

In order to compare the performance of foreign banks with respect to Indian counterpart several performance indicators have been considered. To prove the point that Foreign banks are focusing only on urban areas proxy indicators like number of ATM branches opened in urban and rural are discussed. To understand the impact of foreign banks towards agriculture, their net advances towards agriculture is compared with Indian banks. In order to understand how foreign banks are managing their assets a comparison of return of assets is performed. A discussion on non-performing assets of various banks in India is done by analyzing Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets ratio.

### 4. Focus on urban areas

One of the clear trends that emerge from the growth of foreign banks is the kind of population that they cater to. The table in the appendix indicates the percentage of branches in rural areas for foreign banks (around 2 to 3 percent of total branches) and this number is negligible compared to the same percentage for other scheduled commercial banks (here rural and semi-urban branches account for 58 percent of the total branches).

- Foreign banks clearly are focusing on expansion activities in urban areas. This makes sense because the initial amount of deposit required is also high and would not be feasible for people in rural areas.
- Over the last 20 years the number of rural branches in foreign banks have only increased to about 15 branches (in 1995 there were 3 branches) in comparison to the private sector banks which have more than doubled their rural branches in the same time period.

### 5. Priority Sector Lending

Priority Sector lending refers to those sectors which may not be as attractive to lenders as other sectors can be, but is important to the economy for various reasons, including providing source of livelihood to many. Major areas which are covered under priority sector lending are Agriculture, Small and micro enterprises, education, housing, export credit, etc. According to government regulation the following are the requirement to be satisfied by each bank:

Category	Domestic Bank / Foreign Banks (With More than 20 Branches)	Foreign Banks With Less than 20 Branches
Total Priority Sector Lending	40	32
Contribution to Agriculture	18	No Specific Requirement
Contribution to Weaker Section	10	No Specific Requirement

Table 1: Priority Sector Lending (% of adjusted net bank credit)  
Source: Report on Trends and Progress of Banking in India, RBI publication

Looking at the above table, foreign banks with less than 20 branches have no mandatory requirement to contribute towards agriculture. Considering the large number of NPA, it is neither an attractive proposition for them. Taking advantage of this, most of the foreign banks cover their priority sector requirement by contributing towards the export sector. A look at the trend in contributing towards agriculture/export is shown below:

Bank Type	1999-00	2005-06	2011-12
Public Sector bank	15.8	15.2	15.8
Private Sector banks	9.1	13.5	14.3
Foreign Banks	1.8	5.6	0.1

Table 2: Priority Sector Advances to Agriculture (% of adjusted Net banking Credit)  
Source: Same as Table 1

Bank Type	1999-00	2005-06	2011-12
Public Sector bank	11.0	8.1	9.5
Private Sector banks	13.9	4.2	5.4
Foreign Banks	22.5	19.4	29.8

Table 3: Advance to "Other" Sector (% of adjusted Net Banking Credit)  
Source: Same as Table 1

The Return on Asset is an Indicator of how efficiently the bank is managing its resources. Foreign banks are better in managing their resources as compared to public sector banks and private banks. With the entry of foreign banks it has been observed that the ROA of domestic banks have also increased significantly this may be due to the "spillover effect" which foreign banks' operations in India have over the other banks. Their operations might have encouraged domestic banks to adopt better practices of operations in order to compete with foreign banks. A trend of ROA for the Last decade can be seen below:

Return on Asset is the indicator of the efficiency in which the bank employs its resources, while return on equity is the indicator of the efficiency in which the bank uses its capital. As it can be seen from Tables 4 and 5, foreign banks are the most productive in using their resources while Public sector banks have used their funds more judiciously.

Bank Type	1999-00	2005-06	2011-12
Public Sector bank	0.6	0.8	0.9
Old Private Sector Bank	1.2	0.5	1.2
New Private Sector Bank	1.1	0.9	1.6
Foreign Banks	0.3	1.6	1.8

Table 4: Return on Assets  
Source: Same as Table 1

Bank Type	Return on Asset	Return on Equity
Public Sector bank	0.8	13.2
Old Private Sector Bank	1.3	16.2
New Private Sector Bank	1.7	16.5
Foreign Banks	1.9	11.5

Table 5: Return on Asset and Return on Equity for the year 2012-2013  
Source: Same as Table 1.

As seen from the Table - 6, NPA's for foreign banks were relatively low in the past decade. This probably shows their superior risk management capabilities or more generally, exclusivity, of giving loans to a select group.

	1999-00	2005-06	2011-12	2012-13
Public Sector Banks	7.4	1.3	1.7	2.0
Old Private Sector Banks	7.3	1.6	0.6	0.8
New Private Sector Banks	2.9	0.8	0.5	0.4
Foreign Banks	2.4	0.8	0.6	1.0

Table 6: Net NPAs (% of Net Advances) for various categories of banks  
Source: Same as Table 1

Table – 7 points to the fact that the cost of Deposits, borrowing and cost of funds are the least for foreign banks but their return on investment is the highest. This indicated the superiority in operational efficiencies which foreign banks have achieved. Capital to Risk-weighted asset ratio is the amount of money (as a percentage of shareholder's capital) which the bank is supposed to have. Internationally, this has been agreed to be at 8 percent. Of all types of banks, Foreign Banks in India have the highest capital to risk-weighted asset ratio (Table 8). According to Tale 9, long term deposits with foreign banks are very few, least among the rest of the banks. Most Loans and Advances given by foreign banks are still very mature (mostly less than 1 year). Borrowings, with less than 1 year are highest for foreign banks as compared to other banks and with itself.

	Cost of Deposits	Cost of Borrowings	Cost of Funds	Return on Advances	Return on Investments	Return on Funds	Spread
Public Sector	6.6	2.5	6.3	10.1	7.60	9.4	3.1
Old Private Sector	7.5	4.3	7.1	12.2	7.5	10.6	3.4
New private Sec	6.5	3.2	5.8	11.3	7.2	9.9	4.1
Foreign	4.7	2.8	4.1	9.6	8.1	8.9	4.8

Table 7: Cost of Funds and Returns on Funds for the year 2012-2013  
Source: Same as Table 1

	Basel 1	Basel 2
Public Sector Banks	11.31	12.38
Old Private Sector banks	12.33	13.73
New private sector banks	15.71	17.52
Foreign Banks	18.76	17.87

Table 8: Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio under Basel I and II for the year 2013  
Source: Same as Table 1

	Public Sector Bank	Old Private Sector Bank	New Private Sector Bank	Foreign Banks
<b>Deposits</b>				
Less than 1 year	49.6	48.1	48.9	61.8
Between 1 and 3 years	25.3	39.2	26.6	29.8
Between 3 and 5 years	8.5	6.9	5.2	8.3
Over 5 years	16.6	5.8	19.3	0.1
<b>Borrowing</b>				
Less than 1 year	45.4	63.7	49.2	84.5
Between 1 and 3 years	12.2	13.4	11.7	9.2
Between 3 and 5 years	15.2	7.8	12.9	2.7
Over 5 years	27.2	15.1	26.2	3.5
<b>Loan and Advances</b>				
Less than 1 year	34.3	44	32.4	67.4

Between 1 and 3 years	37.4	36.1	37.4	15.5
Between 3 and 5 years	11	9.1	12	4.5
Over 5 years	17.3	10.8	18.2	12.5
<b>Investments</b>				
Less than 1 year	20.1	30.3	45.7	76.6
Between 1 and 3 years	12.6	12.2	18.6	12.9
Between 3 and 5 years	14.2	13	8.2	5.2
Over 5 years	53.1	44.4	27	5.3

Table 9: Maturity Profile of Select Liabilities/Assets

Source: Same as Table 1.

## 6. Case study of two foreign banks

Key findings about 2 foreign banks have been discussed in this section. The growth story of HSBC spanning over 150 years and the challenges and the situations of BCCI bank in India are presented below.

### 6.1. The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation

HSBC began its operations in India as Mercantile Bank in October 1853. The Mercantile bank of India, London and China was founded in Mumbai with branches in 3 countries: India, China and London. The bank was mainly established for facilitating trade between the 3 countries then. This bank had offices in 9 cities by 1855 and the next 100 years were profitable for the bank. The Mercantile bank was acquired by the Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd in 1959 laying the foundation for today's HSBC group. The bank has grown tremendously over the last 150 years following a strategy of 'Managing for Value', and the managing for value has undergone several changes throughout its existence in India. HSBC gave India its first ATM in 1987 and has been a major contributor in terms of the technology evolution of banks in India.

The HSBC group in India provides 24 hour banking services through the 140 ATMs throughout the country and is known for its high end technology based banking services like phone banking, internet banking, trade banking and treasury dealing services. HSBC in India offers a very wide range of services which include:

- Retail Banking and Wealth Management: The Bank has around 1.4 million customers worldwide and offers a wide range of services to these resident and non-resident Indian segments in India, USA, UK, Canada, Australia, Middle East and South East Asia.
- Commercial Banking
- Private Bank
- Global Markets
- Asset Management
- Audit Services
- Investment Banking and Institutional Equities Broking

This wide array of services is what differentiates HSBC from the rest of the banks. The technology inclination of HSBC coupled with the unique offerings has helped it achieve the differential advantage compared to the other banks.

#### 6.1.1. Sector focus:

HSBC in India is focused equally on all sectors except a few industries like defense, aviation which HSBC cannot serve. Though there are not many rural area branches of HSBC the social concern is addressed by HSBC through the various projects launched like Mann Deshi, Micro financing, education of children etc.

#### 6.1.2. Corporate Sustainability:

Here there are 3 main areas in which HSBC works

- a) Financial Inclusion- Providing education to children in rural areas and also underprivileged kids, teaching live skills that will be essential for building a career and make them independent throughout. The focus is also on women empowerment and financial support to women to help them start businesses on their own or provide them education to make them capable to compete and survive in the industry. HSBC scholarship program, Future first investment in children program and Micro finance fund are all initial steps of HSBC towards this direction.
- b) Environment sustainability initiatives - Focused on climate change, ecosystem conservation, direct impact reduction, business development and risk management. They have supported projects in climate change which work on impact of climate change on business and work with supported organizations in collaboration to tackle the challenge on a global level. Ecosystem conservation and direct impact reduction measurement of any environmental sustainability measures are some of the other areas of focus for HSBC.
- c) Volunteering programs: HSBC is keen on allowing its employees to be a part of the change that they drive by encouraging them to be a part of the various social and environmental initiatives that they come up with.

The key factors that make HSBC unique amongst the other foreign banks are:

- **Heritage and Long association:** HSBC has been in India for 150 years and has been an integral part of the India growth story over the years. The long association has made it a trusted name across the country which is a key factor in establishing a connect with customers.
- **India is a part of the progress story:** The growth rates in India have been high compared to the other developed countries which have almost stagnated. There is mutual benefit obtained both for HSBC and for India.
- **Environment sustainability:** The work HSBC has carried out in this sector is encouraging and has made the other banks progress in this direction as well.

Attitude towards RBI's regulatory policies: HSBC India Ms. Naina Lal Kidwai in her interview [Press trust of India, 2013] tells that the attitude of RBI has been a positive one and the regulations that have been brought out will be adhered to by HSBC. Even to the recent news that RBI might want foreign banks to only run as subsidiaries, Ms. Naina remarked that HSBC would be willing to go the way described.

The number of branches of HSBC has increased from 28 in 2001 to 48 by the end of 2012 which is one of the highest in India as compared to other foreign banks. The Return on asset has increased from a mere 0.96% in the year 2000 to more than double to 1.98% in 2012 which is above the average value of all the foreign banks combined. The net non-performing assets (as a percentage of Net Advances) have come down from 1.04% in the year 2000 to a mere 0.62% in the year 2012.

This is again lower than the average of 1% of all foreign banks combined. The return on equity has increased from 11.83% in 2011 to 13.88% in the year 2012, while the average for all foreign banks is a mere 6.33%.

The entire analysis above indicates that if a foreign Bank stays engaged with a developing country like India, the rules allow it to maintain a healthy profit without compromising on its social obligations.

### 6.2. BCCI Bank (*Bank of Commerce and Credit International*)

BCCI bank started in 1972 as a Pakistani bank in Luxembourg. By 1988 there was a number of money laundering charges against BCCI across nations [The Guardian, 2005]. There were a number of investigations against the bank to verify the authenticity of the charges levied. Owing to all the regulatory pressures BCCI pled guilty and ceased operations worldwide from 5th July 1991. At that point, it had only one office in India, in Mumbai. The closure of the bank impacted its clients adversely including those in India. Most of the depositors with BCCI were NRIs who were lured by the high rate of interest being offered by BCCI. It was felt by RBI that in order to protect the interests of its clients having business with the Bombay branch of BCCI, who were either resident Indians, Indian firms with running businesses or NRIs, the assets and liabilities of its Mumbai Branch could be taken over by an Indian bank which could either absorb the Bank or open a new bank as its subsidiary. The faith in the Indian banking system of NRIs was at stake when the closure of BCCI in India was about to take place and hence it became essential to not liquidate the bank but try and keep it afloat. Hence the SBI was asked by RBI to acquire the operations of the Mumbai Branch of BCCI from the liquidators. On account of its assets having a significantly high percentage of toxic assets, as well as several other reasons, SBI was granted a license to start the operations of the erstwhile BCCI in its new avatar of SBICI as a wholly owned subsidiary of SBI. A key reason that could be identified for risky loans forming a bigger chunk of portfolio is the absence of asset classification guidelines. These guidelines came into effect only after 1992-93 which was a year after BCCI shut down its operations. SBICI commenced operations on 17th January 1993. As SBICI had not been set up under an Act of Parliament in the manner that SBI and the nationalized banks had earlier been but was set up under the Companies Act, 1956, RBI categorized it as a Private Sector Bank and not a Public Sector Bank despite being a fully owned subsidiary of SBI.

SBICI functioned as a single branch bank till 1999 when it opened its second branch in the city of Mumbai itself. SBICI in its initial few years tried to stabilize the bank and reduce the toxic assets so as to have sufficient capital to lend. The takeover conditions put in by liquidators when BCCI operations were taken over included some minimum wage stipulations and this resulted in very low capital available for expansion of the bank. In 2002 bank was faced with a major issue of its net worth being less than paid-up capital. This is when RBI began insisting that SBI merge SBICI with itself and there would be no further expansion in its branch network. SBICI remained a bank with a single city, two branch footprint confined to a metropolis till its acquisition by SBI in July 2011. The low capital present with the bank also led to limit on the corporate lending that the bank could do.

However, the period between 2004 till its acquisition, the Bank cleaned up its Balance Sheet, became a profitable entity, and for the last six years of its existence, had a Nil Net NPA position. Internally a number of systems and mechanisms were cleared up to ensure better operating efficiency and utilization of assets improving. Considering that it were the NPAs which had choked its operations, the turnaround resulting in consistent profits, decent financial ratios and NIL Net NPAs was regarded as commendable.

However, there were no tangible takeaways from the foreign bank, BCCI, either on the technological side or in terms of any specialized skills, practices or management inputs. Though a foreign bank, BCCI was already being spoken of in a negative light well before it went bust. SBI felt it necessary to rely on its own executives to provide managerial support and to deliver. The executives brought with them the typically conservative and financially prudent business practices of SBI to SBICI. Moreover, the local top management of erstwhile BCCI and many others at various levels left during the process of takeover or immediately thereafter.

### 7. Merger of SBICI with SBI

When State Bank of India Commercial and International Bank Ltd (SBICI) was set up in 1994 after taking over the Indian operations of the erstwhile Bank of Credit & Commerce International Ltd (BCCI), its net worth stood at Rs.128.74 crores on the capital base of Rs.100 crores[PTI,2011]. It had total business (deposits and advances) of less than Rs.700 crores, with a ROA of

0.49 per cent. According to RBI guidelines, for ownership in private sector bank, the minimum cap needed for capital was increased to Rs.300 crores. Considering the existing business model of SBICI (and the returns generated by it) did not justify the capital infusion required. Hence the government approved merger of SBICI with its parent bank SBI.

### 8. Challenges Faced by Foreign Banks

Foreign banks play an important role in financing foreign trade. The 2011 discussion paper mentions that most of the foreign banks have opened branches to cater to trade-finance. With their know-how in handling foreign trade, the foreign banks have contributed significantly in rapid rise of cross border trade. RBI's report on currency and finance (2006-08) brought out the aspect of being liberal: While India had committed 12 branches of foreign banks in a year, during the period 2003 to October 2007; India gave approval for 75 new foreign bank branches. The report stated that the regulatory regime followed by RBI in respect of foreign banks was non-discriminatory and very liberal by global standards. To support this, the following was pointed out:

- India issues a single class of banking license to foreign banks and does not require them to graduate from a lower to a higher category of banking license over a number of years
- The single class of license places them virtually on the same footing as an Indian bank and does not place any restrictions on the scope of their operations
- No restrictions exist on establishment of non-banking financial subsidiary in India for the specified 18 activities under automatic route by the foreign banks and their group companies
- Deposit insurance cover is uniformly available to all foreign banks at a non-discriminatory rate of premium
- Prudential norms applicable to the foreign banks for capital adequacy, income recognition and asset classification, etc., are, by and large, the same as for the Indian banks

With the backdrop of the significance of foreign banks in financing foreign trade and the RBI's stance towards foreign banks, following are some of the major challenges faced by the foreign banks as discussed in the PWC Report, 2013:

- Regulatory concerns
- Overall political environment and particularly, uncertainties owing to the forthcoming national election in 2014.
- Some issues and general discussions which appear to have surfaced following the RBI

Our interview with an industry expert who has worked in the evolution of a foreign bank in India from the ground level revealed some insights that are listed below:

- Shortage of quality people: Human resource is a major problem that new organizations face and getting the right set of people is essential for the success of any organization.
- Underestimating the value of capital needed: Though the minimum cap required by RBI is very low (25Mn Dollars) the need to expand is a core problem that they face. Being profitable requires operation on a large scale to get benefits from scale economies and also be able to generate the right amounts of investments as and when needed. The issue with lower capital is the inability to serve large clients which would mean serving lower quality clients that makes the entire operations more risky and hence be more difficult to sustain on a larger scale.
- Limited branch networks: RBI regulations allow the number of branches increase only at a certain prescribed limit. The cap on this number prevents banks from being able to grow in all regions and obtain pan-India presence. Most of the foreign banks are dependent on the Headquarters for the funds and this may have an unnecessary delay in the growth stage.
- Technology: The mismatch between the technology present at the Headquarter levels and also India is a major cause of concern. The technology that needs to be implemented in the foreign country and the interface with the headquarters' system needs to be clearly identified.

Though there are a few issues that the new banks face there are also a number of positives that India has offered which has made India an emerging market for most foreign banks:

- Fast paced economy: India being a developing economy offers a number of business opportunities to banks which are present here and also for the ones who would like to enter the Indian market. The other markets in the world have already saturated.
- Indian banks: In India the banks need to go to other banks or markets in order to raise the required money. Raising capital is a difficult problem and most foreign banks go back to headquarters for getting money required.
- Priority sector: Foreign banks have a smaller priority lending requirement of 32 percent as against 40 percent for government sector banks. Also the sectors which are a part of the lending sectors allowed are also different for foreign banks. Foreign banks can fulfil this through exports, imports and do not have to lend to agricultural sector like the other banks.
- IT systems are useful to speed up processes: The It development in India at a faster pace than the other countries gives India an advantage and makes it more sought

### 9. Conclusion

The report talks about the various opinions towards the foreign banks operations in the country. It begins by looking at the regulatory framework that existed in order to understand the central bank's attitude towards foreign banks entry into India. While the regulations have been successful in setting up a guideline that needs to be followed by banks there is still not a push for one

particular form of presence. The 2005 RBI policy on the roadmap for presence of foreign banks laid out the 2 forms of presence with an aim to push for Wholly-owned subsidiary (WOS) model. But from the view of foreign banks there is no incentive for moving to the WOS model. The contention regarding the form of presence has remained over the years. Looking at the impact of foreign banks over the years, the following characteristics have been observed:

- Rural presence: Foreign banks have a very small presence in rural and semi-rural areas. Only 1 or 2 percent of the total branches of foreign banks are in rural / semi-rural areas. This is in contrast with the scheduled commercial banks which have grown enormously in the rural sector (currently rural branches account for 58 percent of their total number of branches).
- Technological development: Foreign banks have helped in bettering the technology used in the banking sector. The first ATM in India was brought up by HSBC and from then on foreign banks have contributed to the latest banking practices helping them become more efficient
- Priority sector lending: The priority sector requirement itself is different for foreign banks and also the percentage rates are lower for them. For banks with less than 20 branches the requirement is at 32 percent as against 40 percent for the other nationalized banks. Also the requirement is mostly satisfied by banks by lending in the export-import sector and not lending in sectors like agriculture which are the actual constituents of the priority sector.
- Return on Assets: This has clearly shown a positive trend bringing into forefront the Improvements brought across by the operational improvements through better practices of foreign banks.

Our case study on HSBC has also revealed insights similar to that explained above. There are positives and negatives from presence of foreign banks in India. They have aided in technological improvements but not really entered the growth sectors like the agriculture sector in India. The common perception of foreign banks in India is that they are focused on profitability and not on the development issues of the country.

#### 10. References

- 1) Bhattacharya, J. (1994), "The Role of Foreign Banks in developing Countries: A Survey of the Evidence", Submitted as an Intern to the World Bank.
- 2) Cárdenas, J., Graf, J. and Dogherty, P. (2008), "Foreign banks entry in emerging market economies: a host country perspective", Bank of International Settlements
- 3) Charvaka (1993), "Foreign Banks in India: The New 'Drain'", Economic and Political Weekly
- 4) Chakrabarty, KC. (2012), "Revised Guidelines on Priority Sector Lending: Rationale and Logic", Presented at FIBAC 2012 organized by FICCI and the Indian Banks' Association.
- 5) Claessens, S. and Horen, N. (2012), "Foreign banks and the global financial crisis: Investment and lending behavior", Voxeu.Org
- 6) Cull, R. and Peria, M. (2010), "Foreign Bank Participation in Developing Countries: What Do We Know about the Drivers and Consequences of This Phenomenon", Development Research Group, the World Bank.
- 7) Dinesh, U. and Rebello, J. (2012), "RBI, foreign banks clash on priority lending norm", Live Mint
- 8) Dinesh, U. (2013), "RBI exempts foreign banks from stamp duty for wholly-owned subsidiaries", Live Mint
- 9) Ghosh, S. (2012), "Foreign banks in India: liabilities or assets?" Economic Papers, The Economic Society of Australia, vol. 31(2), pages 225-243, 06
- 10) Kura, Z. (2012), "Financial crisis: testing the relationship between foreign banks and the new EU members", Employment and Economy in Central and Eastern Europe (EMECON).
- 11) Mohan, R. (2006), "Financial Sector Reforms and Monetary Policy: The Indian Experience", presented at conference of Economic policy of Asia, organised by Stanford Centre for International development and Stanford Institute for Economic Policy and Research.



**Annexure**

Bank	No. of								
	2001			2006			2012		
	Rural	Urban	total	Rural	Urban	total	Rural	Urban	total
AB Bank	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	1	1
Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2
American Express Banking	0	4	4	0	8	8	0	1	1
Antwerp Diamond Bank	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
Bank Internasional Indonesia	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
Bank of America	0	4	4	0	4	4	0	5	5
Bank of Bahrain & Kuwait	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2
Bank of Ceylon	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
Bank of Nova Scotia	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	5	5
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi	0	1	1	0	3	3	0	3	3
Barclays Bank	0	2	2	0	1	1	1	8	9
BNP Paribas	0	1	1	0	9	9	0	9	9
Chinatrust Commercial Bank	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
Citibank	0	15	15	1	38	39	2	41	43
Commonwealth Bank of	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
Credit Agricole Bank	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	6	6
Credit Suisse AG	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	1
DBS Bank	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	6	6
Deutsche Bank	0	6	6	0	8	8	0	14	14
FirstRand Bank	0	1	1	0		0	0	1	1
Hongkong & Shanghai	0	28	28	7	43	50	0	48	48
JP Morgan Chase Bank	0	15	15	0	1	1	0	1	1
JSC VTB Bank	0	1	1	0		0	0	1	1
Krung Thai Bank	0	1	1	0		0	0	1	1
Mashreq Bank	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2
Mizuho Corporate Bank	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
Oman International Bank	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	2
Royal Bank of Scotland	0	0	0	0		0	2	29	31
Sberbank	0	28	28	0	3	3	0	1	1
Shinhan Bank	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	3
Societe Generale	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	2	2
Sonali Bank	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	2
Standard Chartered Bank	2	61	63	0	85	85	0	94	94
State Bank of Mauritius	0	2	2	0	3	3	0	3	3
UBS AG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
United Overseas Bank	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2	202	204	8	231	239	6	302	308

Table 1: Comparison of various foreign banks regard to number of branches and ATMS

Source: Statistical Tables relating to Banking in India, RBI

Bank	No. of ATMS		No. of employees	
	2006	2012	2006	2012
AB Bank	0	0	0	31
Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank	3	0	38	48
American Express Banking Corp.	10	0	1773	622
Antwerp Diamond Bank	0	0	19	24
Bank International Indonesia	0	0	15	1
Bank of America	0	0	282	279
Bank of Bahrain & Kuwait	6	0	68	98
Bank of Ceylon	0	0	33	27
Bank of Nova Scotia	0	0	0	193
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ	0	0	0	273
Barclays Bank	0	36	46	978
BNP Paribas	0	0	303	342
China trust Commercial Bank	0	0	21	36
Citibank	388	703	3250	5176
Commonwealth Bank of Australia	6	0	0	27
Credit Agricole Bank	0	0	0	106
Credit Suisse AG	0	0	131	32
DBS Bank	0	39	678	786
Deutsche Bank	22	64	0	1453
FirstRand Bank	0	0	4985	74
Hong Kong & Shanghai Banking Corp. Ltd.	165	143	82	5191
JP Morgan Chase Bank	0	0	0	256
JSC VTB Bank	0	0	0	18
Krung Thai Bank	0	0	11	10
Mashreq Bank	0	0	10	14
Mizuho Corporate Bank	0	0	50	176
Oman International Bank	0	0	40	72
Royal Bank of Scotland	0	122	0	1951
Sberbank	0	0	0	16
Shinhan Bank	0	0	0	76
Societe Generale	0	0	113	94
Sonali Bank	0	0	45	38
Standard Chartered Bank	182	307	5390	7527
State Bank of Mauritius	0	0	29	47
UBS AG	0	0	0	57
United Overseas Bank	0	0	0	9
	782	1414	17412	26158

Table 2: Table showing comparison of Foreign banks with respect to ATMs and employees  
Source: Same as Annex Table 1.

Name of the Bank	Branches									ATMs					
	2000			2006			2012			2006			2012		
	Rural	Urban	Total	Rur	Urban	Total	Rura	Urba	Total	On-	Off-	Total	On-	Off-	eTotal
Scheduled Commercial Banks	44282	15889	60171				46244	34996	81240				47545	48141	95686
Nationalised Banks	20686	11735	32421	29365	18478	47843	27760	20876	48636	6587	6021	12608	18277	12773	31050
Private Sector Banks	2816	2167	4983	2802	3714	6516	6268	7184	13452	3309	4350	7659	13249	22830	36079

Table 3: Total percentage of branches in rural areas for commercial banks, Public sector banks

Source: Same as Annex Table 1.

Year	Branches opened			Branches closed			No of branches present		
	Rural	Urban	total	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total
2012	0	5	5	0	0	0	15	309	324
2011	4	5	9	0	0	0	15	304	319
2010	3	12	15	0	0	0	11	299	310
2009	6	10	16	6	10	16	8	287	295
2008	0	16	16	0	0	0	2	278	280
2007	1	12	13	0	0	0	2	270	272
2006	1	18	19	0	2	2	1	260	261
2005	0	31	31	0	10	10	0	245	245
2004	0	17	17	0	5	5	0	224	224
2003	0	21	21	2	59	61	0	212	212
2002	0	6	6	0	5	5	2	204	206
2001	0	11	11	0	0	0	2	203	205
2000	1	10	11	1	10	11	2	191	193
1999	1	11	12	1	16	17	3	189	192
1998	1	10	11	0	0	0	3	193	196
1997	0	14	15	0	2	2	3	183	186
1996	0	7	7	0	0	0	3	170	173
1995	0	10	10	0	0	0	3	162	165
1994	0	4	4	0	1	1	3	152	155

Table 4: Number of branches of foreign banks in various years and in various geographies

Source: Same as Annex Table 1